Parameter estimation for multiscale diffusions with continuous and discrete moving averages

Assyr Abdulle* Giacomo Garegnani* Grigoris Pavlitois †

Andrew M. Stuart ‡

1 Introduction

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let us consider the one-dimensional multiscale stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t^{\varepsilon} = -\alpha V_0'(X_t^{\varepsilon}) dt - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_1' \left(\frac{X_t^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \right) + \sqrt{2\sigma} dW_t, \tag{1.1}$$

where the drift coefficient α and the diffusion coefficient σ are positive real parameters, possibly unknown, and W_t is a standard one-dimensional Brownian motion. The functions $V_0, V_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are slow and fast potentials driving the dynamics of the solution X_t^{ε} . Theory of homogenization [1] guarantees the existence of an SDE of the form

$$dX_t^0 = -AV_0'(X) dt + \sqrt{2\Sigma} dW_t, \qquad (1.2)$$

where the fast dynamics have been eliminated, such that $X_t^{\varepsilon} \to X_t^0$ in law as random variables with values in $\mathcal{C}^0((0,T))$. The drift and diffusion coefficients of the homogenized dynamics A and Σ are given by $A = K\alpha$ and $\Sigma = K\sigma$, where K can be computed as introduce theory.

In order to estimate the drift coefficient, one considers the likelihood function

$$L_T(X_t) = \exp\left\{ \int_0^T -AV_0'(X_t) \, \mathrm{d}X_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T A^2 V_0'(X_t)^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right\},\,$$

whose logarithm $\ell_T(X_t) = \log L_T(X_t)$ can be maximised thus giving the estimator

$$\widehat{A} = -\frac{\int_0^T V_0'(X_t) \, dX_t}{\int_0^T V_0'(X_t)^2 \, dt}.$$

The diffusion coefficient can be computed as the quadratic variation of the path, i.e., given a sequence of partitions $\mathcal{P}_h = \{t_k\}_{k=0}^{N_h}$, of the interval [0,T], where $h := \sup_k (t_k - t_{k-1})$, we have

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2T} \lim_{h \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} (X_{t_k}^0 - X_{t_{k-1}}^0)^2, \tag{1.3}$$

in probability and for all T > 0.

In a Bayesian setting, we can fix a prior Λ with density λ and the posterior is then given by

$$\mu_T(B) = \frac{\int_B L_T(A)\lambda(A) \, dA}{\int_A L_T(A)\lambda(A) \, dA}.$$

^{*}Institute of Mathematics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

[†]Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London

[‡]Department of Computing and Mathematical Sciences, Caltech, Pasadena

2 Point estimates from continuous data

In this section, we study the convergence with respect to the parameter ε of point estimates of the drift and the diffusion coefficients when the estimator is computed employing data coming from the multiscale model.

2.1 Drift coefficient

Let $X^{\varepsilon} := (X_t^{\varepsilon}, 0 \le t \le T)$ be the solution of (1.1) and define $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(X^{\varepsilon})$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(X^{\varepsilon})_{t} := \begin{cases} X_{0}, & t = 0, \\ \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} X_{s} \, \mathrm{d}s, & 0 < t < \Delta, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} X_{s} \, \mathrm{d}s, & \Delta \leq t \leq T, \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

with $\Delta > 0$. Let us denote for ease of notation, $Z_t^{\varepsilon} := \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(X^{\varepsilon})_t$. The maximum likelihood estimator of the drift coefficient is then

$$\widehat{A}_{T,\Delta}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) = -\frac{\int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}Z_t^{\varepsilon}}{\int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \, \mathrm{d}t}.$$

Let us remark that for $0 < t < \Delta$,

$$d(tZ_t^{\varepsilon}) = X_t \, dt,$$

which implies

$$\mathrm{d}Z_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{t}(X_t^\varepsilon - Z_t^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

For $\Delta \leq t \leq T$, instead

$$dZ_t^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} (X_t^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon}) dt.$$

We rewrite the estimator as

$$\widehat{A}_{T,\Delta}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) = -\frac{\int_0^{\Delta} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \frac{1}{t} (X_t^{\varepsilon} - Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}t}{\int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \, \mathrm{d}t} - \frac{\int_{\Delta}^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) (X_t^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}t}{\Delta \int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \, \mathrm{d}t}.$$

