

Representations of Poincaré Group

Giancarlo Oancia^a Gian Luigi Paganini^a

^a *University of Bologna*

E-mail: giancarlo.oancia@studio.unibo.it,
gianluigi.paganini@studio.unibo.it

ABSTRACT: This document discusses the finite and infinite dimensional representations of the Poincaré Group. In particular, it gives special attention to the relationship between one-particle states and fields, both before and after quantisation.

KEYWORDS: Lorentz group, Poincaré group

*Dedicated to students:
to their sweat and toil,
to the incomprehensibility of physics,
and to the profound beauty of symmetries.*

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Group Theory Basics	2
2.1	Lie Groups and Algebra	2
2.2	Group Representations	7
2.3	Algebra Representations	8
2.4	Casimir Operators	10
3	Symmetries in Quantum Mechanics	11
4	The Groups $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ and their Representations	14
4.1	The Group $SO(3)$	14
4.2	The Group $SU(2)$	15
4.3	Representation of the Algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$	16
4.4	Half-integer spin irreducible representation.	18
4.5	Relation between $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ and Proof of Double Coverness	18
5	Lorentz Group and its Representations	19
5.1	Lorentz Group	19
5.2	Lorentz Algebra	20
5.2.1	Trivial Representation	22
5.2.2	Vector Representation	22
5.2.3	Tensor Representation	23
5.2.4	Decomposition of Lorentz tensors under $SO(3)$	25
5.2.5	Spinorial Representation	25
5.2.6	Field Representation	25
6	Poincaré Group and its Representations	28
6.1	Poincaré Group	28
6.2	Poincaré Algebra	28
6.3	Casimir Operators	30
A	Conventions	31
A.1	Levi-Civita Symbol	31

Introduction

Before beginning the discussion, we provide a list of useful references to help understand the physical relevance of the representations of the Poincaré group.

Our main reference will be the QFT1 lecture notes from the University of Bologna course by Professor Michele Cicoli, [1], which introduce groups and representations, and outline the construction of one-particle state multiplets using the Casimir operators. For representation theory, we'll refer to Professor Ling Lin's group theory lecture notes [2] from RQM course of University of Bologna.

Further, regarding books, an introductory option is Schwartz's text, [3]. Another helpful reference is Maggiore's book, [4], which covers representations on fields and one-particle states. A key resource is Chapter two of Weinberg's book, [5]. Although the notation may initially seem complex, the effort is well rewarded as the physical meaning becomes clear by the end of the chapter. We'll occasionally refer to results from this text without reproducing all the details and computations.

Lastly, I recommend a valuable discussion on *stackexchange*, [6]. This provides an insightful overview of the role of fields as representations of the Poincaré group, both before and after the quantisation.

We now introduce some basic concepts of group theory which will be used throughout the discussion.

Group Theory Basics

2.1 Lie Groups and Algebra

Definition 1 (Group). A *group* (G, \circ) is a set G equipped with a composition map,

$$\circ: G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad (g, h) \mapsto g \circ h \in G, \quad (2.1.1)$$

called *group multiplication*, which satisfies the following axioms:

1. *Closure*: $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \circ g_2 \in G$.
2. *Associativity*: $\forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G \implies (g_1 \circ g_2) \circ g_3 = g_1 \circ (g_2 \circ g_3)$.
3. *Neutral element*: $\exists e \in G$ such that $\forall g \in G \implies g \circ e = e \circ g = g$.
4. *Inverse element*: $\forall g \in G, \exists g^{-1}$ such that $g \circ g^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ g = e$.

If, in addition, $\forall g_1, g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \circ g_2 = g_2 \circ g_1$, then G is said to be *abelian*.

Definition 2 (Subgroup). Given a group (G, \circ) , a *subgroup* (H, \circ) is a subset $H \subset G$ that satisfies the group axioms with the composition inherited from G .

Remark. For H to be a subgroup, it must contain the same identity element $e \in G$. While abelian group admits *only* abelian subgroups, a non abelian group *can* have both abelian and non abelian subgroups.

A group can be *finite* or *infinite* based on its number of elements. The *dimension* of a group G , denoted by $\dim(G)$, represents the number of *real* parameters required to specify an element of G .

Definition 3 (Group homomorphism and isomorphism). A *group homomorphism* is a function between two groups which preserves the group structure. For groups (G_1, \circ) and (G_2, \cdot) , given $g_1, g_2 \in G_1$, a map $f: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism if

$$f(g_1) \cdot f(g_2) = f(g_1 \circ g_2). \quad (2.1.2)$$

A *group isomorphism* is a bijective homomorphism.

Definition 4 (One-parameter subgroup). A *one-parameter subgroup* is a continuous group homomorphism

$$\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G, \quad (2.1.3)$$

where \mathbb{R} is considered as an additive group. If ϕ is injective, its image $\phi(\mathbb{R})$ forms a subgroup of G , isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

Definition 5 (Lie group). A *Lie group* is a group whose elements depend continuously and differentiably on a set of real parameters θ^a , where $a = 1, \dots, N$. Thus, a Lie group is both a group and a differentiable manifold.

Remark. While a Lie group could be defined as a Hausdorff topological group that behaves like a transformation group near the identity, we will focus on its manifold structure, which is more relevant to our purposes.

Each element of the Lie group can be represented as a point on its manifold, and the dimension of the group matches that of the manifold. We denote a generic element as $g(\theta)$ and choose the coordinates θ^a such that the identity element e of the group corresponds to $\theta^a = 0$, i.e., $g(0) = e$. This structure allows us to expand group elements in a Taylor-like series and consider elements infinitesimally close to the identity. The set of these “infinitesimal” elements forms the tangent space at the identity e , which is the basis of the Lie algebra.

To clarify, we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 6 (Tangent space). Let \mathcal{M} be a manifold. For each point $x \in \mathcal{M}$, the *tangent space* at x , $T_x\mathcal{M}$, is the space of tangent vectors

$$v = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \gamma(t) \in T_x\mathcal{M}, \quad (2.1.4)$$

where $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is any curve on the manifold passing through x . The dimension of $T_x\mathcal{M}$ matches that of \mathcal{M} .

Definition 7 (Lie algebra). For a Lie group G with identity $e \in G$, the *Lie Algebra* \mathfrak{g} is the tangent space at the identity, $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$.

Let’s now introduce some definitions and theorems which will be useful later for studying the properties of the Poincaré group. Recall that, as a manifold, a Lie group is also a topological space, allowing us to apply the results developed for such spaces. However, whenever possible, we’ll specify concepts in terms of the manifold structure upon the topological space, to provide greater clarity and focus on the applications of interest.

Definition 8 (Connected space). A topological space is said to be *connected* if it can’t be represented as the union of two or more disjoint non-empty open subsets.

Definition 9 (Connected component). For a group G , the *connected component* (also known as *identity component* or *unity component*), is the largest connected subgroup of G containing the identity element.

Definition 10 (Simply connected). A Lie group G is *simply connected* if any two paths $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma'(t)$, which share the same endpoints, can be continuously deformed into one another.

The Lorentz group, for example, has four connected components, of which we choose the proper orthochronous part. Since it’s not simply connected, the following theorem becomes relevant.

Theorem T.1. *If G is not simply connected, there exists another Lie group \tilde{G} with isomorphic Lie algebra, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}$, which is simply connected. This \tilde{G} is called universal cover of G . There is thus a projection map $\pi: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ which is a surjective group homomorphism.*

Thus, the algebras of \tilde{G} and G coincide, i.e., $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}$. This means that for each point $g \in \tilde{G}$, there exists an open neighbourhood $g \in U \subset \tilde{G}$ such that the restriction $\pi|_U: U \rightarrow \Phi(U)$ is a diffeomorphism¹. Consequently, the tangent space at $g \in \tilde{G}$ is isomorphic to the tangent space at $\pi(g) \in G$, including the tangent space at the identity.

For the proper orthochronous Lorentz group, the universal cover is the spin group, which is isomorphic to $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 11 (Compact space). A topological space X is *compact* if every open cover of X has a finite subcover. Roughly speaking, a Lie group is compact if its parameter space is bounded.

Theorem T.2. *Every compact Lie group is isomorphic to a matrix group.*

Due to the presence of boosts, the Lorentz group is *non-compact*, which implies that its unitary representations must be infinite-dimensional, as we'll shortly see. This property directs us toward studying representations on one-particle Hilbert spaces.

We now introduce the exponential map, a key tool for obtaining elements of G from its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Definition 12 (Exponential map). Let G be a Lie group and \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra. The *exponential map* is defined as

$$\exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G, \tag{2.1.5}$$

where for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\exp(X) = \gamma(1)$, with $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ the unique one-parameter subgroup of G (see def. 4) whose tangent vector at the identity is equal to X .

The exponential map, putting into correspondence a Lie algebra and the associated Lie group, allows one to simplify the study of the Lie group itself, since the Lie algebra is a linearised version of the latter. In this sense, the Lie group can be reconstructed from its Lie algebra via the exponential map. However, there are some limitations on the information that are encoded in the Lie algebra. This can be more easily understood by looking at the path description: if one considers a curve on the Lie group passing through the identity, such a path is differentiable (and, hence, continuous) as long as it does not encounter discontinuities. The presence of these discontinuities involves a substantial distinction between the various submanifolds that can be defined on a Lie group. In particular, since the objective is to reconstruct a Lie group and not just a submanifold, it is necessary to identify the region that contains the neutral element, i.e., a neighbourhood of the identity, with the additional requirement that on this part of the Lie group the exponential map is a differentiable curve. The submanifold that satisfies these properties corresponds to the component connected with the identity, which is a Lie subgroup of the original Lie group.

