Homework 1 - High Dimensional Probability

Bernardi Alberto, Cracco Gianmarco, Manente Alessandro, Mazzieri Riccardo

November 2020

Exercise 1

Prove the inequalities:

$$\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{m} \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \binom{n}{m} \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n}{m} \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \left(\frac{en}{m}\right)^{m} \forall m \in [1, n]$$

Solution:

(1)
$$\frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^m} = \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} \cdot \frac{m^m}{n^m} = \frac{n!}{n^m \cdot (n-m)!} \cdot \frac{m^m}{m!}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{n+1-i}{n} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{m}{m+1-i} = \star$$

We have to prove $\star \geq 1$. This holds if and only if

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n+1-i}{n} \ge \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{m+1-i}{m} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{n+1-i}{n} \ge \frac{m+1-i}{m}$$

$$\iff 1 + \frac{1-i}{n} \ge 1 + \frac{1-i}{m} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1-i}{n} \ge \frac{1-i}{m} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{m} \ge \frac{1}{n}.$$

(2)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n}{m} = \binom{n}{m} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{n}{m} \ge \binom{n}{m}$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^m \le \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^k \le \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{n^k}{k!} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{m^k}{k!} \le e^m$$

Exercise 2

Check that in Corollary $0.0.4^1$

$$\left(C + C\varepsilon^2 N\right)^{\left\lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \right\rceil}$$

suce. Here C is a suitable absolute constant.

Solution:

$$(C + C\mathcal{E}^2 N)^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}^2} \right\rceil} = C^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}^2} \right\rceil} \cdot (1 + \mathcal{E}^2 N)^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}^2} \right\rceil}$$

Following the same reasoning used in the proof of the Corollary and observing that we can choose k elements in a set of N points with repetitions in $\binom{N+k-1}{k}$ ways, we can write:

$$\begin{split} |\mathcal{N}| &\leq \binom{N+k-1}{k} \leq \left(\frac{e(N+k-1)}{k}\right)^k = e^k \left(\frac{N+k-1}{k}\right)^k \\ &= e^k \left(\frac{N}{k} + 1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k \\ &\leq e^k \left(1 + \frac{N}{k}\right)^k \end{split}$$

Setting C = e and $k = \left\lceil \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}^2} \right\rceil$:

$$|\mathcal{N}| \le C^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{N}{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil} \right)^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil} \le C^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil} \cdot (1 + \varepsilon^2 N)^{\left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil}$$

Exercise 3

Let X be a random variable and $p \in (0, \infty)$. Show that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right] = \int_0^\infty pt^{p-1} \mathbb{P}\{|X| > t\} dt$$

Solution:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right] &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{|X|^p > t\}dt = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{|X| > t^{1/p}\}dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{P}\{|X| > y\}dy \end{split}$$

Where in the last step we set $y=t^{1/p}\Longrightarrow dt=py^{p-1}dy$ and in (1) we applied the result for which, given a positive random variable, $\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]=\int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{X>t\}dt$.

Let P be a polytope in with N vertices and whose diameter is bounded by 1. Then P can be covered by at most $N^{\lceil 1/\varepsilon^2 \rceil}$ Euclidean balls of radii $\varepsilon > 0$.

Exercise 4

Prove the inequality:

$$\cosh(x) \leqslant e^{\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right)} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Solution:

$$\cosh x = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{k!}}{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!}.$$

We now claim that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!} \le \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{2k}}{2^k k!}.$$

Hence, we need to prove, by induction, that $(2k)! \geq 2^k k! \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Simply, if $k = 1, 2! \geq 2$.

We can hence assume that the relation holds true for $k=1,\ldots,n$ and prove it for k=n+1:

$$(2(n+1))! = (2n+2)(2n+1)(2n)!$$

$$\geq (2n+2)(2n+1)2^{n}n!$$

$$= (2n+1)2^{n+1}(n+1)!$$

$$\geq 2^{n+1}(n+1)!$$

Exercise 5

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_N be independent $Ber(p_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. Put $S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ and $\mathbb{E}[S_N] = \mu$. Show that for $\delta \in (0, 1]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|S_N - \mu| \geqslant \delta\mu\right\} \leqslant 2e^{-C\mu\delta^2}$$

where C > 0 is a constant.

Solution:

Let us first prove that, for $t < \mu$ it holds:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{S_{N} \leq t\right\} \leq e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{t}\right)^{t}.$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \leq t\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{e^{-\lambda S_{N}} \geq e^{-\lambda t}\right\} \leq e^{\lambda t} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda S_{N}}\right] = e^{\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda X_{i}}\right]$$

$$\tag{1}$$

where the inequality follows form the Markov's one and the last equality from the independence of the variables. Since X_i are Bernoulli random variables,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-\lambda X_i}\right] = 1 + (e^{-\lambda} - 1)p_i \le \exp\left(\left(e^{-\lambda} - 1\right)p_i\right).$$

Plugging this into inequality (1) we get:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{S_N \le t\right\} \le e^{\lambda t} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(\left(e^{-\lambda} - 1\right) p_i\right) = e^{\lambda t} \exp\left(\left(e^{-\lambda} - 1\right) \mu\right) = e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{\mu e}{t}\right)^t,$$

where in the last equality we set $\lambda = \log\left(\frac{\mu}{t}\right)$ which is positive since $t < \mu$.

Considering $\delta \in (0,1]$, we now want to apply the Chernoff's bound to the following:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|S_N - \mu| \ge \delta\mu\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{S_N \le (1 - \delta)\mu\right\} + \mathbb{P}\left\{S_N \ge (1 + \delta)\mu\right\}$$

$$\stackrel{\star}{\le} e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{(1 - \delta)\mu}\right)^{(1 - \delta)\mu} + e^{-\mu} \left(\frac{e\mu}{(1 + \delta)\mu}\right)^{(1 + \delta)\mu},$$

where in \star we applied the Chernoff's bound on both the addends.

Given what we have obtained so far, we need to prove that the last quantity is smaller than $2e^{-C\mu\delta^2}$ for some constant C. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} e^{-\mu} \bigg(\frac{e\mu}{(1-\delta)\mu} \bigg)^{(1-\delta)\mu} &+ e^{-\mu} \bigg(\frac{e\mu}{(1+\delta)\mu} \bigg)^{(1+\delta)\mu} = \\ &= e^{-\delta\mu - (1-\delta)\mu \log(1-\delta)} + e^{\delta\mu - (1+\delta)\mu \log(1+\delta)} \\ &\stackrel{\star}{\leq} e^{-\delta\mu + (1-\delta)\mu \left(\delta + \frac{\delta^2}{2}\right)} + e^{\delta\mu - (1+\delta)\mu \left(\delta - \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{\delta^3}{3}\right)} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{2}} \underbrace{\left(e^{\frac{-\delta^3\mu}{2}} + e^{\frac{(\delta^3 - 2\delta^4)\mu}{6}} \right)}_{\Delta}, \end{split}$$

where \star holds since $\log(1-\delta) \ge -\delta - \frac{\delta^2}{2}$ and $\log(1+\delta) > \delta - \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \frac{\delta^3}{3}$ for any $\delta \ge 0$. Finally, $A \le 2$ for any $\delta \in (0,1]$ and hence the exercise is solved. In fact, putting all together we proved:

$$\mathbb{P}\{|S_N - \mu| \le \delta\mu\} \le e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}} \underbrace{\left(e^{\frac{-\delta^3 \mu}{2}} + e^{\frac{(\delta^3 - 2\delta^4)\mu}{6}}\right)}_{A} \le 2e^{-C\mu\delta^2},$$

where we set $C = \frac{1}{2}$.

Exercise 6

Show that for each $p \ge 1$, the random variable $X \sim N(0,1)$ satisfies

$$||X||_{L^p} = \left(\mathbb{E}\left[|X|^p\right]\right)^{1/p} = \sqrt{2}\left[\frac{\Gamma((1+p)/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)}\right]^{1/p}.$$

Moreover, deduce that $||X||_{L^p} = O(\sqrt{p})$ as $p \longrightarrow +\infty$.

