MTH210 2024: Midsem Exam

Instructions:

- (a) Write your name and roll number on all supplemental sheets.
- (b) Marks will not be given unless all work is shown. Show all mathematical details.

Useful densities:

- Gamma (α, β) : $f(x) = \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha 1} e^{-\beta x}, x > 0$
- Exponential(λ): $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, x > 0$.
- Student's t_5 -distribution $f(x) = \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\sqrt{5\pi}\Gamma(2.5)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{5}\right)^{-3}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$

Questions:

1. (20 points) Let $(X,Y) \sim F$, where distribution F is the uniform distribution over a circle of radius 1, centered at the origin. That is, the density of F is

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{I}(x^2 + y^2 < 1)$$
.

- (a) (10 points) Let R and Θ denote the polar coordinates of (X,Y): $X = R \cos \Theta$ and $Y = R \sin \Theta$. Find the joint pdf of R and Θ .
- (b) (10 points) Using the answer to (a) and only using draws from U(0,1), write an algorithm to obtain a sample from F.

Solution:

The joint density of (X, Y) is

$$f(x,y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{I}(x^2 + y^2 < 1)$$
.

With the polar coordinate transformation, $X = R \cos \Theta$ and $Y = R \sin \Theta$. We have to find the density of (R, Θ) . Let (r, θ) denote a realization from this distribution. For this, the Jacobian is

$$|J| = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial x}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -r\sin\theta & r\cos\theta \\ \cos\theta & \sin\theta \end{vmatrix} = r.$$

We obtain

$$f_{(R,\theta)}(r,\theta) = |J|f(r,\theta)$$
$$= \frac{d}{\pi}\mathbb{I}(d<1)\mathbb{I}(0<\theta<2\pi)$$

1

$$= (2d\mathbb{I}(d<1)) \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathbb{I}(0 < \theta < 2\pi)$$
$$= f_R(d) f_{\Theta}(\theta)$$

The above is the joint density of (R, Θ) .

Common mistakes:

- -4 if distribution of (R^2, Θ) has been found
- -2 if Jacobian calculation is not shown

Solution (b):

From the joint pdf above, we know that R and Θ are independent with $\Theta \sim U(0, 2\pi)$ and R has density $f_R(r) = 2r$. Note that the CDF of R is

$$u = F(r) = \int_0^r 2x dx = r^2 \Rightarrow F^{-1}(u) = \sqrt{u}$$
.

Using the above inverse CDF, we have the following algorithm

- (a) Draw $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0,1)$ independently
- (b) Set $\Theta = 2\pi U_1$ and $R = \sqrt{U_2}$
- (c) Set $X = R \cos \Theta$ and $Y = R \sin \Theta$.

Common mistakes:

- -1 if iid not written
- -3 if method of drawing from R is not described
- -1 for not writing independent
- 2. (30 points) Consider the distribution F with density

$$f(x) = \frac{(1+x)e^{-x}}{2}$$
 where $0 < x < \infty$.

- (a) (10 points) For an Exponential(λ) proposal distribution, what is the best value of λ for the accept-reject algorithm?
- (b) (5 points) Write down the full accept-reject algorithm using the best value of λ . You are only allowed to use draws from U(0,1).
- (c) (10 points) Write down the steps of the Ratio-of-Uniforms algorithm to sample from F.

You are only allowed to use draws from U(0,1).

(d) (5 points) Which of the two algorithms in (b) and (c) is more efficient and why?

Solution:

(a)Let $g(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ denote the density of an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ proposal. For the above target, the best value of λ would be the one that would minimize the bound:

$$\sup_{x>0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le c(\lambda) \,.$$

First, let's find a form of $c(\lambda)$

$$\log r(x) := \log f(x) - \log g(x)$$

$$= \log(1+x) - x + \lambda x - \log 2 - \log \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \log r(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{1+x} - 1 + \lambda \stackrel{set}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \quad \text{for } \lambda < 1.$$

At this value of x, we obtain the optimal $c(\lambda)$ is

$$c(\lambda) = \frac{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}e^{-\lambda/(1-\lambda)}}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda e^{-\lambda^2/(1-\lambda)}} = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \frac{1}{1-\lambda} e^{-\lambda}.$$

We now want to minimize this value to obtain the best proposal. Taking log

$$\log c(\lambda) = -\log 2 - \log \lambda - \log(1 - \lambda) - \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\log c(\lambda)}{d\lambda} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} - 1 \stackrel{set}{=} 0$$

Solving the equation we get that

$$\lambda^* = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \,.$$

Since λ^* must be between (0,1), we get

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \,.$$

Common mistakes:

- -1 or -2 for small calculation errors
- -2 if not accounted for the fact that $\lambda > 0$.
- -5 if $c(\lambda)$ obtained but not attempted to minimize

(b)

Below is the algorithm

- (1) Draw U_1, U_2 independently from U(0,1)
- (2) Set $Y = \log(1 U_1)/\lambda^*$ (obtain this using inverse transform)
- (3) If $U_2 \leq \frac{f(Y)}{c(\lambda^*)g(Y)}$, then set X = Y
- (4) Else go to step (1)

- -1 if iid not written
- -1 if inverse transform method not illustrated for $\text{Exp}(\lambda^*)$.
- -2 if different U_1 and U_2 are not taken

Solution (c)

To implement RoU, first recall the set

$$D = \left\{ (u, v) : 0 < u < \sqrt{f\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)} \right\}.$$

To implement RoU, we have to draw $(U, V) \sim \text{Unif}(D)$. This we will do using Accept-Reject by sampling from a box that encloses D. Box $[0, a] \times [b, c]$ encloses D where:

$$a = \sup_{x>0} \sqrt{f(x)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\text{ at } x = 0)$$
 (show the steps)

$$b = \inf_{x>0} x \sqrt{f(x)} = 0$$
 since infimum must occur at $x = 0$

$$c = \sup_{x>0} x \sqrt{f(x)} = x_c \sqrt{f(x_c)}$$
 where $x_c = \sqrt{3} + 1$ (show this in steps)

The steps of the RoU algorithm are:

- (1) Draw $U_1, U_2 \sim U(0,1)$ independently
- (2) Set $U = aU_1$ and $V = cU_2$.
- (3) If $U < \sqrt{f(V/U)}$, then set X = V/U
- (4) Else, go to (1).

Common mistakes:

- -1 if independently not written
- -1 if drawn directly from $U_1(0,a)$ and $U_2(0,c)$
- -3 if major error in RoU algorithm
- -1 or -2 if small calculation error
- -6 if not able to describe a, b and c.

Solution d:

The algorithm that is better is the one that has the smaller number of expected loops. The expected number of loops of AR is $c(\lambda^*)$.

The expected number of loops for the RoU is 2a(c-b). These values are difficult to compare, so whichever one is smaller should be the one chosen

- -3 if form of expected number of loops is not written or
- -3 if logic is wrong
- 3. (20 points) Consider a target distribution F with density f(x) with support \mathcal{X} . Suppose this density can be written as $f(x) = g_1(x) + g_2(x)$ where $g_1(x) \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) \geq 0$ are functions. Further, let

$$p := \int_{\mathcal{X}} g_2(x) dx \,.$$

so that $\tilde{g}_2(x) = g_2(x)/p$ is a pdf on \mathcal{X} with distribution \tilde{G}_2 . Let h(x) be a pdf of a distribution H, so that $g_1(x) \leq h(x)$. With this setup, consider the following algorithm:

Algorithm 1

- 1: Draw $U \sim U(0, 1)$
- 2: Independently, generate $Y \sim H$
- 3: If $U \leq \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}$, then accept X = Y and stop
- 4: Else generate $Z \sim \tilde{G}_2$ and return X = Z.

Q: Prove that Algorithm 1 returns $X \sim F$.

Solution: We will show that the CDF of the output random variable X, is F. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, note that by law of total probability

$$\Pr(X \le t) = \Pr\left(X \le t, U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right) + \Pr\left(X \le t, U > \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)$$

We will consider both of these terms:

$$= \Pr\left(X \le t, U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)$$

$$= \Pr\left(Y \le t, U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(I\left(Y \le t, U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left(I\left(Y \le t\right)\left(U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)\right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(I\left(Y \le t\right)I\left(U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right) \mid Y\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[I\left(Y \le t\right)\mathbb{E}\left(I\left(U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right) \mid Y\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[I\left(Y \le t\right)\frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{t} \frac{g_1(y)}{h(y)}h(y)dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_1(y)dy.$$

For the second term,

$$\Pr(X \le t, \text{ acceptance in Step 3})$$

= $\Pr\left(Z \le t, U > \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)$

$$= \Pr\left(Z \le t\right) \Pr\left(U > \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right) \quad \text{due to independence}$$

$$= \Pr\left(Z \le t\right) \left[1 - \Pr\left(U \le \frac{g_1(Y)}{h(Y)}\right)\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left(Z \le t\right) \left[1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} g_1(y) dy\right]$$

$$= \Pr\left(Z \le t\right) \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \left(g_1(y) + g_2(y)\right) dy - \int_{\mathcal{X}} g_1(y) dy\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{g_2(z)}{p} dz \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} g_2(y) dy\right]$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{g_2(z)}{p} dz \cdot p$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^t g_2(z) dz .$$

Thus,

$$\Pr(X \le t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_1(y) dy + \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_2(z) dz = F(t).$$

- -5 if probabilities not broken up properly in the beginning (but idea is correct)
- -5 if second probability you cannot solve
- -1 if independence not mentioned at key stage
- 4. (30 points) Let $Y|(X=x) \sim N(x,1)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $X \sim$ Student's t_5 -distribution.
 - (a) (15 points) In the code below, function denY implements Simple Monte Carlo estimation. Identify the statistical quantity, θ , being estimated, and mathematically describe the estimator, as we have done in class.

```
# Function that implements Simple Monte Carlo
denY <- function(y.star, N = 1e3)
{
    x <- rt(N, df = 5)
    hx_at_y.star <- dnorm(y.star, mean = x, sd = 1)
    den_at_y.star <- mean(hx_at_y.star)
    return(den_at_y.star)
}

# The code below may provide more hints on the purpose of denY
# make your choice of grid-values
ys <- seq(-5, 5, length = 5e2)
den <- numeric(length = length(ys))

# Calculate for each value of ys
for(i in 1:length(ys))</pre>
```

```
{
  den[i] <- denY(ys[i])
}
plot(ys, den, type = 'l', xlab = "y" = ylab = "Density")</pre>
```

Solution: Let $f_{(X,Y)}(x,y)$ denote the joint pdf of the random variable (X,Y) and $f_{Y|X}(y)$ denote the conditional density of Y|X and $f_X(x)$ denote the marginal density of X.

In the function denY, we are drawing $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} t_5$, and taking the sample average over $f(y^*|X_t)$. So that my estimator is $\hat{\theta}$:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} f_{Y|X}(y^*|X_t) \quad \text{where } X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} t_5$$

where $f_{Y|X}(y^*|X_t)$ is the pdf value at y^* of a $N(X_t, 1)$ distribution. By a law of large numbers,

$$\hat{\theta} \stackrel{p}{\to} \int f_{Y|X}(y^*|x) f_X(x) dx = f_Y(y^*) =: \theta.$$

Common mistakes:

- -4 if not identified that θ is the marginal density of Y evaluated at a fixed y^* .
- -1 if iid not written
- -4 if not explained what $h(x) = f(y^*|X_t)$ is
- -4 if X_1, \ldots, X_n from t_5 is not identified.
- (b) (15 points) Construct a simple importance sampling estimator of θ , as identified in (a). Your estimator should be guaranteed to have finite variance. Provide a mathematical argument for why your estimator has finite variance.

Solution:

For an importance proposal density g(x) and target $f_X(x)$ being the pdf of a t_5 distribution, if we can show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{f_X(x)}{g(x)} \le M < \infty$$

then, we know that the simple importance sampling estimator will have finite variance. Consider the standard Cauchy proposal density

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1+x^2} \qquad , x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For this choice of g(x),

$$r(x) = \frac{f_X(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\sqrt{5\pi}\Gamma(2.5)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{5}\right)^{-3} \pi (1 + x^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log r(x) = \log(\text{constant}) - 3\log\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{5}\right) + \log(1 + x^2).$$

Taking derivative, and setting to 0, we get that r(x) is maximized at $x = \pm 1$, and thus $f_X(x)/g(x) \le r(1)$.

A finite variance simple importance sampling estimator then is the following.

- (1) Generation $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Cauchy}$
- (2) Estimate θ with

$$\hat{\theta}_{IS} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{f_{Y|X}(y^*|Z_t) f_X(Z_t)}{g(Z_t)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(y^* - Z_t)^2 / 2} \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\sqrt{5\pi} \Gamma(2.5)} \left(1 + \frac{Z_t^2}{5}\right)^{-3}}{\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(1 + Z_t^2)}}$$

- -8 if variance is not shown to be finite or if proposal chosen is not one giving finite variance
- -1 if iid not written
- -5 if $\sup f(x)/g(x)$ is not solved and shown to be bounded
- -10 if explicit choice of g(x) is not taken