### Homework 3

#### 1 The Fundamental Theorem of MPS

Let A be an injective tensor generating some translationally-invariant matrix product state  $|\Psi_A\rangle$ . Write down explicitly the overlap, and show — this time without diagrammatic notation — that

$$\langle \Psi_A | \Psi_A \rangle = \operatorname{tr} \left( \begin{array}{c} \overline{A} \\ \overline{A} \end{array} \right)^N = \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{E}^N,$$

where  $\mathbb{E} = \sum_i \bar{A}^i \otimes A^i$  is the transfer matrix. We can associate to it two linear maps,  $\varepsilon$ , as well as its adjoint  $\varepsilon^*$  (by looking at the matrix from the other direction)

$$\varepsilon(\sigma) = \sum_{i} A^{i} \sigma A^{i\dagger}, \quad \varepsilon^{*}(\sigma) = \sum_{i} A^{i\dagger} \sigma A^{i},$$

**Hint** You might find the following property useful:  $tr(A \otimes B) = tr A tr B$ .

Without loss of generality, we can take the spectral radius of the transfer matrix to be unity (we can always achieve that by rescaling A). Let  $|r\rangle$  and  $\langle \ell|$  be the dominant right and left eigenvectors, respectively, of  $\mathbb E$ . It can be shown that r and  $\ell$  correspond to the fixed points of the associated linear maps  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon^*$ , and they are both positive<sup>1</sup>. Additionally, for injective tensors, r and  $\ell$  are full rank and strictly positive definite. Show that the gauge transformation  $\tilde{A}^i = XA^iX^{-1}$ , with  $X = \sqrt{1/r}$  brings A in its right-canonical form ( $\sum_i \tilde{A}^i \tilde{A}^{i\dagger} = 1$ ), while the gauge  $X = \sqrt{\ell}$  gives the left-canonical form<sup>2</sup> ( $\sum_i \tilde{A}^{i\dagger} \tilde{A}^i = 1$ ). Choosing the left-canonical form, we then have  $\rho = \ell$ , and the fixed points of the maps are

$$\varepsilon(\rho) = \rho, \quad \varepsilon^*(1) = 1.$$

Write down the diagrammatic expression corresponding to these equations.

**Theorem 1.1** (Fundamental theorem of MPS) Given two MPS characterized by the injective tensors A and B, then  $|\Psi_A\rangle = |\Psi_B\rangle$ , as  $N \to \infty$ , if and only if there exists a gauge transform X which relates A and B as  $XA^i = B^iX$ .

You shall now prove this theorem. The backwards direction (" $\Leftarrow$ ") should be immediately clear. Let us now look at the forward direction (" $\Rightarrow$ ") of the theorem. Assume the states are correctly normalized, hence  $|\Psi_A\rangle = |\Psi_B\rangle \Leftrightarrow |\langle \Psi_A|\Psi_B\rangle| = 1$ .

Now define the operator

$$\langle \Psi_B | \Psi_A \rangle = \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{rel}}^N$$

Let X be the dominant eigenvector of  $\mathbb{E}_{\text{rel}}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . What are the maximum value of  $|\lambda|$ ? How does it determine the overlap  $\langle \Psi_B | \Psi_A \rangle$  in the thermodynamic limit? The eigenvalue equation for its associated linear map reads  $\varepsilon_{\text{rel}}^*(X) = \sum_i B^{i\dagger} X A^i = \lambda X$ . Now, without loss of generality, we can take A and B to be in canonical form. Use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality  $|\langle u|v\rangle|^2 \leq ||u||^2 ||v||^2$  to show that

$$\left|\lambda\operatorname{tr}(X\rho X^\dagger)\right|^2 \leq \left(\sum_i\operatorname{tr}(XA^i\rho A^{i^\dagger}X^\dagger)\right)\left(\sum_i\operatorname{tr}(B^iX\rho X^\dagger B^{i^\dagger})\right) = \left|\operatorname{tr}(X\rho X^\dagger)\right|^2$$

You may use equations, diagrammatic expressions, or a combination of both. When is the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality an equality? In that case, conclude by showing that  $XA^i = B^iX$ .

**Hint** The trick is to think of the trace as an overlap:  $tr(u^{\dagger}v) = \langle u|v\rangle$ .

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{D}.$  E. Evans and E. Høegh-Krohn, J. London Math. Soc. 17, 345-355 (1978). See Thm. 2.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>These forms are possible even without injectivity. It is slightly harder to show, since A needs to be decomposed in blocks. See D. Peréz-García, F. Verstraete, M.M. Wolf and J.I. Cirac, arXiv:0608197 (2006).

# 2 The AKLT State, Once Again

In the last homework we derived the tensor for the AKLT state  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}(A^{\sigma_1}A^{\sigma_2}\dots A^{\sigma_L}) |\sigma\rangle$ , with

$$A^{+} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{0} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Rescale the matrices such that  $\sum_{\sigma} A^{\sigma \dagger} A^{\sigma} = 1$ , to obtain the left-canonical form. Compute the transfer matrix and its eigenvalues (numerically, if you want). Check that in the limit  $L \to \infty$ ,  $\|\Psi\|^2 = 1$ .

# 3 A Non-Injective MPS

Recall that the GHZ state is generated by the tensor  $A^i = \delta_{i,a,b}$ , i = 0, 1. Show that for any blocking of length L (see the next exercise for a definition), the subspace  $\mathcal{S}_L$  of the GHZ state contains only two linearly independent vectors. Deduce that one cannot obtain an injective tensor after blocking for any finite L. Hence A cannot be a so-called *normal* tensor.