Solution:

Remembering that

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} \exp(-t) dt$$

we can write:

$$||X||_{L^p}^p = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |t|^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right) dt =$$
$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} t^p \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2}\right) dt = \dots$$

We now make the following change of variable:

$$y = \frac{t^2}{2};$$
 $t = \sqrt{2y};$ $dt = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2y}}dy.$

$$\dots = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} (2y)^{\frac{p}{2}} \exp(-y) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2y}} dy =$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} 2^{\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} y^{\frac{p}{2} - \frac{1}{2}} \exp(-y) dy =$$

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} y^{\frac{1+p}{2} - 1} \exp(-y) dy = 2^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

since $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$.

It remains to be solve the second part of the exercise:

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\|X\|_{L^p}}{\sqrt{p}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+p}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{p}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{p}}.$$

Now, since we know that $\Gamma(z+1) \sim \sqrt{2\pi z} \left(\frac{z}{e}\right)^z$ for $z \to +\infty$ (Stirling's approximation), we can write:

$$= \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{2e}} \left(\frac{p-1}{2e} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{p}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{p-1} \left(\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{2e}} \right)^{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{p}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{p-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{p-1}{2e}} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{\sqrt{p}} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2e})^{1-\frac{1}{p}}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{p-1}}{\sqrt{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}}$$

Therefore we can conclude that:

$$||X||_{L^p} = O(\sqrt{p})$$
 as $p \to +\infty$.

Exercise 7

Compute the sub-Gaussian norm of $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Solution:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{t^2}\right)\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t^2}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{2}{t^2}\right)\right) dx$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{t\sigma}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2}\right) dy$$

$$= \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2\sigma^2}},$$

where in (2) we used:

$$y = \frac{x\sqrt{t^2 - 2\sigma^2}}{t\sigma}$$
, with $t > \sqrt{2}\sigma \Longrightarrow dx = \frac{t\sigma}{\sqrt{t^2 - 2\sigma^2}}dy$. (2)

If we now study $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{t^2}\right)\right] \leq 2$ we get:

$$\frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2-2\sigma^2}} \leq 2 \Longleftrightarrow t^2 \geq \frac{8}{3}\sigma^2 \Longrightarrow t < -2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sigma \lor t > 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sigma.$$

Since, by the definition of the sub-Gaussian norm, we are considering the infimum among $t \ge 0$ we obtain

$$||X||_{\psi_2} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\sigma.$$

Exercise 8

Compute the sub-Gaussian norm of $X \sim SymBer$.

Solution:

$$\|X\|_{\psi_2} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \quad | \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{t^2}\right)\right] \le 2 \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{t^2}\right)\right] = \exp\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right) \le 2$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{t^2} \le \log 2$$

$$t \le -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\log 2}} \lor t \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log 2}}$$

So
$$||X||_{\psi_2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log 2}}$$
.

Exercise 9

Let X be a bounded r.v.. Show that

$$||X||_{\psi_2} \leqslant \frac{||X||_{\infty}}{\sqrt{\log 2}}.$$

Solution:

We recall that

$$||X||_{\psi_2} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{X^2}{t^2} \right) \le 2 \right] \right\}$$

and

$$\|X\|_{\infty} = \inf \left\{ a \in \mathbb{R} \mid \mathbb{P}\left(X^{-1}(a, +\infty)\right) = 0 \right\}.$$

Since X is bounded we have that $\exists a \in \mathbb{R} : X(\omega) \leq a \quad \forall \omega$ and therefore $\|X\|_{\infty} = a$. Now we assume, ab absurdo, that

$$||X||_{\psi_2} > \frac{a}{\sqrt{\log(2)}}.$$

Then:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{\|X\|_{\psi}^2}\right)\right] < \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2\log(2)}{a^2}\right)\right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\log 2)\right] = 2$$

Therefore

$$\exists \bar{t} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{\log 2}} \quad : \quad \bar{t} < \|X\|_{\psi_2} \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{\bar{t}^2}\right)\right] \leq 2,$$

which is a contradiction by the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\psi_2}$.

Exercise 10

Show that $||X||_{\psi_2}$ is a norm.

