

Erratum to: Stability of noisy Metropolis–Hastings

F. J. Medina-Aguayo¹ · A. Lee¹ · G. O. Roberts¹

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2017

Erratum to: Stat Comput (2016) 26:1187–1211 DOI 10.1007/s11222-015-9604-3

The statement of Proposition 4.1 is incorrect. We present the corrected result with its proof.

Proposition 4.1 Assume (P1), (P2), (W4), (W5). Alternatively, assume (P1*), (P2) and (W5). Then, there exists $D_k > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for all $N \geq N_0$,

$$\|\tilde{\pi}_N(\cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV} \leq D_k \frac{\log(N)}{N^{\frac{\tau}{2+k}}},$$

where $\tau = k$ if $k \in (0, 1)$ and $\tau = \frac{1+k}{2}$ if $k \geq 1$. If in addition (W5) holds for all $k > 0$, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/6)$ there will exist $D_\varepsilon > 0$ and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ such that for all $N \geq N_0$,

$$\|\tilde{\pi}_N(\cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{TV} \leq D_\varepsilon \frac{\log(N)}{N^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}}.$$

Proof The proof is identical to the original version up to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\tilde{P}_N(x, \cdot) - P(x, \cdot)\|_{TV} \\ \leq 3\delta + \frac{2^{3+k}}{\delta^{1+k}} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E} \left[|W_{x,N} - 1|^{1+k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund inequality for i.i.d random variables (see, e.g., Gut 2012, Chapter 3, Corollary 8.2), there exists $B_k < \infty$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|W_{x,N} - 1|^{1+k} \right] \leq B_k \mathbb{E} \left[|W_x - 1|^{1+k} \right] N^{-\tau},$$

where

$$\tau = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \in (0, 1) \\ \frac{1+k}{2} & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\tilde{P}_N(x, \cdot) - P(x, \cdot)\|_{TV} \\ \leq 3\delta + \frac{2^{3+k} B_k}{\delta^{1+k} N^\tau} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E} \left[|W_x - 1|^{1+k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first part of the result follows from the original proof by taking

$$C_k = B_k \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E} \left[|W_x - 1|^{1+k} \right]$$

and considering N^τ instead of N^k .

For the second claim, take $k_\varepsilon \geq (2\varepsilon)^{-1} - 2 \geq 1$ and apply the first part. \square

Acknowledgements The authors are deeply grateful to Daniel Rudolf for pointing out the mistake and contributing towards the correction.

References

Gut, A.: Probability: A Graduate Course. Springer Texts in Statistics. Springer, New York (2012). <https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=9TmRgPg-6vgC>

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s11222-015-9604-3.

✉ F. J. Medina-Aguayo
f.j.medina-aguayo@warwick.ac.uk

¹ Department of Statistics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK