```
fun append (xs,ys) =
    if xs=[]
    then ys
    else (hd xs)::append(tl xs,ys)

fun map (f,xs) =
    case xs of
       [] => []
       | x::xs' => (f x)::(map(f,xs'))

val a = map (increment, [4,8,12,16])
val b = map (hd, [[8,6],[7,5],[3,0,9]])
```

Programming Languages Dan Grossman

Optional: The Value Restriction and Other Type-Inference Challenges

Two more topics

- ML type-inference story so far is too lenient
 - Value restriction limits where polymorphic types can occur
 - See why and then what
- ML is in a "sweet spot"
 - Type inference more difficult without polymorphism
 - Type inference more difficult with subtyping

Important to "finish the story" but these topics are:

- A bit more advanced
- A bit less elegant
- Will not be on the exam

The Problem

As presented so far, the ML type system is unsound!

Allows putting a value of type t1 (e.g., int) where we expect a value of type t2 (e.g., string)

A combination of polymorphism and mutation is to blame:

```
val r = ref NONE (* val r : 'a option ref *)
val _ = r := SOME "hi"
val i = 1 + valOf (!r)
```

- Assignment type-checks because (infix) := has type
 'a ref * 'a -> unit, so instantiate with string
- Dereference type-checks because ! has type
 'a ref -> 'a, so instantiate with int

What to do

To restore soundness, need a stricter type system that rejects at least one of these three lines

```
val r = ref NONE (* val r : 'a option ref *)
val _ = r := SOME "hi"
val i = 1 + valOf (!r)
```

- And cannot make special rules for reference types because type-checker cannot know the definition of all type synonyms
 - Module system coming up

```
type 'a foo = 'a ref
val f = ref (* val f : 'a -> 'a foo *)
val r = f NONE
```

The fix

```
val r = ref NONE (* val r : ?.X1 option ref *)
val _ = r := SOME "hi"
val i = 1 + valOf (!r)
```

- Value restriction: a variable-binding can have a polymorphic type only if the expression is a variable or value
 - Function calls like ref NONE are neither
- Else get a warning and unconstrained types are filled in with dummy types (basically unusable)
- Not obvious this suffices to make type system sound, but it does

The downside

As we saw previously, the value restriction can cause problems when it is unnecessary because we are not using mutation

```
val pairWithOne = List.map (fn x => (x,1))
(* does not get type 'a list -> ('a*int) list *)
```

The type-checker does not know **List.map** is not making a mutable reference

Saw workarounds in previous segment on partial application

Common one: wrap in a function binding

```
fun pairWithOne xs = List.map (fn x => (x,1)) xs
(* 'a list -> ('a*int) list *)
```

A local optimum

- Despite the value restriction, ML type inference is elegant and fairly easy to understand
- More difficult without polymorphism
 - What type should length-of-list have?
- More difficult with subtyping
 - Suppose pairs are supertypes of wider tuples
 - Then val (y,z) = x constrains x to have at least two fields, not exactly two fields
 - Depending on details, languages can support this, but types often more difficult to infer and understand
 - Will study subtyping later, but not with type inference