Solution:

We want to prove that

$$||X||_{\psi_2} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{X^2}{t^2} \right) \right] \le 2 \right\}$$

is a norm, hence we need to demonstrate:

- (i) $X \equiv 0 \iff ||X||_{\psi_2} = 0$;
- (ii) $||\lambda X||_{\psi_2} = |\lambda| ||X||_{\psi_2} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R};$
- (iii) $||X + Y||_{\psi_2} \le ||X||_{\psi_2} + ||Y||_{\psi_2}$.
- (i) Assuming $X \equiv 0$ we have:

$$||X||_{\psi_2} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{0}{t^2} \right) \right] \le 2 \right\} =$$

$$= \inf \left\{ t > 0 \mid \mathbb{E} \left[1 \right] \le 2 \right\} = \inf \left\{ t > 0 \right\} = 0.$$

On the other hand, let $||X||_{\psi_2} = 0$ (we consider as null random variables all those having at most a zero measure set of non-null values) and suppose

for a contradiction that there exists a measurable set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{P}\{X \in \Omega\} > 0$. Notice that, by hypothesis, we know that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{t^2}\right)\right] = \int_{\Omega} p(x) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t^2}\right) dx \le 2,$$

for any arbitrarily small t > 0. Choosing any $0 < t_{\star} < t$, we have that:

$$p(x) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t_\star^2}\right) > p(x) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t^2}\right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega,$$

which implies:

$$\int_{\Omega} p(x) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t_{\star}^2}\right) dx > \int_{\Omega} p(x) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t^2}\right) dx \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Therefore, if we define $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=\frac{t}{n}$, since $\lim_{n\to\infty}exp(\frac{x^2}{t_n})=\lim_{n\to\infty}exp(\frac{nx^2}{t})=+\infty$, it will always be possible to find an n_\star such that:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{t_{n_\star}^2}\right)\right] = \int_{\Omega} p(x) \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{t_{n_\star}^2}\right) dx = \int_{\Omega} p(x) \exp\left(\frac{n_\star x^2}{t^2}\right) dx > 2$$

which is a contradiction.

(ii) Fixing $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$\begin{split} ||\lambda X||_{\psi_2} &= \inf \left\{ t > 0 \quad | \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{\lambda^2 X^2}{t^2} \right) \right] \leq 2 \right\} = \\ &= \inf \left\{ |\lambda| \frac{t}{|\lambda|} > 0 \quad | \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{X^2}{\left(\frac{t}{\lambda} \right)^2} \right) \right] \leq 2 \right\} = \\ &= |\lambda| \inf \left\{ y > 0 \quad | \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{X^2}{y^2} \right) \right] \leq 2 \right\} = |\lambda| \, ||X||_{\psi_2}. \end{split}$$

(iii) Let us consider $f(x) = \exp(x^2)$, which is a convex and increasing function. Now, considering a, b > 0 we have that $f\left(\frac{|X+Y|}{a+b}\right) \le f\left(\frac{|X|+|Y|}{a+b}\right)$. Moreover, for the convexity we can write:

$$f\left(\frac{|X|+|Y|}{a+b}\right) = f\left(\frac{a}{a+b}\frac{|X|}{a} + \frac{b}{a+b}\frac{|Y|}{b}\right) \leq \frac{a}{a+b}f\left(\frac{|X|}{a}\right) + \frac{b}{a+b}f\left(\frac{|Y|}{b}\right).$$

As a consequence, picking $a=\|X\|_{\psi_2}^2$ and $b=\|Y\|_{\psi_2}^2$, substituting f and taking the expectations we get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X+Y}{||X||_{\psi_{2}}+||Y||_{\psi_{2}}}\right)^{2}\right] \leq \frac{||X||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}{||X||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}+||Y||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X}{||X||_{\psi_{2}}}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{||Y||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}{||X||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}+||Y||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{Y}{||Y||_{\psi_{2}}}\right)^{2}\right] \leq \frac{||X||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}{||X||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}+||Y||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}} \cdot 2 + \frac{||Y||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}}{||X||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}+||Y||_{\psi_{2}}^{2}} \cdot 2 = 2.$$

Hence we showed that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X+Y}{||X||_{\psi_2}+||Y||_{\psi_2}}\right)^2\right] \le 2$$

from which it follows that

$$||X||_{\psi_2}^2 + ||Y||_{\psi_2}^2 \in \{t > 0 \mid \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X+Y}{t}\right)^2\right] \le 2\}$$

$$\downarrow \downarrow$$

$$||X+Y||_{\psi_2} \le ||X||_{\psi_2} + ||Y||_{\psi_2}.$$