```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
    [] => []
    | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman

Another Equivalent Structure

More interesting example

Given a signature with an abstract type, different structures can:

- Have that signature
- But implement the abstract type differently

Such structures might or might not be equivalent

Example (see code):

- type rational = int * int
- Does not have signature RATIONAL_A
- Equivalent to both previous examples under RATIONAL_B or RATIONAL C

More interesting example

```
structure Rational3 =
struct
type rational = int * int
exception BadFrac

fun make_frac (x,y) = ...
fun Whole i = (i,1) (* needed for RATIONAL_C *)
fun add ((a,b)(c,d)) = (a*d+b*c,b*d)
fun toString r = ... (* reduce at last minute *)
end
```

Some interesting details

- Internally make_frac has type int * int -> int * int,
 but externally int * int -> rational
 - Client cannot tell if we return argument unchanged
 - Could give type rational -> rational in signature, but this is awful: makes entire module unusable – why?
- Internally Whole has type 'a -> 'a * int but externally int -> rational
 - This matches because we can specialize 'a to int and then abstract int * int to rational
 - Whole cannot have types 'a -> int * int
 or 'a -> rational (must specialize all 'a uses)
 - Type-checker figures all this out for us