The goal of this section is proving the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Under assumption add assumptions, if there exists $\zeta \in (0,2)$ such that $\Delta = \varepsilon^{\zeta}$ and $\gamma > \zeta$ such that $T = \varepsilon^{-\gamma}$, it holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \widehat{A}_{T,\Delta}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) = A, \quad in \ law.$$

It is useful in the following to rewrite (1.1) as a system of two coupled SDEs. In particular, introducing the variable $Y_t^{\varepsilon} := X_t^{\varepsilon}/\varepsilon$, one has

$$dX_{t}^{\varepsilon} = -\alpha V_{0}'(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) dt - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_{1}'(Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) + \sqrt{2\sigma} dW_{t},$$

$$dY_{t}^{\varepsilon} = -\frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} V_{0}'(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) dt - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} V_{1}'(Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) + \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma}{\varepsilon^{2}}} dW_{t}.$$
(2.2)

The analysis necessary to prove Theorem 2.1 is based on the expansion

$$X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon} = -\alpha \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} V_{0}'(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) ds$$

$$+ \sqrt{2\sigma} \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) dW_{s}$$

$$-\varepsilon \left(\Phi'(Y_{t}^{\varepsilon}) - \Phi'(Y_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon})\right),$$
(2.3)

for $t \ge \Delta$ (see [2, Equation (5.8)]). The following lemma ensures that the process Z_t^{ε} has bounded moments.

Lemma 2.1. The process Z_t^{ε} has bounded moments of all order, i.e., for all $p \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ it holds

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| Z_t^{\varepsilon} \right|^p \le C,$$

for C > 0 a constant uniform in $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Proof. The process X_t^{ε} has bounded moments (see [2, Corollary 5.4]), which implies the desired result with an application of the Hölder inequality. In fact, for $0 < t < \Delta$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |Z_t^{\varepsilon}|^p \le \frac{t^{p-1}}{t^p} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |X_s^{\varepsilon}|^p \, \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\le t^{-1} \int_0^t C \, \mathrm{d}s = C.$$

For $\Delta \leq t \leq T$ the procedure is analogue.

In the following lemma the difference between the processes X_t^{ε} and Z_t^{ε} is bounded.

Lemma 2.2. Under assumptions add assumptions

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| X_t^{\varepsilon} - Z_t^{\varepsilon} \right|^p \le C(\Delta^p + \Delta^{p/2} + \varepsilon^p),$$

where C > 0 is a constant independent of Δ and ε .

Proof. By definition of Z_t^{ε} for $\Delta \leq t \leq T$ and applying the Hölder inequality we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}|^{p} = \Delta^{-p} \,\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} (X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \,\mathrm{d}s \right|^{p}$$

$$\leq \Delta^{-1} \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{s}^{\varepsilon}|^{p} \,\mathrm{d}s$$

We can now apply [2, Lemma 6.1] to the integrand to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |X_t^{\varepsilon} - Z_t^{\varepsilon}|^p \le C\Delta^{-1} \int_{t-\Delta}^t (\Delta^p + \Delta^{p/2} + \varepsilon^p) \,\mathrm{d}s,$$

which implies the desired result. The case $0 < t \le T$ can be proved analogously.

Lemma 2.3 (See [2, Proposition 5.8]). Under assumptions add assumptions, it holds in law

$$\alpha \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} V_0'(X_s^{\varepsilon}) (1 + \Phi'(Y_s^{\varepsilon})) ds = A\Delta V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) + R(\varepsilon, \Delta),$$

where for every p > 0 and if Δ and ε are sufficiently small, then

$$\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}}\left|R(\varepsilon,\Delta)\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \leq C(\varepsilon^{2} + \Delta^{1/2}\varepsilon + \Delta^{3/2}),$$

where C > 0 is independent of ε and Δ .

Proof. Let us denote $\Psi(t) := 1 + \Phi'(Y_t^{\varepsilon})$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |R(\varepsilon, \Delta)|^{p} = \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \alpha V_{0}'(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \Psi(s) \, \mathrm{d}s - \Delta A V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \right|^{p}$$

$$\leq C \, \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} (\alpha \Psi(s) - A) \, \mathrm{d}s \right|^{p}$$

$$+ C \, \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \alpha \left(V_{0}'(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) - V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \right) \Psi(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right|^{p}.$$

The result is then obtained following the proof of [2, Proposition 5.8] and replacing [2, Lemma 6.1] with Lemma 2.2, and [2, Corollary 4.1] with Lemma 2.1.