¹A *diffeomorphism* is a smooth invertible map.

This implies that, given a Lie algebra, it is possible to reconstruct only the component of the Lie group that is connected with the identity.

Without delving too deeply into the details, let's note that, in general, the image of the exponential map, $\text{Im}(\exp) \subseteq G$, is a *neighbourhood* of e , and the map itself is *not* necessary surjective. However, for a group G that is both *connected* (def. 8) and *compact* (def. 11), the exponential map *is* surjective, i.e., $\text{Im}(\exp) = G$. The issue with the Lorentz group is that, even when considering only the connected component, specifically the proper orthochronous Lorentz group, it is non-compact, due to the presence of boosts. Therefore, a priori, one can't be sure that exponentiating the Lie algebra elements will allow us to reach all the elements of the group. Demonstrating the surjectivity of the exponential map

$$\exp: \mathfrak{so}(1, d) \rightarrow SO^+(1, d) \quad (2.1.6)$$

for the proper orthochronous Lorentz group in general spacetime dimensions $D = d + 1$ is a rather technical and challenging subject. We'll simply assume this property, so that for the cases of interest in this document, we can safely affirm that through the exponential map we can obtain each element of the connected component of G from its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The Lie algebra multiplication, called *Lie bracket* or *commutator*, is denoted by

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (X, Y) \mapsto [X, Y]. \quad (2.1.7)$$

It is an antisymmetric multiplication

$$[\alpha X + \beta Y, Z] = -[Z, \alpha X + \beta Y] = \alpha[X, Z] + \beta[Y, Z], \quad (2.1.8)$$

and satisfies the *Jacobi identity*

$$[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0. \quad (2.1.9)$$

Further, for the connected component, the *Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff* (BCH) formula relates the group composition with the Lie algebra multiplication,

$$\exp(X) \circ \exp(Y) = \exp \left(X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]] + \dots \right). \quad (2.1.10)$$

Given a vector space basis $\{T_a\}$ of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the Lie bracket structure can be encoded in terms of the *structure constants* f_{ab}^c , where,

$$[T_a, T_b] = if_{ab}^c T_c. \quad (2.1.11)$$

Any consistent set of structure constants then define a Lie algebra. Through the exponential map and the BCH formula, we can express elements of the Lie group in terms of exponentials of linear combinations of the basis elements $\{T_a\}$, called *generators* of the Lie algebra. In particular, for any $g \in G$, we have

$$g(\theta) = e^{i\theta^a T_a}, \quad (2.1.12)$$

It is a standard exercise to start from $g(\alpha)g(\beta)g^{-1}(\alpha)g^{-1}(\beta) \equiv g(\theta)$ and expand it near the identity up to the second order, to obtain

$$g(\alpha)g(\beta)g^{-1}(\alpha)g^{-1}(\beta) \simeq \mathbb{1} - \alpha_a \beta_b [T^a, T^b] = \mathbb{1} + i\theta_a T^a. \quad (2.1.13)$$

Proof. Using eq. (2.1.12) and expanding the exponential up to the second order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathbb{1} + i\alpha_i T^i - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i T^i)(\alpha_j T^j) \right) \left(\mathbb{1} + i\beta_j T^j - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_j T^j)(\beta_i T^i) \right) \\ & \left(\mathbb{1} - i\alpha_i T^i - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i T^i)(\alpha_j T^j) \right) \left(\mathbb{1} - i\beta_j T^j - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_j T^j)(\beta_i T^i) \right) \\ & \simeq \left(\mathbb{1} + i(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta T)(\beta T) + i(\alpha T) - (\alpha T)(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha T)(\alpha T) \right) \\ & \left(\mathbb{1} - i(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta T)(\beta T) - i(\alpha T) - (\alpha T)(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha T)(\alpha T) \right) \\ & \simeq \mathbb{1} - i(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta T)(\beta T) - i(\alpha T) - (\alpha T)(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha T)(\alpha T) + i(\beta T) + (\beta T)(\beta T) + \\ & (\beta T)(\alpha T) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta T)(\beta T) - i(\alpha T) + (\alpha T)(\beta T) + (\alpha T)(\alpha T) - (\alpha T)(\beta T) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha T)(\alpha T) \\ & \simeq \mathbb{1} - \alpha_i \beta_j (T^i T^j - T^j T^i) = \mathbb{1} - \alpha_i \beta_j [T^i, T^j] = \mathbb{1} + i\theta_k T^k, \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

where, by means of (2.1.11), we defined $\alpha_a \beta_b f_c^{ab} = -\theta_c$. So, a group is *abelian* if all its *generators commute*, i.e., $[T^a, T^b] = 0$, or rather, $\theta_a = 0$.

To conclude this section, let us provide a few definitions to clarify what is meant by an isomorphism between Lie algebras. This will help to better grasp in what sense the universal cover of a group and the group itself share “the same algebra”.

Definition 13 (Lie algebra homomorphism). Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be two Lie algebras. A *Lie algebra homomorphism* from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} is a linear map $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ that preserves the Lie bracket, meaning

$$[\phi(X), \phi(Y)]_{\mathfrak{h}} = \phi([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.1.14)$$

Definition 14 (Lie algebra isomorphism). A *Lie algebra isomorphism* is a Lie algebra homomorphism which is also a vector space isomorphism.

Recall that we defined the Lie algebra of a Lie group as the tangent space at the identity, which makes it a vector space as well. If two algebras are isomorphic, we write $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{h}$, and in this case, they have the same dimension, $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{h}$. Choosing bases $\{X_a\}$ for X and $\{Y_a\}$ for Y , the structure constants must be match, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X_a, X_b]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= if_{ab}^c X_c, \\ [Y_a, Y_b]_{\mathfrak{h}} &= if_{ab}^c Y_c. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. ... \blacksquare

Therefore, theorem T.1 implies that a non-simply connected Lie group G and its universal cover \tilde{G} have isomorphic algebras, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}$, sharing the same structure constants.

2.2 Group Representations

So far, we've treated groups and algebras as abstract entities. To apply these concepts and interpret them as symmetry transformations, we need to “represent” them concretely.

Definition 15 (Group representation). A *(linear) representation* of a group G is a group homomorphism (see def. 3), $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$, mapping G to the group of automorphisms² on a vector space V . Formally

$$\forall g, h \in G: \rho(e) = \text{id}_V, \quad \rho(g \circ h) = \rho(g)\rho(h), \quad \rho(g^{-1}) = \rho(g)^{-1}. \quad (2.2.1)$$

For finite-dimensional vector spaces, where $\dim(V) = n < \infty$, we have $\text{Aut}(V) \cong GL(n; K)$, so elements of the group are represented by $n \times n$ matrices with multiplication defined by matrix group law. The *dimension of a representation* (ρ, V) is the same as the dimension of V .

Remark. The dimension of a representation differs from the dimension of the group itself.

From now on, we focus on finite-dimensional representations. For a given representation (ρ, V) , the group acts on the vectors of V as linear transformations. For each $g \in G$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$v \mapsto \rho(g)v. \quad (2.2.2)$$

Definition 16 (Reducible representation). A representation is called *reducible* if there exists a non-zero subspace $\{0\} \neq U \subseteq V$ such that

$$\forall u \in U: \rho(g)u \in U. \quad (2.2.3)$$

If no such subspace exists, ρ is called an *irreducible representation*.

Given a reducible representation ρ , we can always find a basis for V such that

$$\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\rho}(g) & \beta(g) \\ 0 & \rho'(g) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2.4)$$

where $U = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} u \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) \in V\}$ is the invariant subspace. This structure gives rise to a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ of smaller dimension.

Definition 17 (Completely reducible representation). A reducible representation is called *completely reducible* if $\beta(g) = 0$. In this case, ρ decomposes into the direct sum of two representations,

$$\rho \cong \tilde{\rho} \oplus \rho'. \quad (2.2.5)$$

In other words, in a completely reducible representation, the basis vectors of V can be chosen to split into subsets that remain independent under the transformation. (2.2.2)

²An *automorphism* is an isomorphism from an object into itself. Here, the group of automorphisms is the set of invertible linear maps on a vector space.

Definition 18 (Equivalent representations). Two representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 of the same dimension n are called *equivalent* if there exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix S such that

$$\forall g \in G : \rho_2(g) = S^{-1} \rho_1(g) S, \quad (2.2.6)$$

Thus, if there exists a change of basis S on V relating the representations, they are equivalent.

Definition 19 (Faithful representation). A representation ρ is called *faithful* if

$$g_1 \neq g_2 \implies \rho(g_1) \neq \rho(g_2). \quad (2.2.7)$$

For a *non-faithful* representation, there exists a subset $H \subset G$ for which $\rho(h) = 1$ for $h \in H$.

Definition 20 (Unitary representation). A *unitary representation* is a complex representation, $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(n; \mathbb{C})$, where $\rho(g)$ is a unitary matrix, meaning,

$$\rho(g^{-1}) = \rho(g)^{-1} = \rho(g)^\dagger. \quad (2.2.8)$$

To conclude this section, we introduce specific types of representations that will be used in the following.