Compute the transfer matrix. Notice that there are two distinct dominant eigenvectors, let us call them  $|\rho_{\uparrow}\rangle$  and  $|\rho_{\downarrow}\rangle$ . What is  $\langle \text{GHZ}|\text{GHZ}\rangle$  as a function of N? Let  $O_n$  be a local operator acting on site n. Calculate the expectation values

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi | \cdot | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}$$

for  $\psi = \text{GHZ}$  of the following observables, in terms of the matrix  $\mathbb{E}_O = \sum_{i,j} O_{ij}(\bar{A}^i \otimes A^j)$ :

- $\bullet \langle O_n \rangle$ ,
- $C_L = \langle O_n O_{L+n} \rangle \langle O_n \rangle \langle O_{L+n} \rangle$ ,

Does the correlation go to zero as  $L \to \infty$ ?

### 4 Uniqueness of the Ground State for Parent Hamiltonians

Let  $|\Psi\rangle$  be an MPS generated by an *injective* tensor A. The blocking operation over k sites is the map

$$- \underbrace{B} - \equiv - \underbrace{A} - \cdots - \underbrace{A} - \underbrace{A}$$

Verify the stability of the injectivity condition under blocking — that means, show that the superblock obtained by blocking k tensors still has the injectivity property (modulo a constant). There are a couple ways of doing this, you can use a diagrammatic argument, or you can use the mathematical definition of injectivity, namely that the map  $X \mapsto \sum_i \operatorname{tr}(A^i X)|i\rangle$  is injective.

In this exercise we will prove the uniqueness of parent Hamiltonians, for *injective* tensors. To keep thing simple, we will restrict out attention to the one dimension, in the case where the parent Hamiltonian is a sum of *nearest-neighbor* terms  $h_{i,i+1}$ . Therefore we define the subspace

$$S_2 = \left\{ \sum_{i,j} \operatorname{tr}(A^i A^j X) | i,j \rangle \middle| X \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^{D \times D}) \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} i & j \\ \hline A & A \end{array} \middle| X \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^{D \times D}) \right\}.$$

where  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^{D\times D})$  is the space of all  $D\times D$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ . This space is just a special case of a series of subspaces

$$S_k = \left\{ \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \operatorname{tr}(A^{i_1} \dots A^{i_k} X) | i_1, \dots, i_k \rangle \middle| X \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^{D \times D}) \right\}.$$

Show that  $S_k$  is the range of the k-sites reduced density matrix  $\rho_k$ .

Let me remind you of how you obtain the parent Hamiltonian: by defining the projector onto the space orthogonal to  $S_2$ , we can construct the local term  $h_{i,i+1}$ . The parent Hamiltonian is then simply the sum of these local terms,  $H = \sum_i h_{i,i+1}$ .

In order to prove the uniqueness, we will start by considering a chain with open boundary conditions, and show that the ground state subspace is  $S_2$ . We will then close the boundaries, and show that the only state remaining is  $|\Psi\rangle$ . We can formalize this with two theorems.

**Theorem 4.1** (Intersection property) Let A and B be injective tensors. Then,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} i & j & k \\ \hline A & B & M \end{array} \middle| M \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} i & j & k \\ \hline N & B & A \end{array} \middle| N \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} i & j & k \\ \hline A & B & A \end{array} \middle| X \right\},$$

with  $M, N, X \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^{D \times D})$ .

We shall prove this theorem by showing inclusion in both directions. Construct a state in the right hand side that belongs in both of the other two sets on the left hand side. Conversely, for any state  $|\psi\rangle$  in the l.h.s., there exists M,N such that

$$|\psi\rangle = A B M = N B A$$
.

Apply the inverse of A and B to find a relationship between M and N. Use this relationship to show that  $|\psi\rangle$  is contained in the r.h.s., proving inclusion both ways.

**Theorem 4.2** (Closure property) For injective A and B,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} B & A \\ \hline M & \end{array} \right| M \right\} \cap \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} B & A \\ \hline N & \end{array} \right| N \right\} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} B & A \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} .$$

with  $M, N \in \mathbf{L}(\mathbb{C}^{D \times D})$ .

As for Theorem 4.1, show that the r.h.s. is included in the intersection by choosing M and N appropriately. To prove inclusion the other way around, take a state belonging to the intersection, apply the inverses, and find that  $M \propto 1$ , concluding the proof.

We define the operator  $h_{i,i+1}$  acting on site (i, i+1)

$$h_{i,i+1} = \mathbb{1} \otimes (\mathbb{1} - P_{\mathcal{S}_2}) \otimes \mathbb{1},$$

where  $P_{S_2}$  is the projector on  $S_2$ . Notice that  $S_2$  is the *ground space* of  $h_{i,i+1}$ . Conclude by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.3** (Parent Hamiltonian) Let A be an injective tensor generating an MPS  $|\Psi\rangle$ . There exists a self-adjoint operator H, such that  $|\Psi\rangle$  is its unique and frustration-free ground state.

By induction, use Theorem 4.1 to prove that the intersection between acting with  $h_{i,i+1}$  on every two-body pair  $(1,2),(2,3),\ldots(L-1,L)$  gives the support of  $\mathcal{S}_L$ . Finally, use Theorem 4.2 to prove that acting with the last term  $h_{L,1}$  necessarily implies that the only remaining state is

$$\label{eq:power_law_energy} \underbrace{\stackrel{\dot{\boldsymbol{i}}_{I}}{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \stackrel{\dot{\boldsymbol{i}}_{I}}{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdots \stackrel{\dot{\boldsymbol{i}}_{I}}{\boldsymbol{A}}}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdots \stackrel{\dot{\boldsymbol{i}}_{L}}{\boldsymbol{A}} = |\Psi\rangle\,.$$

**Note** This theorem is valid for any injective tensor network.