

The regulations for teacher's academic integrity are sparse and vague.

- What is the Problem?
  - Regulations on faculty's use of AI are sparse and vague
  - Only explicitly required to uphold the Carolinian Creed
    - "I will practice personal and academic integrity..."
  - The honor code does not apply to faculty
  - There is no clear way to report an instructor's academic misconduct
  - The university is actively making it easier to access AI tools
- Why?
  - The main concern with AI is academic dishonesty on behalf of the students.
  - Faculty are believed to be more responsible and ethical than students.
  - Previously, there have been few instances where teachers had the desire/capability to 'cheat'
- So?
  - Students rely on their teachers to be knowledgeable about their subjects and able to assist them
  - Students are paying tuition to study under the school's faculty, not an AI chatbot
  - Multiple lawsuits have been filed against universities because of faculty using AI to generate course content

Although there are extensive policies about expectations of academic integrity for students, there exist no explicit or enforceable policies for teachers/their course content.