We can now prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Consider the decomposition (2.3). Denoting

$$J_t := \sqrt{2\sigma} \int_{t-\Delta}^t \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \right) dW_s,$$

we have due to Lemma 2.3 the equality in law

$$X_t^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon} = -A\Delta V'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) + J_t^{\Delta} + R(\varepsilon, \Delta),$$

where, since $\zeta \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| R(\varepsilon, \Delta) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \le C(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{3\zeta/2})$$

Therefore, we have that the estimator satisfies

$$\widehat{A}_{T,\Delta}(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) = A - A \frac{\int_0^{\Delta} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt}{\int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt} - \frac{\int_0^{\Delta} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \frac{1}{t} (X_t^{\varepsilon} - Z_t^{\varepsilon}) dt}{\int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt} - \frac{\int_0^{\Delta} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt}{\Delta \int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt} - \frac{R(\varepsilon, \Delta) \int_{\Delta}^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) dt}{\Delta \int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt}$$

$$=: A - I_1 - I_2 - I_3 - I_4,$$
(2.4)

in law. Let us analyse the terms I_i , $i=1,\ldots,4$ separately. Let us consider I_1 and multiply both the numerator and the denominator by 1/T. Due to assumption add assumption and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\frac{A}{T} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_0^{\Delta} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt \right| \le C \varepsilon^{\gamma + \zeta},$$

for a constant C > 0 independent of Δ and ε . Hence the numerator vanishes in L^1 and thus in law for $\varepsilon \to 0$. We split the denominator as

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T V_0'(X_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 - V_0'(X_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \right) dt$$

For the first term, we have by the ergodic theorem

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T V_0'(X_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \, \mathrm{d}t = \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| V_0' \right|^2, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

For the second term, we have applying Cauchy–Schwarz's inequality and due to assumption add assumption and Lemma 2.2

$$\frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{0}^{T} \left(V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{2} - V_{0}'(X_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{2} \right) dt \right| \leq \frac{C}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) - V_{0}'(X_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \right|^{2} \right)^{1/2} dt \\
\leq C \left(\Delta + \Delta^{1/2} + \varepsilon \right),$$

which implies that the denominator tends to a finite value in probability for $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore, by Slutsky's theorem,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_1 = 0, \quad \text{in law.}$$

Let us now consider I_2 and multiply numerator and denominator by 1/T. The denominator is the same as I_1 , and therefore does not need to be treated further. The numerator can be bounded in L^1 as

$$\frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_0^{\Delta} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \frac{1}{t} (X_t^{\varepsilon} - Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq \frac{C}{\Delta T} \int_0^{\Delta} \frac{\Delta}{t} \, \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| X_t^{\varepsilon} - Z_t^{\varepsilon} \right| \, \mathrm{d}t,$$

which, since $Z_0^{\varepsilon} = X_0^{\varepsilon}$, vanishes for $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence, an application of Slutsky's theorem yields

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} I_2 = 0, \quad \text{in law.}$$

We consider now I_3 , which can be rewritten as

$$I_{3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T\Delta}} \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\Delta}} \int_{\Delta}^{T} V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) J_{t} \, dt}{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{2} \, dt}$$
$$= \varepsilon^{(\gamma - \zeta)/2} \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T\Delta}} \int_{\Delta}^{T} V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) J_{t} \, dt}{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} V_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon})^{2} \, dt}$$

Let us remark that J_t is a martingale and that by Itô isometry

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |J_{\Delta}|^2 = 2\Sigma \Delta,$$

Therefore, we can apply the central limit theorem for martingales to the numerator and obtain the equality in law

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T\Delta}} \int_{\Delta}^{T} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) J_t \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left(|V_0'(X_0^{\varepsilon})|^2 |J_{\Delta}|^2 \right) \right)$$
$$= C \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

The denominator is the same as in I_2 and I_3 and tends in probability to a finite value. Hence, since by hypothesis $\gamma > \zeta$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} I_3 = 0, \quad \text{in law.}$$

For the last term I_4 , we have

$$I_4 = \frac{\varepsilon^{\gamma - \zeta} R(\varepsilon, \Delta) \int_{\Delta}^{T} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) dt}{\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 dt}.$$