Definition 21 (Matrix representation). Let (ρ, V) be a representation. In a *matrix representation*, V is a finite-dimensional vector space ($\dim V = n$), and each group element $g \in G$ is represented by an $n \times n$ matrix $\rho(g)^i_j$, where $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. For any vector $v = (v^1, \dots, v^n) \in V$, the action of g on V is given by

$$v^i \mapsto \rho(g)^i_j v^j. \quad (2.2.9)$$

Definition 22 (Fundamental representation). The *fundamental representation* of a group G is the representation D such that, for any $v \in V$,

$$D(g)v = gv, \quad D(T^a) = T^a. \quad (2.2.10)$$

Definition 23 (Conjugate representation). The *conjugate representation* \bar{D} is defined by

$$\forall v \in V, \quad \bar{D}(g)v = g^*v, \quad g^* = \left(e^{i\theta_a T^a} \right)^* = e^{-i\theta_a (T^a)^*} \implies \bar{D}(T^a) = -(T^a)^*. \quad (2.2.11)$$

Definition 24 (Adjoint representation). **The generators are ...**

2.3 Algebra Representations

We can similarly define a representation for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Note that a Lie algebra can be defined independently of any associated Lie group, simply as a vector space \mathfrak{g} with an antisymmetric product, the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Definition 25 (Algebra representation). Given an algebra \mathfrak{g} , a representation of \mathfrak{g} is a vector space V with an algebra homomorphism (see def. 13)

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V), \quad (2.3.1)$$

where $\text{End}(V)$ denotes the set of endomorphisms of V .³

The space of endomorphisms, $\text{End}(V)$, has a natural vector space structure defined by the addition of linear maps and a non-necessarily invertible product defined by composition. With a chosen basis for V , $\text{End}(V)$ becomes a space of matrices, with matrix multiplication as the product.

For compatibility with the Lie algebra structure, the representation $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ must satisfy

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}([X, Y]) = \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y) - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(X), \quad (2.3.2)$$

where the product on the right-hand side represents matrix multiplication. Given a set of generators $\{T_a\}$, with structure constants $f_{ab}{}^c$, we have

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}([T_a, T_b]) = f_{ab}{}^c \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(T_c). \quad (2.3.3)$$

We can now demonstrate that *any representation* (ρ, V) of a Lie group G induces a representation of its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . If $G \ni g = \exp(tX)$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $\rho(\exp(tX))$ defines a “path” of transformations on the representation space V . We can then define a representation of \mathfrak{g} on the *same* space V , via

$$\forall v \in V : \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)(v) := \left[\frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp(tX)(v)) \right] \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (2.3.4)$$

Hence, $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is a matrix of the same size as $\rho(g)$, and it also acts on V . One can show explicitly, using the BCH formula, that $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ respects the bracket structure. Thus, $(\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, V)$ is a representation of the Lie algebra.

Proof. Using BCH formula, then by definition . . . we have a representation ■

Let’s then consider a presentation of the Lie group G , $\rho(g(\theta)) := \rho(\theta)$ and denote the generators of the group in the representation ρ as $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(T^a) := T_{\rho}^a$. By assumption of smoothness, in the neighbourhood of the identity,

$$\rho(\theta) \simeq \mathbb{1} + i\theta_a T_{\rho}^a, \quad (2.3.5)$$

with

$$T_{\rho}^a \equiv -i \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta_a} \Big|_{\theta=0}. \quad (2.3.6)$$

For the component of the group manifold connected to the identity, a generic group element $g(\theta)$ can always be represented by

$$\rho(g(\theta)) = e^{i\theta_a T_{\rho}^a}. \quad (2.3.7)$$

³An *endomorphism* is a linear map from V to V , not necessarily invertible.

Remark. While the explicit form of the generators, T_ρ^a , depends on the representation, the structure constants f_{ab}^c of eq. (2.1.11) are independent of the representation.

Conversely, not all Lie algebra representations $\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}$ necessarily extend to representations of the corresponding group G . This discrepancy arises from the *global topology* of G . In particular, all representations of the Lie algebra extend to group representations if G is *simply connected* (see def. 10). According to theorem T.1, if G is not simply connected, there exists a universal cover \tilde{G} that is simply connected and has an isomorphic algebra, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, knowing the structure constant of the algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ or \mathfrak{g} , which are the same, we can construct representations of the algebras, which will be extended to representation of the covering group \tilde{G} .

As an example, the Lorentz group is *not* simply connected, and its universal cover is $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, not all representations of its Lie algebra extend to representations of the group.

We now state two important theorems that will be essential in identifying physical observables in quantum mechanics.

Theorem T.3. *All unitary projective representations of a group G arises from a unitary linear representation of the universal covering group \tilde{G} . These, in turn, come from representations of the Lie algebra.*

Theorem T.4. *Non-compact groups have no unitary representations of finite dimension, except for representations in which non-compact generators are represented trivially, i.e., as zero.*

Are those valid in infinite dimension?

The physical relevance of this second theorem is due to the fact that in a unitary representation, the generators are Hermitian operators. According to the principles of quantum mechanics, only Hermitian operators correspond to observables. Therefore, for a non-compact group, in order to identify its generators with physical observables we need an infinite-dimensional representation. This requirement leads us to consider representations on the Hilbert space of one-particle states, as we will explore.

2.4 Casimir Operators

Casimir operators play a significant role in the study of representations. These operators are constructed from the generators T_a of a Lie algebra and commute with all generators themselves. In each irreducible representation, Casimir operators are proportional to the identity matrix, with the proportionality constant used to label the representation.

Symmetries in Quantum Mechanics

In this section, we review the concepts of states and observables in quantum mechanics, with a focus on the role of projective representations as opposed to standard representations.

Let us begin by outlining some fundamental aspects of quantum mechanics.

- *Physical states* are represented by *rays* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , which is a complex vector space with a *scalar product* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, defined by

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, \phi \rangle^*, \quad (3.0.1a)$$

$$\langle \phi, \xi_1 \psi_1 + \xi_2 \psi_2 \rangle = \xi_1 \langle \phi, \psi_1 \rangle + \xi_2 \langle \phi, \psi_2 \rangle, \quad (3.0.1b)$$

$$\langle \eta_1 \phi_1 + \eta_2 \phi_2, \psi \rangle = \eta_1^* \langle \phi_1, \psi \rangle + \eta_2^* \langle \phi_2, \psi \rangle, \quad (3.0.1c)$$

with $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$. The *norm* is defined as $\|\psi\| := \langle \psi | \psi \rangle \geq 0$, and it vanishes if and only if $\psi \equiv 0$. A *ray* \mathcal{R} is a set of normalised vectors (satisfying $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$), where $\psi, \psi' \in \mathcal{R}$ if $\psi' = \xi \psi$, for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\xi| = 1$.

- *Observables* are represented by *hermitian operators* A on \mathcal{H} , defined by the properties

$$A(\xi \psi + \eta \phi) = \xi A\psi + \eta A\phi, \quad (3.0.2a)$$

$$A = A^\dagger, \quad (3.0.2b)$$

where the adjoint of an operator A is defined by

$$\langle \phi, A^\dagger \psi \rangle = \langle A\phi, \psi \rangle = \langle \psi, A\phi \rangle^*. \quad (3.0.3)$$

Let's consider a physical state represented by a ray \mathcal{R} , and an observable represented by the hermitian operator A . Then, the state has a definite value of α for the observable if vectors $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$ are *eigenstates* of A of *eigenvalue* α :

$$A\psi = \alpha\psi, \quad \text{for } \psi \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (3.0.4)$$

If the operator is hermitian, i.e., $A^\dagger = A$, then $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and eigenstates with different eigenvalues are orthogonal with respect to each other.

- If a system is in a state represented by a ray \mathcal{R} , and an experiment tests if it is in any one of the mutually orthogonal rays¹ $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \dots$, then the probability of finding

¹A pair of rays $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ are orthogonal if $\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle = 0$, $\forall \psi_1 \in \mathcal{R}_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{R}_2$

it in the state represented by \mathcal{R}_n is

$$P(\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n) = |\langle \psi, \psi_n \rangle|^2, \quad (3.0.5)$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\psi_n \in \mathcal{R}_n$. If ψ_n form a complete set, then $\sum_n P(\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n) = 1$.

Now, a *necessary* condition for a transformation acting on rays to be a *symmetry*, is that, if an observer O sees a state represented by a ray \mathcal{R} , or \mathcal{R}_1 , or \mathcal{R}_2, \dots , then another observer O' sees the same system in a different state, represented by a ray \mathcal{R}' , or \mathcal{R}'_1 , or \mathcal{R}'_2, \dots , but the two must find the same probabilities:

$$P(\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n) = P(\mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_n). \quad (3.0.6)$$

A fundamental result, *Wigner's theorem*, states that for any such rays transformation, $T: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$, there exists an operator U on the Hilbert space, such that if $\psi \in \mathcal{R}$, then $U\psi \in \mathcal{R}'$, with U *unitary* and *linear*²

$$\langle U\psi, U\psi \rangle = \langle \psi, \psi \rangle, \quad (3.0.7a)$$

$$U(\xi\psi + \eta\psi) = \xi U\psi + \eta U\psi. \quad (3.0.7b)$$

Recalling the definition of an adjoint operator (3.0.3), the unitarity condition (3.0.7a) can be written as

$$U^\dagger = U^{-1}. \quad (3.0.8)$$

The identity operator $U = \mathbb{1}$ trivially represents a symmetry, preserving the ray \mathcal{R} . An infinitesimal symmetry transformation close to the identity is represented by a unitary operator near $U = \mathbb{1}$:

$$U = 1 + i\varepsilon T, \quad (3.0.9)$$

where ε is a real infinitesimal parameter. For U to be unitary, T must be both *linear* and *hermitian*, qualifying it as a potential observable. In physics, most observables arise in this way from symmetry transformations.

It is straightforward to verify that symmetry transformations from one ray to another satisfy the group axioms 1. Indeed, given $T_1: \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_n$ and $T_2: \mathcal{R}'_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}''_n$, then $T_2 T_1$ is another symmetry transformation, $T_2 T_1: \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}''_n$. The inverse will be $T^{-1}: \mathcal{R}'_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n$ and the identity $T = 1$ leaves the ray unchanged.

