SystemStar 3.0 Demo December 6, 2021 Page:

Estimate Name:

FoodSystem COCOMO® II 2000 Estimate ID: Model Name: Model ID: 2000 Waterfall Process Model: COCOMO® II Model Phases:

File: C:\Users\Dao Ngan Giang\Desktop\Honors_Credit\FoodSystem.cst

Description:

Model File: Built in.

152 Hours per Person-Month:

COCOMO Estimating Equations						
Effort	1.1138 = 2.9400 * EAF * (KSLOC)	EAF = 1.5861 = Effort in Person-Months				
Schedule	0.3208 = 3.6700 * (Effort)	= Duration in Months				
Maintenance Effort	1.1138 = 2.9400 * EAF * (KSLOC)	= Effort (per year) in Person-Months				

COCOMO	IIS	cale	Factors
--------	-----	------	---------

Somewhat Unprecedented Precedentedness:

Development Flexibility: Some Relaxation Architecture / Risk Resolution: Some (40%)

Team Cohesion: **Basically Cooperative Process Maturity:** SEI CMM Level 2

Results	PD+DD+CT+IT
EAF Nominal PM	1.5861 6.4
Actual PM Cost (K\$) Developed Size Productivity (Lines / PM) Unit Cost (\$ / Line)	10.1 0.0 2,000 198.2 0.00

Estimate ID:

SystemStar 3.0 Demo December 6, 2021 Page:

Estimate Name:

FoodSystem COCOMO® II 2000 Model Name: Model ID: 2000 COCOMO® II Model Waterfall Process Model: Phases:

Increment Phasing & Increment Breakage Worksheets

Starting point for each increment: PDW

	Starting point for each informent. I DW										
Increment	Incremental COCOMO Breakage	Milestone in previous Increment	Delay before RQ	Delay before PD	Delay before DD	Delay before CT	Delay before IT				
1			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
2	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
3	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
4	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
5	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
6	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
7	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
8	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
9	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
10	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
11	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
12	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
13	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
14	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
15	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
16	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
17	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
18	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
19	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
20	0%	UTC	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		I .	I .	I	1	1	1				

Breakage Effort -- not Associated with Individual Components

Increment 1 may contain RQ and PD effort for components developed in later increments. Increments 2-20 may contain effort caused by Incremental COCOMO style breakage (not REVL).

	,	,	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Increment	RQ Effort	PD Effort	DD Effort	CT Effort	IT Effort
1	0.0	0.0			
2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
10		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
14		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
15		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
16		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
17		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
19		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
20		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

December 6, 2021 SystemStar 3.0 Demo Page: 3

Estimate Name:

FoodSystem COCOMO® II 2000 COCOMO® II Model Estimate ID: 2000 Waterfall Model Name: Model ID: Process Model: Phases:

Labor Cost Worksheet						
Names of Labor Classes *	Cost / Person-Month					
Programmer	0					
Senior Programmer	0					
Analyst	0					
Supervisor	0					
Tech Writer	0					
Department Head	0					
Tester	0					
Reviewer	0					
	0					
	0					
	0					
	0					

December 6, 2021 SystemStar 3.0 Demo Page: 4

Estimate Name:

FoodSystem COCOMO® II 2000 Estimate ID: 2000 Model Name: Model ID: COCOMO® II Model Phases: Waterfall Process Model:

Custom Effort & Schedule Filters

Filters: Enabled Model

	R	Q	Р	D	DD		СТ		IT		MN
Increment	Eff	Sch	Eff								
1	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
3	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
4	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
6	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
7	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
8	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
9	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
10	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
11	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
12	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
13	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
14	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
15	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
16	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
17	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
18	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
19	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
20	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	

Estimate ID:

December 6, 2021 SystemStar 3.0 Demo Page: 5

Estimate Name:

FoodSystem COCOMO® II 2000 Model Name: Model ID: 2000 COCOMO® II Model Phases: Waterfall Process Model:

Component Name: Component1 Component ID:

Increment: Level:

Developed Size: 2,000 EAF: 1.5861

Sizing Summary							
Sizing Method:	SLOC	Size before REVL (Delivered SLOC): Requirements Evolution & Volatility:	2,000 * 0				
Size from SLOC: Size from Reuse:	2,000 n/a	Size after REVL:	2,000				
Size from Function Points:	n/a	Increment Breakage SLOC:	0				
Size from Subcomponents: Size Distribution:	n/a n/a	Developed SLOC:	2,000				

Reuse								
Percent Design Modified:		*	() %	Lines Being Adapted:	0		
Percent Code Modified:		*	() %	Newly Created Lines:	0		
Percent Integration Required:		*	() %	·			
Conversion Planning Increment:		*	() %				
Assessment & Assimilation:	0	%	*					
Software Understanding:	0	%	*					
Unfamiliarity with Software:	0.00		*					

Function Points								
External Input External Output Logical Internal File External Interface File External Inquiry		Simple 0 0 0 0	Average 0 0 0 0 0	Complex 0 0 0 0 0				
UnAdjusted Function Points: Complexity Adjustment Factor: Adjusted Function Points: Lines per Function Point:	0 1.00 * 0 100 *							
Data Communications Distributed Function Performance Heavily Used Config High Transaction Rate Online Data Entry End User Efficiency	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Online Updat Complex Pro Code Reusal Installation E Operational E Multiple Sites Facilitate Cha	ocessing bility ase Ease S	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50				

Maintenance							
Size of Component being Maintained:			2,000	COCOMO II style Calculations.			
Software Understanding: Unfamiliarity with Software: Annual Maint. Change Factor:	0 % 0.00 0 %	*					
Percentage Annual Change Traffic (PA	ACT):		0 %	*			

December 6, 2021 SystemStar 3.0 Demo Page:

Estimate Name:

FoodSystem COCOMO® II 2000 COCOMO® II Model Model Name: Model ID: 2000 Phases: Waterfall Process Model:

Component Name: Component1 Component ID:

Increment: Level:

Developed Size: 2,000 EAF: 1.5861

Parent: Description:

Cost Driver		Development	Maint.
ACAP:		Nominal	
APEX:		Very Low	
CPLX:	*	Nominal	
DATA:	*	Nominal	
PCAP:		Low	
RELY:	*	Nominal	
RUSE:		Low	
SCED:	*	Nominal	
STOR:	*	Nominal	
TIME:	*	Nominal	
TOOL:	*	Nominal	
PLEX:		Very Low	
LTEX:		Nominal	
PCON:	*	Nominal	
SITE:	*	Nominal	
PVOL:	*	Nominal	
DOCU:	*	Nominal	

Results	PD+DD+CT+IT	Maintenance
EAF Nominal PM	1.5861 6.4	0.0000 0.0
Actual PM Cost (K\$) Developed Size Lines / PM \$ / Line	10.1 0.0 2,000 198.2 0.00	0.0 0.0

Estimate ID:

Phase	Cost / Person-Month					
Requirements Product Design Detailed Design Code & Unit Test Integration & Test Maintenance	* \$ 0 * \$ 0 * \$ 0 * \$ 0 * \$ 0 * \$ 0					

Labor Distribution Worksheet						
Labor Class	RQ	PD	DD	СТ	IT	MN
Programmer Senior Programmer Analyst Supervisor Tech Writer Department Head Tester Reviewer	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö