Lecture 22

Estimation

Arnab Hazra



Introduction

- We assume we have T observations, X_1, \ldots, X_T , from a causal and invertible Gaussian ARMA(p, q) process.
- ightharpoonup For the time being, the order parameters, p and q, are known.
- ▶ Our goal is to estimate the parameters, $\phi_1, \ldots, \theta_p, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_q$, and σ_W^2 .
- If $E(X_t) = \mu$, then the method of moments estimator of μ is the sample average, \overline{X} .
- ▶ Thus, while discussing method of moments, we will assume $\mu = 0$.

ACF of an ARMA(p, q) process (Recap)

- A causal ARMA(p,q) model $\{X_t; t=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots\}$ can be written as a one-sided linear process $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j W_{t-j} = \psi(B) W_t$.
- We have

$$\gamma(h) = \operatorname{Cov}(X_{t+h}, X_t) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j \gamma(h-j) + \sigma_w^2 \sum_{j=h}^{q} \theta_j \psi_{j-h}, \quad h \geq 0.$$

► From there, we can write $\gamma(h) - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j \gamma(h-j) = 0$, $h \ge \max\{p, q+1\}$, with initial conditions

$$\gamma(h) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \phi_{j} \gamma(h-j) - \sigma_{w}^{2} \sum_{i=h}^{q} \theta_{j} \psi_{j-h} = 0, \ \ 0 \leq h < \max\{p, q+1\}.$$

Yule-Walker equations

▶ We first consider the case of AR(p) models,

$$X_t = \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \ldots + \theta_p X_{t-p} + W_t.$$

For h = 1, 2, ..., p, we can write

$$\gamma(h) - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j \gamma(h-j) = 0.$$

For h = 0,

$$\gamma(0) - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j \gamma(j) - \sigma_w^2 = 0,$$

which implies $\sigma_w^2 = \gamma(0) - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j \gamma(j)$.

MoM estimator of ϕ

- $ightharpoonup \Gamma_p$ is a $p \times p$ matrix with its (i, j)th element $\gamma(i j)$

- In matrix notation, the Yule-Walker equations are

$$\Gamma_{\rho}\phi=\gamma_{\rho}, \ \ \sigma_{W}^{2}=\gamma(0)-\phi'\gamma_{\rho}.$$

▶ We replace $\gamma(h)$ by $\hat{\gamma}(h)$ and we obtain

$$\hat{\phi} = \hat{\Gamma}_{\rho}^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_{\rho}, \ \hat{\sigma}_{W}^{2} = \hat{\gamma}(0) - \hat{\gamma}_{\rho}' \hat{\Gamma}_{\rho}^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_{\rho}.$$

In practice, both sides are usually divided by $\hat{\gamma}(0)$ and the equation is rewritten in terms of sample ACF.



Large sample results

- For AR(p) models, if the sample size is large, the Yule-Walker estimators are approximately normally distributed, and $\hat{\sigma}_W^2$ is close to the true value of σ_W^2 .
- ▶ The asymptotic ($T \to \infty$) behavior of the Yule-Walker estimators in the case of causal AR(p) processes is as follows:

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\phi}-\phi)\stackrel{D}{\to} \text{MVN}_{\rho}(\mathbf{0},\sigma_W^2\Gamma_{\rho}^{-1}).$$

- The Durbin-Levinson algorithm can be used to calculate $\hat{\phi}$ without inverting $\hat{\Gamma}_{p}$.
- ▶ For a causal AR(p) process, asymptotically ($T \to \infty$),

$$\sqrt{T}\hat{\phi}_{h,h} \stackrel{D}{\to} N(0,1)$$
, for $h > p$.



Problem of MoM for other models

- ► AR(p) models are basically linear models, and the Yule-Walker estimators are essentially least squares estimators.
- ► If we use MoM for MA or ARMA models, we will not get optimal estimators because such processes are nonlinear in the parameters.
- For the MA(1) model $X_t = W_t + \theta W_{t-1}$, we can write as

$$X_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-\theta)^j X_{t-j} + W_t,$$

which is nonlinear in θ .

- ► Here $\gamma(0) = \sigma_W^2(1 + \theta^2)$ and $\gamma(1) = \theta \sigma_W^2$.
- ▶ Hence, $\hat{\rho}(1) = \hat{\gamma}(1)/\hat{\gamma}(0) = \hat{\theta}/(1+\hat{\theta}^2)$. Because $|\hat{\theta}/(1+\hat{\theta}^2)| \leq 1/2$ but $|\hat{\rho}(1)|$ does not necessarily satisfy this condition, MoM is problematic for this model.

ML estimation

- ▶ We first focus on the causal AR(1) case. Let $X_t = \mu + \phi(X_{t-1} \mu) + W_t$.
- ▶ Here $W_t \stackrel{IID}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_W^2)$. The likelihood is $L(\mu, \phi, \sigma_W^2) = f(X_1, \dots, X_T | \mu, \phi, \sigma_W^2)$.
- ▶ Due to AR(1) structure, $L(\mu, \phi, \sigma_W^2) = f(X_1)f(X_2|X_1) \dots f(X_T|X_{T-1})$.
- ▶ Because $X_t|X_{t-1} \sim N(\mu + \phi(X_{t-1} \mu), \sigma_W^2)$, we have

$$f(X_t|X_{t-1}) = f_W[(X_t - \mu) - \phi(X_{t-1} - \mu)].$$

Overall

$$L(\mu, \phi, \sigma_W^2) = f(X_1) \prod_{t=2}^{l} f_W[(X_t - \mu) - \phi(X_{t-1} - \mu)].$$

Considering $f(X_1)$, our approach is called unconditional least square, and after ignoring it, it is called unconditional least square.



Thank you!