For the numerator, we have by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and due to Lemma 2.3

$$\varepsilon^{\gamma-\zeta} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| R(\varepsilon, \Delta) \int_{\Delta}^{T} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq \varepsilon^{\gamma-\zeta} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| R(\varepsilon, \Delta) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{\Delta}^{T} V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}t \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq C \varepsilon^{\gamma-\zeta} (\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{3\zeta/2}) \varepsilon^{-\gamma} \\
\leq C \left(\varepsilon^{2-\zeta} + \varepsilon^{\zeta/2} \right)$$

which implies that, since the denominator is the same as before,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} I_4 = 0, \quad \text{in law.}$$

The decomposition (2.4), together with the limits of I_i for i = 1, ..., 4, prove the desired result. \square

2.2 Diffusion coefficient

We now consider the same transformation of the data, i.e., we employ $Z_t^{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(X)_t$ as defined in (2.1), to estimate the diffusion coefficient Σ of the homogenized model. In particular, we consider the estimator

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{\Delta,T} = \frac{1}{2T} \lim_{h \to 0} \sum_{k=1}^{N_h} (Z_{t_k}^{\varepsilon} - Z_{t_{k-1}}^{\varepsilon})^2,$$

where the limit has to be intended in probability and with respect to a series of refinements of partitions $\mathcal{P}_h = \{t_k\}$ of the interval [0, T]. Let us recall that if instead of Z_t^{ε} one employs a path from the homogenized model X_t^0 , then formula (1.3) gives the exact value of Σ for any T > 0.

Let us introduce a theoretical result which will play the role of Lemma 2.3 in this framework.

Lemma 2.4 (See [2, Proposition 5.7]). Under assumptions add assumptions, there exist random variables $\xi_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ such that for all $0 \le t' < t \le T$ it holds in law

$$\sqrt{2\sigma} \int_{t'}^{t} \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \right) dW_s = \sqrt{2\Sigma(t - t')} \, \xi_t + S(\varepsilon),$$

where for every p > 0 and $\kappa \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ it holds

$$\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| S(\varepsilon) \right|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \leq C(\varepsilon^{2\kappa} + \varepsilon^{\kappa}).$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of [2, Proposition 5.7] and is therefore omitted here. \Box

We now need a decomposition similar to (2.3) for the process Z_t^{ε} . A first step is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. The process $Z_t^{\varepsilon} := \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(X_t^{\varepsilon})$, where \mathcal{H}_{Δ} is defined in (2.1), admits for $\Delta \leq t \leq T$ the representation

$$Z_t^{\varepsilon} = X_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{t-\Delta}^t (t-s) \left(\alpha V_0'(X_s^{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_1'(Y_s^{\varepsilon}) \right) ds + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{t-\Delta}^t \sqrt{2\sigma} (t-s) dW_s,$$

where $(X_t^{\varepsilon}, Y_t^{\varepsilon})$ is the solution of (2.2).

Proof. Let us for ease of notation denote $Z_t := Z_t^{\varepsilon}$, $X_t := X_t^{\varepsilon}$ and

$$f(X_t) := -\alpha V_0(X_t) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} V_1(Y_t^{\varepsilon}).$$

Due to the definition of Z_t , we have

$$\Delta Z_t = \Delta X_{t-\Delta} + \int_{t-\Delta}^t \int_{t-\Delta}^s f(X_r) \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_{t-\Delta}^t \int_{t-\Delta}^s \sqrt{2\sigma} \, \mathrm{d}W_r \, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.5}$$

where, exchanging the order of integration, we obtain for the deterministic integral

$$\int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \int_{t-\Delta}^{s} f(X_r) dr ds = \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \int_{r}^{t} f(X_r) ds dr$$

$$= \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} (t-s)f(X_s) ds.$$
(2.6)

For the stochastic integral, we can write

$$\int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \int_{t-\Delta}^{s} dW_r ds = \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} (W_s - W_{t-\Delta}) ds$$
$$= \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} W_s ds - \Delta W_{t-\Delta}.$$

The formula $d(tW_t) = t dW_t + W_t dt$ yields

$$\int_{t-\Delta}^{t} W_s \, \mathrm{d}s = \left(tW_t - (t-\Delta)W_{t-\Delta}\right) - \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} s \, \mathrm{d}W_s$$
$$= t(W_t - W_{t-\Delta}) - \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} s \, \mathrm{d}W_s + \Delta W_{t-\Delta}$$
$$= \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} (t-s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s + \Delta W_{t-\Delta},$$

which implies

$$\int_{t-\Delta}^{t} \int_{t-\Delta}^{s} dW_r ds = \int_{t-\Delta}^{t} (t-s) dW_s.$$
(2.7)

Replacing (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.5) then gives the desired result.