The unitary operators $U(T)$ corresponding to these symmetry transformations have properties that mirror the group structure (see def. 15), but unlike the symmetry transformations themselves, the operators $U(T)$ act on vectors in Hilbert space, rather than on rays. If $T_1: \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'_n$, then $U(T_1)$ acts on $\psi_n \in \mathcal{R}_n$ and gives a vector $U(T_1)\psi_n \in \mathcal{R}'_n$. Similarly, if $T_2: \mathcal{R}'_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}''_n$, then $\mathcal{R}'_n \ni U(T_1)\psi_n \mapsto U(T_2)U(T_1)\psi_n \in \mathcal{R}''_n$. However, $U(T_2 T_1)$ is also in this ray, since $T_2 T_1: \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}''_n$, so the vectors $U(T_2)U(T_1)\psi_n$ and $U(T_2 T_1)\psi_n$ must differ by a phase factor $\phi_n(T_2, T_1)$

$$U(T_2)U(T_1)\psi_n = e^{i\phi(T_2, T_1)} U(T_2 T_1)\psi_n, \quad (3.0.10)$$

²To be honest, it could be *antiunitary* and *antilinear* as well, but this last case is not of physical interest.

where we dropped the index n for the phase since one can prove that it doesn't depend on the state (see Weinberg [5]).

This type of representation is called *projective representation*. Unlike a linear representation (2.2.1), it includes a phase factor. Turning back to the notation of sec. 2.2, a symmetry group G acts on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} via a unitary operator $\rho(g): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, for $g \in G$, which defines a projective representation:

$$\rho(g)\rho(h) = e^{i\phi(g,h)}\rho(g \circ h), \quad \phi(g,h) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.0.11)$$

Therefore, in a quantum theory, we're interested in *unitary projective representations* of a symmetry group G . Then, according to theorem T.3, *all* unitary projective representations of G can be derived from unitary *linear* representations of the universal covering group \tilde{G} . These, in turn, arise from representations of the Lie algebra. Further, by theorem T.4, since the Poincaré group is non-compact, we must study infinite dimensional representations in order to have unitary ones, which can then be associated with physical observables.

The Groups $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ and their Representations

In this section we review the main properties of the Lie groups $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ and their algebra, since it'll be useful to study the representations of the Lorentz group.

4.1 The Group $SO(3)$

There's an error here, concerning the exponential map and the generators. What it's written refers to the exponential map with no i factor. Taking into consideration, we should have i factors on infinitesimal rotation and the algebra should be made of hermitian matrices.

In its fundamental representation, the *special unitary group* $SO(n)$ is composed of $n \times n$ invertible matrices which satisfy

$$R \in SO(n) : R^T R = R R^T = \mathbb{1}, \det(R) = 1. \quad (4.1.1)$$

It's then easy to see that the dimension is $\dim(SO(n)) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. We're interested, in particular, in the case $n = 3$, which is the 3 dimensional $SO(3)$ group.

To determine the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$, note that any $R \in SO(3)$ can be parametrized by three Euler angles or, equivalently, by specifying a rotation axis and an angle around it. In particular, if we consider a unit vector $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, normalised such that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 1$, and we call θ the angle around it, the identity is given by $R(\theta = 0, \mathbf{n}) = \mathbb{1}$. Then, for an infinitesimal angle $\delta\theta$, the action on an arbitrary vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is an infinitesimal rotation given by

$$R(\delta\theta, \mathbf{n})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} n_2 x_3 - n_3 x_2 \\ n_3 x_1 - n_1 x_3 \\ n_1 x_2 - n_2 x_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1.2)$$

If we now pick \mathbf{n} to be the Euclidean basis vectors, we obtain

$$R(\delta\theta, \mathbf{n} = (1, 0, 0)^T)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta L_1 \mathbf{x}, \quad (4.1.3a)$$

$$R(\delta\theta, \mathbf{n} = (0, 1, 0)^T)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ 0 \\ -x_1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta L_2 \mathbf{x}, \quad (4.1.3b)$$

$$R(\delta\theta, \mathbf{n} = (0, 0, 1)^T)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} + \delta\theta L_3 \mathbf{x}, \quad (4.1.3c)$$

$$(4.1.3d)$$

By means of (2.3.5), the matrices L_i in eq. (4.1.3) correspond to a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Indeed, $SO(3)$, is the connected component of $O(3)$, and the group is compact, as can be seen from the fact that the rotation angles can only take finite values. Therefore, as discussed in section 2.1 after the definition 12, the exponential map is surjective and each group element can be written as the exponential of a linear combination of the generators, as showed in eq. (2.3.7). In this case, we're in the fundamental representation and the generators are represented by L_i .

Using the defining property (4.1.1) and eq. (2.3.7) together with BCH formula (2.1.10), we get

$$\mathbb{1} = R(\theta, \mathbf{n})^T R(\theta, \mathbf{n}) = \exp\left(i\theta \sum_i n_i \cdot (L_i^T + L_i) + \dots\right).$$

Then, for an infinitesimal transformation, it must be $L_i^T + L_i = 0$, which implies that L_i are antisymmetric matrices. Further, using the formula $\det(\exp(M)) = \exp(\text{tr}(M))$, the determinant condition $\det(R) = 1$ requires $\text{tr}(L_i) = 0$. Therefore, we obtain that in the fundamental representation, the algebra is

$$\mathfrak{so}(3) = \{\text{antisymmetric traceless } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrices}\}, \quad (4.1.4)$$

which is a three-dimensional real vector space with basis $\{L_i\}$, as anticipated.

From the explicit form of the generators, **missing i factor to consider in a correct way**, we can compute the commutation relations explicitly, finding

$$[J_i, J_j] = i \sum_k \varepsilon_{ijk} J_k. \quad (4.1.5)$$

4.2 The Group $SU(2)$

Similarly, as before, we define the group in the fundamental representation and show the algebra is the same as before, given by eq. (4.1.5).

4.3 Representation of the Algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$

Let's study the finite dimensional representations of the algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$, defined by

$$[J_i, J_j] = i\varepsilon_{ijk}J_k. \quad (4.3.1)$$

In particular, as discussed in section 3, the physically relevant ones are the unitary representations, acting on a vector space V which is also a *Hilbert space*, in the sense it carries a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by eq. (3.0.1). In order to find the finite dimensional representation spaces V , we follow the usual quantum mechanical procedure.

We define the *ladder operators*

$$J_{\pm} := J_1 \pm iJ_2, \quad \text{with} \quad [J_3, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}, \quad [J_+, J_-] = 2J_3. \quad (4.3.2)$$

We further define

$$J^2 := J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2. \quad (4.3.3)$$

From the fact that J_i are Hermitian (**write it down well**), it's easy to check that

$$(J_{\pm})^{\dagger} = J_{\mp}, \quad (J^2)^{\dagger} = J^2. \quad (4.3.4)$$

Using the commutation relations (4.3.1) and the definitions (4.3.2) and (4.3.3), one can show

$$[J^2, J_i] = [J^2, J_{\pm}] = 0, \quad (4.3.5)$$

so, as already discussed in section (2.4), J^2 it's a Casimir operator, that is, it commutes with all the Lie algebra generators. As known from quantum mechanics, it represents the total angular momentum operator.

Because J_3 and J^2 are Hermitian matrices on a Hilbert space V which commute, the spectral theorem tells us that there is an orthonormal basis $\{|\zeta, m\rangle\}$ of V , i.e., $\langle \zeta, m | \zeta', m' \rangle = \delta_{mm'}\delta_{\zeta\z'}$, which are simultaneous eigenvectors of J_3 and J^2 . The labels m and ζ are chosen to be the eigenvalues of that vector: $J_3 |\zeta, m\rangle = m |\zeta, m\rangle$, and $J^2 |\zeta, m\rangle = \zeta |\zeta, m\rangle$. A priori, there could be degeneracies for these labels, i.e, more than one linearly independent basis elements with the same eigenvalues (ζ, m) . However, as it turns out, the action of J_{\pm} only depends on these values, so different basis elements with the same labels would correspond to invariant one-dimensional subspaces, i.e., we could restrict to one of these and obtain a valid representation. In the following, we will therefore assume without loss of generality that the eigenspace for each pair (ζ, m) is one-dimensional.

