# Immutable ArrayBuffers for stage 2.7

Mark S. Miller



**Peter Hoddie** 



Richard Gibson 

Agoric.

**Jack-Works** 

**106th Plenary** February 2025 F5, Seattle, WA



# Recap: Proposed ArrayBuffer API

```
transfer(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToFixedLength(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
resize(len: number) :void
slice(start?: number, end?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToImmutable() :ArrayBuffer
get immutable: boolean
get detached: boolean
get resizable: boolean
get byteLength: number
get maxByteLength: number
```

### Status Recap

#### Stage 2

- committee approval
- spec reviewers selected
  - Shu-yu Guo (@syg)
  - Waldemar Horwat (@waldemarhorwat)
  - Jordan Harband (@ljharb)
- spec text written

#### Stage 1

committee approval

### **Normative Issues**

- resolve all normative issues
  - Should transferToImmutable support a newByteLength argument? #15
    - Yes. Resolved and closed
  - ✓ ✓ .immutable or .mutable?#10
    - immutable for easy upgrade. Resolved and closed
  - ✓ add .sliceToImmutable ? #9
    - Yes. Resolved and closed
  - Order of operations, when to throw or silently do nothing? #16
    - Purposely left open for more implementor feedback

# Recap: Proposed ArrayBuffer API

```
transfer(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToFixedLength(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
resize(len: number) :void
slice(start?: number, end?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToImmutable() :ArrayBuffer
get immutable: boolean
get detached: boolean
get resizable: boolean
get byteLength: number
get maxByteLength: number
```

# Proposed ArrayBuffer API

```
transfer(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToFixedLength(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
resize(len: number) :void
slice(start?: number, end?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToImmutable(<a href="mailto:len?: number">len?: number</a>) :ArrayBuffer
<u>sliceToImmutable(start?: number, end?: number) :ArrayBuffer</u>
get immutable: boolean
get detached: boolean
get resizable: boolean
get byteLength: number
get maxByteLength: number
```

### Immutable ArrayBuffer Flavor

```
transfer(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToFixedLength(len?: number) :ArrayBuffer
resize(len: number) :void
slice(start?: number, end?: number) :ArrayBuffer
transferToImmutable(<u>len?: number</u>):ArrayBuffer
<u>sliceToImmutable(start?: number, end?: number) :ArrayBuffer</u>
get immutable: true
get detached: false
get resizable: false
get byteLength: number
get maxByteLength: same number
```

### Non-Normative Issues

- status of non-normative issues
  - Applicability to WebGPU buffer mapping #25
    - No. This proposal not applicable to WebGPU, but Limited ArrayBuffer may be.
  - ✓ Mention proposed integration with "structured cloning" #19
    - Yes. See ! Add immutable array buffer awareness to structuredClone whatwg/html#11033
  - Zero-copy operations on the web #18
    - Mixed bag. See Prior proposals or issues with overlapping goals
  - ✓ Update shim according to issue resolutions #26
    - Yes. See } fix(immutable-arraybuffer): update to recent spec endojs/endo#2688

### Zero-copy operations on the web 1/2

#### Prior proposals or issues with overlapping goals

Limited ArrayBuffer, especially issue #16

Readonly Collections, especially issue #10

wasm issue #1162

w3c TPAC talk Zero-copy operations on the web

web-bluetooth read-only ArrayBuffer, especially issue #300

gpuweb issue #2072, issue #747, and SharedValueTable proposal

- <u>likely should use</u> <u>Limited ArrayBuffer</u> instead of Immutable ArrayBuffer because Immutable ArrayBuffers cannot be detached.
- Note that WebAssembly Memory also can't be detached (except via other WebAssembly methods,...).

### Zero-copy operations on the web 2/2

#### Prior proposals or issues with overlapping goals

webidl Frozen Array

webcodecs issue #80, issue #104, and issue #212

web transport issue #131

- unlikely because Chrome (and likely others) copy when crossing address spaces.
- But possible: see <u>Even when talking between different processes</u>, each with their own address space, for a huge enough buffer ...

whatwg streams issue #495

unlikely because, well, they are streams, not buffers.

w3c machine learning workshop issue #93

### Proposed mod to Structured Clone 1/3

- 13. Otherwise, if *value* has an [[ArrayBufferData]] internal slot, then:
  - 1. If <u>IsSharedArrayBuffer</u> ( *value* ) is true, then:
  - - ↑ Set ↑↑ serialized ↑↑ to { [[Type]]: "ImmutableArrayBuffer", [[ArrayBufferData]]: ↑↑ value ↑.
       [[ArrayBufferData]], ↑ [[ArrayBufferByteLength]]: ↑↑ value ↑.

#### Note

<u>↑ To support deserialization by independent processes at arbitrary points in the future, the ↑↑ contents ↑↑ of ↑↑ value ↑.</u>[[ArrayBufferData]] ↑ must be preserved when ↑↑ forStorage ↑↑ is true. But otherwise, a pointer ↑↑ referencing ↑↑ value ↑.[[ArrayBufferData]] ↑ is expected to suffice. ↑

3. Otherwise:

### Proposed mod to Structured Clone 2/3

#### 2.7.7 StructuredSerializeWithTransfer ( value , transferList )

1. Let *memory* be an empty <u>map</u>.

#### 2. For each transferable of transferList:

- 2. If *transferable* has an [[ArrayBufferData]] internal slot and <u>IsSharedArrayBuffer</u> <u>1(11 transferable 11) is true or either 11 Is ImmutableBuffer 1 (transferable</u>) is true, then throw a <u>"DataCloneError"</u> DOMException.
- 3 If mamony [transferable ] exists then throw a " DataCloneFrror " DOMEY cention

### Proposed mod to Structured Clone 3/3

- 14. Otherwise, if value has a [[ViewedArrayBuffer]] internal slot, then:
  - 1. If <u>IsArrayBufferViewOutOfBounds</u> ( *value* ) is true, then throw a <u>"DataCloneError"</u> DOMException .
  - 2. Let buffer be the value of value 's [[ViewedArrayBuffer]] internal slot.
  - 3. Let bufferSerialized be ? StructuredSerializeInternal (buffer, forStorage, memory).
  - 4. <u>Assert</u>: bufferSerialized .[[Type]] is "ArrayBuffer", <u>1"ImmutableArrayBuffer"</u>, <u>1</u> "ResizableArrayBuffer", "SharedArrayBuffer", or "GrowableSharedArrayBuffer".
  - 5. If value has a [[DataView]] internal slot, then set serialized to { [[Type]]: "ArrayBufferView", [[Constructor]]:

### Implementor Feedback

- receive implementer feedback
  - XS implementation good. Does not suggest any changes.
  - shim implementation and practical use is necessarily incomplete, but does not suggest any changes.
  - others...?

### **Approval Status**

- committee approval
- spec editor signoff (@tc39/ecma262-editors)
  - Shu-yu Guo (@syg) (see O Review #30)
  - ✓ Kevin Gibbons (@bakkot) (see ⊘ bakkot editor review #31 (comment))
  - Michael Ficarra (@michaelficarra)
- spec reviewer signoff
  - ☐ Shu-yu Guo (@syg) (see ⊙ Review #30)
  - Waldemar Horwat (@waldemarhorwat)
  - ✓ Jordan Harband (@ljharb) (see ✓ Spec Review #27 (comment))

### Road to Future Stages

### Stage 3 Stage 4 committee approval committee approval two implementations merge test262 tests write test262 tests JavaScriptCore receive implementer feedback SpiderMonkey XS **V8** significant in-the-field experience ecma262 PR approved prepare ecma262 PR

# Questions? Stage 2.7?