3 Point estimates from discrete data

Let us consider high-frequency data to be given by the discrete sequence $\mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} = \{x_{j}^{\varepsilon}\}_{j=0}^{N}$ such that $x_{j}^{\varepsilon} = X_{j\varepsilon^{q}}^{\varepsilon}$, where X_{t}^{ε} is a realization of the solution of (1.1) and $q \geq 2$. Hence, we set $T = N\varepsilon^{q}$. Moreover, let us consider $\Delta \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta \geq 1$ and the discrete operator $H_{\Delta} : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, $H_{\Delta} : \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \mapsto \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle^{\Delta}$, defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_n^{\Delta} = \begin{cases} x_0^{\varepsilon}, & n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n x_{n-j}^{\varepsilon}, & 1 \le n < \Delta - 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta - 1} x_{n-j}^{\varepsilon}, & \Delta - 1 \le n \le N. \end{cases}$$

In the following, we will always consider $n \geq \Delta - 1$. In this case, the maximum likelihood estimator $\widehat{A}_{N,\Delta}$ of the coefficient A of (1.2) based on the sequence $\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle^{\Delta}$ is given by

$$\widehat{A}_{N,\Delta} = -\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} V_0'(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_n^{\Delta}) \left(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n+1}^{\Delta} - \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_n^{\Delta}\right)}{\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon^q V_0'(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_n^{\Delta})^2}.$$

We have

$$\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_{n+1}^{\Delta} - \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\Delta} (x_{n+1}^{\varepsilon} - x_{n-\Delta+1}^{\varepsilon}),$$

and therefore (equivalent to equation (5.8) in [2]) by Itô formula on $\Phi(Y_s^{\varepsilon})$

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n+1}^{\Delta} - \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta} &= -\frac{\alpha}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} V_{0}'(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2\sigma}}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \right) \mathrm{d}W_{s} \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta} \left(\Phi'(Y_{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{\varepsilon}) - \Phi'(Y_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{\varepsilon}) \right). \end{split}$$

The properties of the maximum likelihood estimator obtained replacing \mathbf{x}^{ϵ} with $\langle \mathbf{x}^{\epsilon} \rangle^{\Delta}$ can be determined analysing the terms in the decomposition above.

Lemma 3.1 (Equivalent to [2, Lemma 6.1]). Lemma text

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| X_s^{\varepsilon} - \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_n^{\Delta} \right|^p \leq C (\varepsilon^{pq} \Delta^p + \varepsilon^{pq/2} \Delta^{p/2} + \varepsilon^p \Delta^{-p}),$$

for $s \in [(n - \Delta + 1)\varepsilon^q, (n + 1)\varepsilon^q]$.

Proof. We replace the definition of $\langle \cdot \rangle^{\Delta}$ and apply the Hölder inequality to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta} \right|^{p} = \Delta^{-p} \, \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta-1} \left(X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - x_{n-j}^{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^{p}$$

$$\leq \Delta^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\Delta-1} \left| X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - x_{n-j}^{\varepsilon} \right|^{p}.$$

Applying on each element of the sum [2, Lemma 6.1], we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| X_{s}^{\varepsilon} - \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta} \right|^{p} \leq C \Delta^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\Delta-1} \left(\varepsilon^{pq} \Delta^{p} + \varepsilon^{pq/2} \Delta^{p/2} + \varepsilon^{p} \Delta^{-p} \right),$$

which implies the desired result.

Lemma 3.2 (Equivalent to [2, Proposition 5.8]). Lemma text

$$\frac{\alpha}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^q}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^q} V_0'(X_s^{\varepsilon}) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_s^{\varepsilon})\right) \mathrm{d}s = \varepsilon^q A V_0'(\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle_n^{\Delta}) + R_2(\varepsilon, \Delta),$$

in law, where for a constant C > 0 it holds

$$\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| R_2(\varepsilon, \Delta) \right|^p \right)^{1/p} \le C \left(\varepsilon^2 \Delta^{-1} + \varepsilon^{q+1/2} \Delta^{-1/2} + \varepsilon^{q+1} + \varepsilon^{3q/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{2q} \Delta \right).$$