Since J^2 commutes with J_{\pm} , we have

$$J^2 J_{\pm} |\zeta, m\rangle = \zeta J_{\pm} |\zeta, m\rangle,$$

and, further

$$J_3 J_{\pm} |\zeta, m\rangle = ([J_3, J_{\pm}] + J_{\pm} J_3) |\zeta, m\rangle = (\pm J_{\pm} + m J_{\pm}) |\zeta, m\rangle = (m \pm 1) J_{\pm} |\zeta, m\rangle,$$

which means

$$J_{\pm} |\zeta, m\rangle = \lambda_{\zeta, m}^{\pm} |\zeta, m\rangle. \quad (4.3.6)$$

To find the possible values for (ζ, m, λ) , we use

$$J^2 - J_3^2 = J_1^2 + J_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(J_+ J_- + J_- J_+),$$

to show

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | J^2 - J_3^2 | \psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | J_+ J_- + J_- J_+ | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \psi | J_-^\dagger J_- + J_+^\dagger J_+ | \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\|J_- |\psi\rangle\|^2 + \|J_+ |\psi\rangle\|^2) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any $|\psi\rangle \in V$. So, this implies

$$\langle \zeta, m | J^2 - J_3^2 | \zeta, m \rangle = (\zeta - m^2) \langle \zeta, m | \zeta, m \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since J_+ increases the value of m for fixed ζ , there must be a maximal value, $m_{\max}(\zeta) \equiv m_{\max}$ such that $|\zeta, m_{\max}\rangle \neq 0$, but $J_+ |\zeta, m_{\max}\rangle = 0$. In particular, this means

$$0 = J_- J_+ |\zeta, m_{\max}\rangle = (J^2 - J_3^2 - J_3) |\zeta, m_{\max}\rangle = (\zeta - m_{\max}^2 - m_{\max}) |\zeta, m_{\max}\rangle.$$

Likewise, because J_- decreases the value of m , and thus also increasing m^2 once m becomes negative, there is also a $|\zeta, m_{\min}\rangle \neq 0$ such that $J_- |\zeta, m_{\min}\rangle = 0$. Analogously, we get

$$0 = J_+ J_- |\zeta, m_{\min}\rangle = (J^2 - J_3^2 - J_3) |\zeta, m_{\min}\rangle = (\zeta - m_{\min}^2 - m_{\min}) |\zeta, m_{\min}\rangle.$$

All together, this means

$$\zeta = m_{\max}(m_{\max} + 1) = m_{\min}(m_{\min} - 1), \quad (4.3.7)$$

which has solutions

$$m_{\max} = m_{\min} - 1 < m_{\min} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\max} = -m_{\min}.$$

The first is clearly not compatible with the assumption that $m_{\max} \geq m_{\min}$, so the second must hold. Moreover, since we can increase the value of m in steps of 1 by acting with J_+ , $m_{\max} - m_{\min} = 2m_{\max}$ must be an integer. The common notation in the literature is to define $j = m_{\max}$, which is in general a non-negative integer or half-integer that is otherwise not constrained.

Then, by convention, we replace the label $\zeta = j(j+1)$ by j , with $j \in \frac{\mathbb{N}_0}{2}$ and $m \in \{-j, -j+1, \dots, j-1, j\}$. In summary, any representation space V of $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$ has an orthonormal basis $|j, m\rangle \equiv |\zeta, m\rangle$ characterised by

$$J^2 |j, m\rangle = j(j+1) |j, m\rangle, \quad J_3 |j, m\rangle = m |j, m\rangle. \quad (4.3.8)$$

If $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and if $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $m \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. Finally, there were the coefficients $\lambda_{\zeta, m}^\pm \equiv \lambda_{j, m}^\pm$, that remain to be determined. One can show

$$J_\pm |j, m\rangle = \sqrt{(j \mp m)(j \pm m + 1)} |j, m \pm 1\rangle. \quad (4.3.9)$$

Proof. . ■

Notice that the value of j is not changed by the action of the Lie algebra generators. It means that different values of j correspond to different representations, which have dimensions $2j + 1$. Given the explicit action of the Lie algebra generators on the basis elements, one can explicitly verify that these representations are irreducible. The common nomenclature is to call an irreducible representation labelled by j a "spin- j " irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

For $j = 1$, we obtain the $3d$ representation space $V_{j=1}$, which is the Lie algebra representation induced by the defining representation of $SO(3)$. The integer spin $j > 1$ representations can be shown to correspond to (irreps inside the) tensor products $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_1 = V_1^{\otimes j}$, which therefore are naturally $SO(3)$ representations. But the half-integer spin representations are not $SO(3)$ representations, as we'll shortly see.

4.4 Half-integer spin irreducible representation.

Let's fix a particular $j = \tilde{j} \in \frac{\mathbb{N}_0}{2}$ and name the basis element of the $2\tilde{j} + 1$ dimensional representation space V , $\{|\tilde{j}, m\rangle\}$, where $m \in \{-\tilde{j}, \dots, \tilde{j}\}$. Then, taking an element of the algebra, $X \in \mathfrak{so}(3) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2)$, the corresponding representation matrix on V is given by

$$M = \rho_j(X), \quad M_{ab} = \langle \tilde{j}, a | M | \tilde{j}, b \rangle, \quad M | \tilde{j}, m \rangle = \sum_k | \tilde{j}, k \rangle M_{km}. \quad (4.4.1)$$

Then, by means of eq...., via the exponential map we get a representation of the covering group.

.....

$$\rho_j[R(\pi)R(\pi)]_{ab} = (-1)^{2\tilde{j}}\delta_{ab}. \quad (4.4.2)$$

This shows that not all irrep of the algebra extends to irreps of $SO(3)$. They are, though, irreps of the universal covering group, which is $SU(2)$. We're interested in this last group in quantum mechanics, since ...

4.5 Relation between $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ and Proof of Double Coverness

.....

Lorentz Group and its Representations

To study the properties of the Lorentz and Poincaré groups, we start from their defining representations, keeping in mind what properties are representation-independent. In particular, we'll work in a 4-dimensional Minkowski spacetime with metric tensor

$$\eta = (\eta_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1), \quad (5.0.1)$$

and scalar product defined by

$$x \cdot y := x^T \eta y = x^0 y^0 - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \eta_{\mu\nu} x^\mu y^\nu = x_\mu y^\mu. \quad (5.0.2)$$

L'ho scritto a caso come segnaposto, va scritta questa piccola introduzione. We'll start from Lorentz group, in particular from its defining representation. We'll work out the algebra in the fundamental representation, taking infinitesimal transformation and computing the commutation relations. Then, we'll study the finite-dimensional representations, and see how to label them via $SU(2)$ indices. We'll introduce spinor representation and explain why are they important in quantum mechanics. Finally, we'll introduce field representations, and explain how to construct a lorentz invariant action, and that a representation of the lorentz group is given by the nother charges under the symmetry. This will allow us to move forward and study the infinite dimensional representations of the Poincare group.

5.1 Lorentz Group

Lorentz transformations are those transformations $x \rightarrow x' = \Lambda x$ which leave the scalar product invariant, i.e.,

$$(\Lambda x) \cdot (\Lambda y) = x \cdot y \implies x^T \Lambda^T \eta \Lambda y = x^T \eta y \implies \Lambda^T \eta \Lambda = \eta. \quad (5.1.1)$$

Written in components, this condition becomes

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda^\alpha_\mu \Lambda^\beta_\nu. \quad (5.1.2)$$

Since $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric, this gives 10 constraints. Further, since the Lorentz transformation is a 4×4 matrix, it depends on $16 - 10 = 6$ independent parameters, which will be interpreted later as three parameters for the boosts and three for rotations.

For an infinitesimal transformation

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu \simeq \delta^\mu_\nu + \omega^\mu_\nu, \quad (5.1.3)$$

and using eq. (5.1.2), we find

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}. \quad (5.1.4)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda^\alpha_\mu \Lambda^\beta_\nu \simeq \eta_{\alpha\beta} (\delta^\alpha_\mu + \omega^\alpha_\mu) (\delta^\beta_\nu + \omega^\beta_\nu) \\ &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} \delta^\alpha_\mu \delta^\beta_\nu + \eta_{\mu\beta} \omega^\beta_\nu + \eta_{\alpha\nu} \omega^\alpha_\mu + O(\omega^2) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\nu\mu} + O(\omega^2). \quad \blacksquare\end{aligned}$$

The transformations of a space with coordinates $\{y_1, \dots, y_n, x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ which leave the quadratic form $(y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2) - (x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2)$ invariant define the orthogonal group $O(n, m)$. Thus, the *Lorentz group* is $O(1, 3)$.

The group axioms 1 are satisfied, and in particular there exists a unit element $\mathbf{1}$ and each Λ has an inverse since its determinant is different from zero. Further, using that the determinant of a product is the product of the determinants, and that the transpose of a matrix has the same determinant as the matrix, one can verify

$$\Lambda^T \eta \Lambda = \eta \implies (\det \Lambda)^2 = 1 \implies \det \Lambda = \pm 1, \quad (5.1.5a)$$

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^\mu_0 \Lambda^\nu_0 = (\Lambda^0_0)^2 - \sum_k (\Lambda^k_0)^2 = 1 \implies (\Lambda^0_0)^2 \geq 1. \quad (5.1.5b)$$

Depending on the signs of $\det \Lambda$ and Λ^0_0 , the Lorentz group has four disconnected components. The subgroup with $\det \Lambda = 1$ and $\Lambda^0_0 \geq 1$ is called *proper orthochronous* Lorentz group, $SO(1, 3)^+$. The other components can be constructed from a given $\Lambda \in SO(1, 3)^+$ combined with space and/or time reflection.

5.2 Lorentz Algebra

We've seen that the Lorentz group is characterised by six independent parameters, collected into the antisymmetric matrix $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ (see eq. (5.1.4)). It is then convenient to label the generators as $M^{\mu\nu} = -M^{\nu\mu}$, where each pair (μ, ν) identifies a particular generator. Then, using the exponential map¹, any element $\Lambda \in SO(1, 3)^+$ can be written as

$$\Lambda = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (5.2.1)$$

(is it a problem if we have minus sign here and before plus sign?) with conventional choice of constants. Then, given a finite dimensional representation (ρ, V) of dimension n , the group element (5.2.1) is represented by the $n \times n$ matrix

$$\Lambda_\rho = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} M_\rho^{\mu\nu}}, \quad (5.2.2)$$

which acts on V , where $M_\rho^{\mu\nu}$ are the Lorentz group generators in the representation ρ . Further, the elements of V transform, under a Lorentz transformation, as

$$\phi^i \rightarrow \left[e^{-\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} M_\rho^{\mu\nu}} \right]^i_j \phi^j. \quad (5.2.3)$$

For an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation, with infinitesimal parameters $\omega_{\mu\nu}$, the variation of ϕ^i is

$$\delta \phi^i = -\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (M_\rho^{\mu\nu})^i_j \phi^j, \quad (5.2.4)$$

¹As discussed in sec. 2.1 after eq. (2.1.5), recall we assume the exponential map is surjective.^{true?}

where in $(M_\rho^{\mu\nu})^i_j$, the indices μ, ν identify the generator², while the indices i, j are the matrix indices of the representation which has been considered. We can then classify all physical quantities according to their transformation properties under the Lorentz group.

For clarity, we anticipate the Lie algebra generators' commutation relations, which are representation independent; we'll further compute them explicitly for the four-vector representation. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$ is characterised by

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta^{\nu\rho} M^{\mu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\rho} M^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\sigma\mu} M^{\rho\nu} + \eta^{\sigma\nu} M^{\rho\mu}). \quad (5.2.5)$$

It is convenient to rearrange the generators into two spatial vectors³,

$$J^i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk} M^{jk} \iff M^{ij} = \varepsilon^{ijk} J^k, \quad K^i = M^{i0}. \quad (5.2.6)$$

In terms of \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{K} , the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group reads

$$[J^i, J^j] = i\varepsilon^{ijk} J^k \quad (5.2.7a)$$

$$[J^i, K^j] = i\varepsilon^{ijk} K^k \quad (5.2.7b)$$

$$[K^i, K^j] = -i\varepsilon^{ijk} J^k. \quad (5.2.7c)$$

Equation (5.2.7a) is the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$ and this shows that \mathbf{J} can be interpreted as the angular momentum. Instead, eq. (5.2.7b) expresses the fact that \mathbf{K} is a spatial vector, since it transforms accordingly under a rotation.

Defining, further

$$\theta^i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk} \omega^{jk} \iff \omega^{ij} = \varepsilon^{ijk} \theta^k, \quad \eta^i = \omega^{i0}, \quad (5.2.8)$$

a generic Lorentz transformation can be written as

$$\Lambda = e^{-i\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{J} + i\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{K}}. \quad (5.2.9)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu} M^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 (\omega_{i0} M^{i0} + \omega_{0i} M^{0i}) + \omega_{12} M^{12} + \omega_{21} M^{21} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_{13} M^{13} + \omega_{31} M^{31} + \omega_{23} M^{23} + \omega_{32} M^{32} \right) \\ &= \omega^{12} M^{12} + \omega^{13} M^{13} + \omega^{23} M^{23} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \omega^{i0} M^{i0} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{J} - \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{K}, \end{aligned}$$

where we've used at the same time the antisymmetries $\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\omega_{\nu\mu}$ and $M^{\mu\nu} = -M^{\nu\mu}$. Moreover, according to (5.2.8), $\omega_{i0} = -\omega^{i0} = -\eta^i$, $\omega_{12} = \omega^{12} = \theta^3$, $\omega_{13} = \omega^{13} = -\theta^2$ and $\omega_{23} = \omega^{23} = \theta^1$, and according to (5.2.6), $M_{i0} = -M^{i0} = -K^i$, $M_{12} = M^{12} = J^3$, $M_{13} = M^{13} = -J^2$ and $M_{23} = M^{23} = J^1$.

Substituting into eq. (5.2.1) leads to the result. ■

Let's now classify the representations of the Lorentz group.

²Beware the index ρ ! It isn't a Lorentz index, but it stands for "representation".

³To see how to invert expressions with Levi-Civita symbol, look at appendix A.1.

5.2.1 Trivial Representation

This is the one acting on a *scalar* ϕ , i.e., a quantity which is invariant under a Lorentz transformation, like the rest mass of a particle. By means of (5.2.4), we have

$$\delta\phi = 0, \quad (5.2.10)$$

so that the generators, which are 1×1 matrices, identically vanish,

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} \equiv 0. \quad (5.2.11)$$

The representation is called *trivial*, since the algebra commutation relations (2.1.11) are trivially satisfied.

5.2.2 Vector Representation

This is the *defining representation* and acts on a *four-vector* V^μ , which has transformation law

$$V^\mu \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu V^\nu, \quad (5.2.12)$$

where Λ satisfy the condition (5.1.2), i.e.,

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu \Lambda^\beta{}_\nu.$$

One could generically call the previous vector a *contravariant* one and define a *covariant* four-vector V_μ as one which transforms as $V_\mu \rightarrow \Lambda_\mu{}^\nu V_\nu$, with $\Lambda_\mu{}^\nu = \eta_{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta} \Lambda^\alpha{}_\beta = (\Lambda^{-1})^\mu{}_\nu$. However, this distinction is not useful, since the two are related via index raising/lowering by the metric tensor, $V_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu} V^\nu$. An example of four-vector is given by the four-momentum.

To find the explicit representation acting on V^μ , let's first notice that the indices i, j of eq. (5.2.4) are now Lorentz indices themselves, so that the representation matrix would read $(M^{\mu\nu})^\alpha{}_\beta$. Here, we drop any index referring to the representation. If not explicitly indicated, the context should make evident if we're talking about the abstract generator or a representation.

Then, a four-vector transforms as

$$\delta V^\alpha = -\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (M^{\mu\nu})^\alpha{}_\beta V^\beta, \quad (5.2.13)$$

where

$$(M^{\mu\nu})^\alpha{}_\beta = i \left(\eta^{\mu\alpha} \delta^\nu_\beta - \eta^{\nu\alpha} \delta^\mu_\beta \right). \quad (5.2.14)$$

Proof. Considering the infinitesimal version of eq. (5.2.12), we get

$$V^\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha{}_\beta V^\beta \simeq (\delta^\alpha_\beta + \omega^\alpha{}_\beta) V^\beta,$$

which gives an infinitesimal variation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V^\alpha &= \omega^\alpha{}_\beta V^\beta = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\beta} \left(\eta^{\mu\alpha} V^\beta - \eta^{\beta\alpha} V^\mu \right) = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \delta^\nu_\beta \left(\eta^{\mu\alpha} V^\beta - \eta^{\beta\alpha} V^\mu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \left(\delta^\nu_\beta \eta^{\mu\alpha} - \delta^\mu_\beta \eta^{\nu\alpha} \right) V^\beta \stackrel{!}{=} -\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (M^{\mu\nu})^\alpha{}_\beta V^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

where the second addend was added to ensure the piece within parenthesis is antisymmetric with respect to $\mu\text{--}\beta$, as should be by eq. (5.1.4). Then, in the second to last passage we relabelled a couple of dummy indices, to compare the expression with eq. (5.2.13). We obtain the matrix (5.2.14). ■

It's now easy to compute the commutators of (5.2.14) to find Lorentz algebra (5.2.5). As already stated, the structure constants don't depend on the representation, so what we find here are the abstract commutation relations.

Proof. Using eq. (5.2.14), we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}]^\alpha{}_\beta &= (M^{\mu\nu})^\alpha{}_\gamma (M^{\rho\sigma})^\gamma{}_\beta - (M^{\rho\sigma})^\alpha{}_\gamma (M^{\mu\nu})^\gamma{}_\beta \\
&= -(\eta^{\mu\alpha}\delta_\gamma^\nu - \eta^{\nu\alpha}\delta_\gamma^\mu)(\eta^{\rho\gamma}\delta_\beta^\sigma - \eta^{\sigma\gamma}\delta_\beta^\rho) + (\rho \leftrightarrow \mu, \sigma \leftrightarrow \nu) \\
&= -\eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\rho\nu}\delta_\beta^\sigma + \eta^{\mu\alpha}\eta^{\sigma\nu}\delta_\beta^\rho + \eta^{\nu\alpha}\eta^{\rho\mu}\delta_\beta^\sigma - \eta^{\nu\alpha}\eta^{\sigma\mu}\delta_\beta^\rho \\
&\quad + \eta^{\rho\alpha}\eta^{\mu\sigma}\delta_\beta^\nu - \eta^{\rho\alpha}\eta^{\nu\sigma}\delta_\beta^\mu - \eta^{\sigma\alpha}\eta^{\mu\rho}\delta_\beta^\nu + \eta^{\sigma\alpha}\eta^{\nu\rho}\delta_\beta^\mu \\
&= -\eta^{\nu\rho}(\eta^{\mu\alpha}\delta_\beta^\sigma - \eta^{\sigma\alpha}\delta_\beta^\mu) + \eta^{\mu\rho}(\eta^{\nu\alpha}\delta_\beta^\sigma - \eta^{\sigma\alpha}\delta_\beta^\nu) \\
&\quad + \eta^{\sigma\mu}(\eta^{\rho\alpha}\delta_\beta^\nu - \eta^{\nu\alpha}\delta_\beta^\rho) - \eta^{\sigma\nu}(\eta^{\rho\alpha}\delta_\beta^\mu - \eta^{\mu\alpha}\delta_\beta^\rho) \\
&= i\eta^{\nu\rho}(M^{\mu\sigma})^\alpha{}_\beta - i\eta^{\mu\rho}(M^{\nu\sigma})^\alpha{}_\beta - i\eta^{\sigma\mu}(M^{\rho\nu})^\alpha{}_\beta + i\eta^{\sigma\nu}(M^{\rho\mu})^\alpha{}_\beta. \quad \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

As previously noticed, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1,3)$ contains the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. This is to be expected. Indeed, for Lorentz transformation of the form $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \in SO(1,3)^+$, with R a 3×3 matrix, the defining property (5.1.2) reduced to $R^T R = \mathbb{1}$, which is the defining property of $SO(3)$. Then, $SO(3)$ is a subgroup of $SO(1,3)^+$ and consequently the Lie algebras must reflect this property. From the explicit representation of the generators (5.2.14), we can see that M_{ij} are block diagonal matrices of the form $M \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$, with N a 3×3 matrix, so the exponentiation conserves this form and produces the expected rotation matrices inside $SO(1,3)^+$.