Proof. We compute

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} |R_{2}(\varepsilon, \Delta)|^{p} = \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} V'_{0}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) ds - A\varepsilon^{q} V'_{0}(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) \right|^{p} \\
= \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} V'_{0}(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) ds - \frac{A}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} V'_{0}(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) \right) ds \\
+ \frac{\alpha}{\Delta} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} \left(V'_{0}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - V'_{0}(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) \right) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) ds \right|^{p} \\
\leq C\Delta^{-p} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| V'_{0}(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} \left(\alpha \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon})\right) - A \right) \right|^{p} \\
+ C\alpha^{p} \Delta^{-p} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} \left(V'_{0}(X_{s}^{\varepsilon}) - V'_{0}(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) \right) \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \right) ds \right|^{p} \\
=: I_{\varepsilon}^{1} \Delta + I_{\varepsilon}^{2} \Delta,$$

where C only depends on p. Then, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 3.1 give

$$\begin{split} I_{\varepsilon,\Delta}^2 &\leq C\Delta^{-1}\varepsilon^{q(p-1)} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^q}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^q} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| X_s^{\varepsilon} - \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_n^{\Delta} \right|^p \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C\Delta^{-1}\varepsilon^{q(p-1)} \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^q}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^q} \left(\varepsilon^{pq}\Delta^p + \varepsilon^{pq/2}\Delta^{p/2} + \varepsilon^p\Delta^{-p} \right) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C \left(\varepsilon^{2pq}\Delta^p + \varepsilon^{3pq/2}\Delta^{p/2} + \varepsilon^{p(q+1)}\Delta^{-p} \right), \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\left(I_{\varepsilon,\Delta}^2\right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\varepsilon^{2q} \Delta + \varepsilon^{3q/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{q+1} \Delta^{-1}\right).$$

Let us now consider $I_{\varepsilon,\Delta}^1$. Due to [2, Lemma 5.6], we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| \int_{(n-\Delta+1)\varepsilon^{q}}^{(n+1)\varepsilon^{q}} \left(\alpha \left(1 + \Phi'(Y_{s}^{\varepsilon}) \right) - A \right) \right|^{p} \leq C(\varepsilon^{2p} + \varepsilon^{p(q+1)} \Delta^{p} + \varepsilon^{p(1+q/2)} \Delta^{p/2}),$$

which, in light of equation (3.1) and Corollary 5.4 in [2], yields

$$(I_{\varepsilon \Delta}^1)^{1/p} \le C(\Delta^{-1}\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{q+1} + \varepsilon^{q+1/2}\Delta^{-1/2}).$$

Hence, since $q \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon < 1$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}} \left| R_2(\varepsilon, \Delta) \right|^p &\leq C \left((\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{q+1}) \Delta^{-1} + \varepsilon^{q+1/2} \Delta^{-1/2} + \varepsilon^{q+1} + \varepsilon^{3q/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{2q} \Delta \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\varepsilon^2 \Delta^{-1} + \varepsilon^{q+1/2} \Delta^{-1/2} + \varepsilon^{q+1} + \varepsilon^{3q/2} \Delta^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{2q} \Delta \right), \end{split}$$

which concludes the proof.

Theorem 3.1. Equivalent to Theorem 2.1 in discrete case.

Proof. Since

$$\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n+1}^{\Delta} - \langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta} = -\varepsilon^{q} A V'(\langle \mathbf{x}^{\varepsilon} \rangle_{n}^{\Delta}) + R_{1} + R_{2},$$

(determine R_1 and R_2 well), we have

$$\widehat{A}_{N,\Delta} = A + \dots$$

4 Bayesian inference

Consider

$$L_T^0(A) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^T AV_0'(X_t^0)\,\mathrm{d}X_t^0 - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T A^2V_0'(X_t^0)^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right\},$$

and, denoting $Z_t^{\varepsilon} := \mathcal{H}_{\Delta}(X^{\varepsilon})_t$, where \mathcal{H}_{Δ} is defined in (2.1)

$$L_T^\varepsilon(A) = \exp\left\{-\int_0^T AV_0'(Z_t^\varepsilon)\,\mathrm{d}Z_t^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T A^2V_0'(Z_t^\varepsilon)^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right\}.$$

Let the prior be denoted by Λ , with density λ and the corresponding posteriors μ_T^0 and μ_T^{ε} . Denote $\ell_t^0 = \log L_T^0$, respectively ℓ_t^{ε} the log-likelihoods.