5.2.3 Tensor Representation

Let's briefly recall what a tensor is. If V is an n dimensional real vector space and V^* its dual space, the space of *type* (p, q) -tensors is defined as

$$T^{(p,q)}(V) = \underbrace{V \otimes \cdots \otimes V}_p \otimes \underbrace{V^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V^*}_q. \quad (5.2.15)$$

As we know from multilinear algebra, if $\{e_i\}$ is any basis for V and $\{\varepsilon^j\}$ the corresponding dual basis for V^* , a basis for $T^{(p,q)}(V)$ is given by

$$\{e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_p} \otimes \varepsilon^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{j_q} : 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q \leq n\}, \quad (5.2.16)$$

and the tensor can be written as

$$T = T^{i_1 \dots i_p}_{j_1 \dots j_q} e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_p} \otimes \varepsilon^{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \varepsilon^{j_q}. \quad (5.2.17)$$

We can uniquely identify a tensor via its coordinates, so we'll forget about the basis elements from now on. Further, we'll consider only *contravariant* tensors, i.e., tensors with upper indices, since we can easily lower indices via the metric tensor and transform a *covariant* index with the inverse of the metric, as already discussed for vectors, which are rank 1 tensors.

Then, a Lorentz tensor of *rank* n is defined by the transformation law

$$T^{\mu\nu\dots\tau} \rightarrow T'^{\mu\nu\dots\tau} = \underbrace{\Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \dots \Lambda^\tau_\lambda}_{n \text{ times}} T^{\alpha\beta\dots\lambda}, \quad (5.2.18)$$

so we can always construct the representation matrices $\Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta \dots$ of the Lorentz transformation as the outer product $\mathbf{4} \otimes \mathbf{4} \otimes \dots$ of the 4 dimensional defining representation Λ . However, these representations are not irreducible. To see why, let's study the simplest case of a 4×4 tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, which has 16 components.

Its trace, its antisymmetric component, and its symmetric and traceless part,

$$S = T^\alpha_\alpha, \quad A^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu}), \quad S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T^{\mu\nu} + T^{\nu\mu}) - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}S, \quad (5.2.19)$$

don't mix under Lorentz transformations, since a (anti-) symmetric tensor is still (anti-) symmetric after the transformation, and the trace is a Lorentz scalar.

Proof. For the trace, using the property (5.1.2), we can see

$$S := \eta_{\mu\nu}S^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}\Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta S^{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}S^{\alpha\beta} = S.$$

A faster way to reach this conclusion is to note that, due to the (absence of) index structure of S , it is a Lorentz scalar, and so transforms trivially by (5.2.10).

Concerning the antisymmetric part, a tensor has this property if $A^{\mu\nu} = -A^{\nu\mu}$. Let's verify this is preserved under a Lorentz transformation. A generic two tensor transforms according to eq. (5.2.18), so

$$A^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow A'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta A^{\alpha\beta},$$

and using the antisymmetry of $A^{\mu\nu}$

$$A'^{\nu\mu} = \Lambda^\nu_\alpha \Lambda^\mu_\beta A^{\alpha\beta} = -\Lambda^\nu_\alpha \Lambda^\mu_\beta A^{\beta\alpha} = -A'^{\mu\nu}.$$

Finally, for the symmetric traceless part,

$$S^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow S'^{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\mu_\alpha \Lambda^\nu_\beta S^{\alpha\beta},$$

and using its symmetry

$$S'^{\nu\mu} = \Lambda^\nu_\alpha \Lambda^\mu_\beta S^{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^\nu_\alpha \Lambda^\mu_\beta S^{\beta\alpha} = S'^{\mu\nu},$$

and the facts that it is traceless and the trace it's a scalar,

$$S' = S = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Then, recalling the definition (16), the original tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ lived in a reducible representation, and there are three irreducible subspaces, a one-dimensional subspace, spanned by the trace, a 6-dimensional one, spanned by the antisymmetric tensors, and a 9-dimensional one, spanned by traceless symmetric tensors. Using the convention of denoting an irreducible representation with its dimensionality, written in boldface, we have

$$\mathbf{4} \otimes \mathbf{4} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{6} \oplus \mathbf{9}. \quad (5.2.20)$$

A priori, it's not necessary true that those representations are irreducible. One should prove it. Without going into the details, let's just cite that the most general irreducible tensor representations of the Lorentz group are found starting from a generic tensor with an arbitrary number of indices, removing first all traces, and then symmetrizing or anti-symmetrising over all pairs of indices.

5.2.4 Decomposition of Lorentz tensors under $SO(3)$

Even if the vector representation is an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group, from the point of view of $SO(3)$, which is a subgroup, it is reducible. This will be related to spin.

5.2.5 Spinorial Representation

...

5.2.6 Field Representation

Up to now we've seen representations acting on spacetime-independent quantities, which generically transform as

$$\phi'_i = D_{ij}(\Lambda)\phi_j. \quad (5.2.21)$$

A field ϕ_i is a function of the coordinates with some definite transformation properties under the Poincaré group. When we consider their transformation we must consider that it acts on their argument too, $x' = \Lambda x$:

$$\phi'_i(x) = D_{ij}(\Lambda)\phi_j(\Lambda^{-1}x) \iff \phi'_i(x') = D_{ij}(\Lambda)\phi_j(x). \quad (5.2.22)$$

We can define two types of infinitesimal variation the field

- *A change in perspective:*

$$\delta\phi_i = \phi'_i(x') - \phi_i(x) = \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}(\hat{S}^{\mu\nu})_{ij}\phi_j(x), \quad (5.2.23)$$

with $(\hat{S}^{\mu\nu})_{ij}$ a finite-dimensional matrix representation of the generator $M^{\mu\nu}$. The subscript will stand for *spin*, as will be clear in the following.

- *Functional change:*

$$\delta_0\phi_i = \phi'_i(x) - \phi_i(x) = \phi'_i(x' - \delta x) - \phi_i(x) = \delta\phi_i - \delta x_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_i, \quad (5.2.24)$$

where we evaluate the field changes at the same position x .

An *infinitesimal Lorentz transformation* can be written as $\delta x_\mu = \omega_{\mu\nu} x^\nu$, so

$$-\delta x_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_i = -\omega_{\mu\nu} x^\nu \partial^\mu \phi_i = \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} [-i(x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu)] \phi_i.$$

Defining

$$\hat{L}^{\mu\nu} := -i(x^\mu \partial^\nu - x^\nu \partial^\mu), \quad (5.2.25)$$

we get

$$-\delta x_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_i = \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \hat{L}^{\mu\nu} \phi_i. \quad (5.2.26)$$

To generalise this to a Poincaré transformation, let's first consider a pure translation, after which

$$\phi'_i(x) = \phi_i(x - a) \iff \phi'_i(x') = \phi_i(x), \quad (5.2.27)$$

and hence $\delta\phi_i = 0$ and $\delta_0\phi_i = -\varepsilon_\mu \partial^\mu \phi_i = i\varepsilon_\mu \hat{P}^\mu \phi_i$, with $\hat{P}^\mu = i\partial^\mu$. The total change of the field is then

$$\phi'_i(x) = \phi_i(x) + \left[\frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (\hat{S}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{L}^{\mu\nu}) + i\varepsilon_\mu \hat{P}^\mu \right]_{ij} \phi_j(x). \quad (5.2.28)$$

Here, $\hat{L}^{\mu\nu}$ and \hat{P}^μ are differential operators that satisfy Poincaré algebra relations when applied to $\phi_i(x)$. There are diagonal in i, j , whereas the spin matrix $\hat{S}^{\mu\nu}$ depends on the representation of the field. Moreover, we can write $\hat{J}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{S}^{\mu\nu} + \hat{L}^{\mu\nu}$ and try to find out the explicit form of those operators in the representation under study. To do so, we use eq. (6.2.5) for the abstract generators, and find out that we can divide the generators of the angular momentum and of the boosts in a spin and an orbital angular part too, in particular

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{K}_L = \mathbf{x} P^0 - x^0 \mathbf{P}, \quad P^\mu = i\partial^\mu. \quad (5.2.29)$$

Action One way to study and quantise a theory is starting from the classical action S . In order for it to be invariant, it must be a Poincaré scalar. Further, by Noether's theorem, associated to the Poincaré invariance there are some conserved charges. In particular, using eq. (5.2.24), we may write

$$\delta S = \int d^4x \delta_0 \mathcal{L} + \int d^4x \partial_\mu \mathcal{L} \delta x^\mu \quad (5.2.30)$$

To construct a Poincaré invariant theory, we start from a *classical* action which has the same property. We can then apply Noether theorem to find out the conserved charges related to the Poincaré symmetry.

It turns out that the currents associated to the Poincaré symmetry of a classical action are

$$T^{\mu\alpha} = -i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi_i)} P^\alpha \phi_j - g^{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{L}, \quad (5.2.31a)$$

$$m^{\mu,\alpha\beta} = -i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi_i)} M_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \phi_j + (x^\alpha g^{\mu\beta} - x^\beta g^{\mu\alpha}) \mathcal{L}. \quad (5.2.31b)$$

The corresponding charges are

$$\hat{P}^\alpha = \int d^3x T^{0\alpha}, \quad (5.2.32a)$$

$$\hat{M}^{\alpha\beta} = \int d^3x m^{0,\alpha\beta}. \quad (5.2.32b)$$

Those, after quantization, will form a representation of the Poincaré group that acts on the state space.

Further, in the quantum setting, Wigner's theorem states that continuous symmetries must be implemented by unitary operators on the state space. The Lorentz group is not compact because it contains boosts, hence all unitary representations must be infinite-dimensional.