Define

$$d_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) \coloneqq \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |\mu(B) - \nu(B)|.$$

Compute for $B \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\left|\mu_T^0(B) - \mu_T^\varepsilon(B)\right| = \left|\frac{C^\varepsilon \int_B L_T^0(A) \lambda(A) \,\mathrm{d}A - Z^0 \int_B L_T^\varepsilon(A) \lambda(A) \,\mathrm{d}A}{C^0 C^\varepsilon}\right|,$$

where

$$C^0 = \int_A L_T^0(A)\lambda(A) \, \mathrm{d}A,$$

and C^{ε} defined respectively. Then

$$\left|\mu_T^0(B) - \mu_T^{\varepsilon}(B)\right| \le I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{C^0} \int_B \left| L_T^0(A) - L_T^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \lambda(A) \, \mathrm{d}A,$$

$$I_2 = \frac{\left| C^{\varepsilon} - C^0 \right|}{C^0 C^{\varepsilon}} \mu_T^{\varepsilon}(B).$$

Consider first I_1 . Since $|\exp(a) - \exp(b)| \le (\exp(a) + \exp(b)) |a - b|$, we have

$$I_1 \le \frac{1}{C^0} \int_{\mathcal{P}} \left(L_T^0(A) + L_T^{\varepsilon}(A) \right) \left| \ell_T^0(A) - \ell_T^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| \lambda(A) \, \mathrm{d}A.$$

Let us consider

$$\ell_T^0(A) - \ell_T^{\varepsilon}(A) = -\int_0^T AV_0'(X_t^0) \, dX_t^0 + \int_0^T AV_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, dZ_t^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T A^2 (V_0'(X_t^0)^2 - V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2) \, dt.$$

Lemma 4.1. Under assumptions add assumptions, it holds

$$\left|\ell_T^0(A) - \ell_T^{\varepsilon}(A)\right| \to 0,$$

for $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Proof. The triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ell_T^0(A) - \ell_T^{\varepsilon}(A) \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^T A V_0'(X_t^0) \, \mathrm{d}X_t^0 - \int_0^T A V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}Z_t^{\varepsilon} \right| \\ &+ \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T A^2 \left(V_0'(X_t^0)^2 - V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| =: I_1 + I_2 \end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider I_1 . From the definition of Z_t^{ε} , we divide

$$I_{1} \leq \left| \int_{0}^{\Delta} AV_{0}'(X_{t}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}X_{t}^{0} - \int_{0}^{\Delta} AV_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \frac{X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}}{t} \, \mathrm{d}t \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{\Delta}^{T} AV_{0}'(X_{t}^{0}) \, \mathrm{d}X_{t}^{0} - \int_{\Delta}^{T} AV_{0}'(Z_{t}^{\varepsilon}) \frac{X_{t}^{\varepsilon} - X_{t-\Delta}^{\varepsilon}}{\Delta} \, \mathrm{d}t \right| =: I_{1}^{1} + I_{1}^{2}.$$

Let us first consider I_1^2 . Replacing (2.3) we can write in law

$$I_1^2 = \left| \int_{\Delta}^T AV_0'(X_t^0) \, \mathrm{d}X_t^0 - \int_{\Delta}^T AV_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \frac{J_t - A\Delta V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) + R(\varepsilon, \Delta)}{\Delta} \, \mathrm{d}t \right|,$$

where, due to Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\left(\mathbb{E}^{\mu^{\varepsilon}}\left|R(\varepsilon,\Delta)\right|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \le C(\varepsilon^{2} + \Delta^{1/2} + \Delta^{3/2}).$$

Replacing dX_t^0 with its definition given by (1.2), we can then split I_1^2 in three terms and apply the triangle inequality as

$$I_1^2 \le A^2 \left| \int_{\Delta}^T \left(V_0'(X_t^0)^2 - V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon})^2 \right) dt \right| + A \left| \int_{\Delta}^T V_0'(X_t^0) \sqrt{2\Sigma} dW_t - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{\Delta}^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) J_t dt \right|$$

$$+ A \left| \int_{\Delta}^T V_0'(Z_t^{\varepsilon}) \frac{R(\varepsilon, \Delta)}{\Delta} dt \right| =: R_1 + R_2 + R_3.$$

5 Numerical experiments

References

[1] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, and G. Papanicolaou, Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.

[2] G. A. PAVLIOTIS AND A. M. STUART, Parameter estimation for multiscale diffusions, J. Stat. Phys., 127 (2007), pp. 741–781.