This is realized in the quantum field theory: the fields $\phi_i(x)$ become operators on the Fock space, and the constants of motion in eq. (5.2.32) are hermitian operators that define a unitary representation of the Poincaré algebra on the state space:

$$U(\Lambda, a) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}\hat{M}^{\mu\nu} + i\varepsilon_\mu\hat{P}^\mu} \simeq 1 + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}\hat{M}^{\mu\nu} + i\varepsilon_\mu\hat{P}^\mu. \quad (5.2.33)$$

The irreducible state space those operators act on is the one-particle state space we constructed above, using Casimir operators and so on.

How, from this, we get to $U(\Lambda, a)\phi_i(x)U(\Lambda, a)^{-1} = D(\Lambda)_i^{-1}j\phi_j(\Lambda x + a)$

Poincaré Group and its Representations

6.1 Poincaré Group

The Lorentz transformations defined in sec. 5.1 guarantee that the norm $x^2 := x_\mu x^\mu$ of a four-vector is invariant under a transformation. However, this is not enough since on physical grounds we need the line element $(dx)^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = c^2(dt)^2 - (d\mathbf{x})^2$ to be invariant. This guarantees that the speed of light is the same in each inertial frame, and allows us to add constant translations to a Lorentz transformation, i.e.,

$$x \rightarrow x' = T(\Lambda, a)x = \Lambda x + a. \quad (6.1.1)$$

The resulting 10 parameter group contains translations, rotations and boosts, and is called *Poincaré group* or *inhomogeneous Lorentz group*.

We can check again the group axioms 1. The composition of two Poincaré transformations gives another transformations, via the rule

$$T(\Lambda', a')T(\Lambda, a) = T(\Lambda'\Lambda, a' + \Lambda'a), \quad (6.1.2)$$

the transformation is associative,

$$(TT')T'' = T(T'T''), \quad (6.1.3)$$

the unit element is

$$\mathbb{1} = T(1, 0) \quad (6.1.4)$$

and by equating eq. (6.1.2) with eq. (6.1.4) one can read off the inverse element

$$T^{-1}(\Lambda, a) = T(\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1}a). \quad (6.1.5)$$

In analogy to the Lorentz group, the component which contains the identity $T(1, 0)$ is called $ISO(1, 3)^+$, where I stands for *inhomogeneous*. This is the fundamental symmetry group of physics that transforms inertial frames into one another.

6.2 Poincaré Algebra

Let's use a different notation and denote with $U(\Lambda, a)$ a representation of the Poincaré group on some vector space. Note that it is *not* necessary that U is a unitary representation. In

order for it to satisfy the definition 15, it must inherit the transformation properties of the group, in this case eq. (6.1.2) and eq. (6.1.5),

$$U(\Lambda, a)U(\Lambda', a') = U(\Lambda\Lambda', a' + \Lambda'a), \quad (6.2.1a)$$

$$U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) = U(\Lambda^{-1}, -\Lambda^{-1}a). \quad (6.2.1b)$$

Then, for infinitesimal transformations¹,

$$U(\Lambda, a) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} - i\varepsilon_\sigma P^\sigma} \simeq \mathbb{1} + \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} - i\varepsilon_\sigma P^\sigma, \quad (6.2.2)$$

where the explicit forms of $U(\Lambda, a)$ and the generators $M^{\mu\nu}$ and P^μ depend on the representation. Here, we dropped any index referring to the representation for the generators. Recall that, at the end of the day, the commutation relations defining the Lie algebra are representation independent.

To derive the commutation relations of the generators, we can use the relation

$$U(\Lambda, a)U(\Lambda', a')U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) = U(\Lambda\Lambda'\Lambda^{-1}, a + \Lambda a' - \Lambda\Lambda'\Lambda^{-1}a), \quad (6.2.3)$$

which follows from eq. (6.2.1a) and eq. (6.2.1b). Inserting infinitesimal transformations (6.2.2) for each $U(\Lambda = 1 + \varepsilon, a)$, with $U^{-1}(\Lambda, a) = U(1 - \varepsilon, -a)$, keeping only linear terms in all group parameters ε , ε' , a and a' , and comparing coefficients of the terms $\sim \varepsilon\varepsilon'$, $a\varepsilon'$, $\varepsilon a'$ and aa' , leads to the identities

$$i[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = \eta^{\nu\rho}J^{\mu\sigma} - \eta^{\mu\rho}J^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\sigma\mu}J^{\rho\nu} + \eta^{\sigma\nu}J^{\rho\mu}, \quad (6.2.4a)$$

$$i[P^\mu, M^{\rho\sigma}] = \eta^{\mu\rho}P^\sigma - \eta^{\mu\sigma}P^\rho, \quad (6.2.4b)$$

$$[P^\mu, P^\rho] = 0, \quad (6.2.4c)$$

which define the Poincaré algebra.

We can recast those relations in a less compact but more useful form. Since $M^{\mu\nu}$ contains six generators, we can define the generator of $SO(3)$ rotations \mathbf{J} and the generator of the boosts \mathbf{K} via²

$$M^{ij} = -\varepsilon_{ijk}J^k \iff J^i = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}M^{jk}, \quad M^{0i} = K^i, \quad (6.2.5)$$

then the commutation relations takes the form

$$[J^i, J^j] = i\varepsilon_{ijk}J^k, \quad (6.2.6a)$$

$$[J^i, K^j] = i\varepsilon_{ijk}K^k, \quad (6.2.6b)$$

$$[K^i, K^j] = -i\varepsilon_{ijk}J^k, \quad (6.2.6c)$$

$$[J^i, P^j] = i\varepsilon_{ijk}P^k, \quad (6.2.6d)$$

$$[K^i, P^j] = i\delta_{ij}P_0, \quad (6.2.6e)$$

$$[K^i, P_0] = iP^i, \quad (6.2.6f)$$

$$[P^i, P^j] = 0, \quad (6.2.6g)$$

$$[J^i, P_0] = 0, \quad (6.2.6h)$$

$$[P^i, P_0] = 0. \quad (6.2.6i)$$

¹See chapter 2 of Weinberg [5] to convince yourself this is possible. Here, we're assuming the exponential map is surjective. As discussed in sec. 2.1 after eq. (2.1.5), it is a non-trivial fact to prove the surjectivity. However, we're not interested in such details, so we'll just ignore it. **Exponential vs product of exponentials, still to be solved**

²To see how to invert expressions with Levi-Civita symbol, look at appendix A.1.

If we similarly define $\varepsilon_{ij} = -\varepsilon_{ijk}\phi^k$ and $\varepsilon_{0i} = s^i$, we obtain

$$\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu}M^{\mu\nu} = i\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{J} + i\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{K}. \quad (6.2.7)$$

\mathbf{J} is hermitian but, because the Lorentz group is not compact, \mathbf{K} is antihermitian for all finite-dimensional representations which prevents them from being unitary. From (6.2.6) we see that boosts and rotations generally do not commute unless the boost and rotation axes coincide. Moreover, P_0 (which becomes the Hamilton operator in the quantum theory) commutes with rotations and spatial translations but not with boosts and therefore the eigenvalues of \mathbf{K} cannot be used for labelling physical states.

6.3 Casimir Operators

ciao

Conventions

A.1 Levi-Civita Symbol

In this appendix we fix the conventions for the *Levi-Civita* symbol. We mainly refer to the Wikipedia page [7]. In these notes we're interested only in the Levi-Civita symbol in three dimensions, therefore we'll stick to it. We define the Levi-Civita symbol

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \text{ is an even permutation of } (1, 2, 3), \\ -1, & \text{if } (i, j, k) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (1, 2, 3), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1.1})$$

and adopt the convention

$$\varepsilon^{ijk} = \varepsilon_{ijk}.$$

Pay attention to what convention the sources you're referring use. Indeed, this is not always the most convenient choice, in particular when dealing with the Levi-Civita tensor. Without dealing these details, in our case, just recall that when to contiguous indices are permuted, we get a minus sign.

Using Einstein's summation convention, some useful relations are

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{pqk} = \delta_i^p\delta_j^q - \delta_i^q\delta_j^p \quad (\text{A.1.2a})$$

$$\varepsilon_{jmn}\varepsilon^{imn} = 2\delta_j^i \quad (\text{A.1.2b})$$

$$\varepsilon_{ijk}\varepsilon^{ijk} = 6. \quad (\text{A.1.2c})$$

Let's then show that

$$J^i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}M^{jk} \iff M^{ij} = \varepsilon^{ijk}J^k, \quad \text{if } M^{ij} = -M^{ji}.$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides by ε_{iln} and using eq. (A.1.2a) and the antisymmetry:

$$\varepsilon_{iln}J^i = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{iln}\varepsilon^{ijk}\omega^{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_l^j\delta_n^k - \delta_l^k\delta_n^j)\omega^{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(\omega^{ln} - \omega^{nl}) = \omega^{ln}.$$

Relabelling dummy indices and using $\varepsilon_{ijk} = \varepsilon_{kij}$, we finally obtain

$$\omega^{ij} = \varepsilon_{ijk}\theta^k = \varepsilon^{ijk}\theta^k \quad \blacksquare$$

Bibliography

- [1] M. Cicoli, “Quantum field theory 1.” 2023.
- [2] L. Lin, “Group theory.” 2023.
- [3] M.D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*, Cambridge University Press (2014).
- [4] M. Maggiore, *A Modern Introduction to Quantum Field Theory*, Oxford University Press (2005).
- [5] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, vol. 1, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [6] Stackexchange, “[How are quantum fields related to representations of the Lorentz group?](#).” 2021.
- [7] Wikipedia, “[Levi-Civita symbol](#).”.