

# 1 Marginalization

## 1.1 Review

Assume you have a problem statement involving two variables, X and Y. But we only want to know the probability of X. Then we can marginalize, or sum out all possibilities of Y. For example.  $P(X) = P(X, y) + P(X, \neg y)$

Written more generally:

$$P(A) = \sum_B P(A, B)$$

## 1.2 Examples

Vars	P(Vars)
$(a, b, c)$	$x_1$
$(a, b, \neg c)$	$x_2$
$(a, \neg b, c)$	$x_3$
$(a, \neg b, \neg c)$	$x_4$
$(\neg a, b, c)$	$x_5$
$(\neg a, b, \neg c)$	$x_6$
$(\neg a, \neg b, c)$	$x_7$
$(\neg a, \neg b, \neg c)$	$x_8$

What is  $P(a, \neg b)$ ?  $x_3 + x_4$ . What about  $P(\neg a)$ ?

## 2 Independence

### 2.1 Review

Independence is met if the following rule applies:

$$P(A, B) = P(A)P(B)$$

### 2.2 Problem Statement

Consider we wanted to know the probability you had a good break ( $G$ ). Additionally, we know whether your flight got delayed ( $D$ ) and whether you got sick over break ( $S$ ).

### 2.3 Delayed Flight and Good Break

Assume you have marginalized out sickness, and have a distribution over delayed flight and good break.

Vars	P(Vars)
$(g, d)$	0.08
$(g, \neg d)$	0.02
$(\neg g, d)$	0.72
$(\neg g, \neg d)$	0.18

Are these two variables independent? Calculate  $P(G)$  and  $P(D)$  and see if the conditional relationship holds.

$$P(g, d) = P(g)P(d)$$

$$P(g, \neg d) = P(g)(1 - P(d))$$

$$P(\neg g, d) = (1 - P(g))P(d)$$

$$P(\neg g, \neg d) = (1 - P(g))(1 - P(d))$$

Answer:  $P(g) = 0.08 + 0.02 = 0.1$ ,  $P(d) = 0.72 + 0.08 = 0.8$ . Yes, they are independent. Plugging in values into above rules all are equivalent.

## 2.4 Sickness and Good Break

Assume you have marginalized out delayed flight, and have a distribution over sickness and good break.

Vars	P(Vars)
$(s, g)$	0.1
$(s, \neg g)$	0.3
$(\neg s, g)$	0.4
$(\neg s, \neg g)$	0.2

Are these two variables independent? Calculate  $P(S)$  and  $P(G)$  and see if the conditional relationship holds.

$$P(s) = 0.1 + 0.3 = 0.4, P(g) = 0.1 + 0.4 = 0.5$$
$$P(s, g)0.1 = P(s)P(g) = 0.4 * 0.5 = 0.2 \dots \text{not equal!}$$

Answer: No, they are not independent.

### 3 Conditional Independence

#### 3.1 Review

Conditional independence is met if the following rules apply:

$$\begin{aligned}P(A|B, C) &= P(A|C) \text{ or} \\P(A, B|C) &= P(A|C)P(B|C)\end{aligned}$$

In both examples you would say  $A$  is conditionally independent of  $B$  given  $C$ . In other words, knowing  $B$  gives no additional information if we already know  $C$ .

#### 3.2 Deriving Second Cond. Indep Equation Using the First

$$\begin{aligned}P(A, B|C) &= \frac{P(A, B, C)}{P(C)} \\&= \frac{P(A|B, C)P(B, C)}{P(C)} \\&= \frac{P(A|B, C)P(B|C)P(C)}{P(C)} \\&= P(A|B, C)P(B|C) \\&= P(A|C)P(B|C) \quad \text{Using first rule}\end{aligned}$$

You can also derive it more succinctly by just stating:

$$\begin{aligned}P(A, B|C) &= P(A|B, C)P(B|C) \\&= P(A|C)P(B|C) \quad \text{Using first rule}\end{aligned}$$

#### 3.3 Example Problem

Consider the following problem:

We are trying to determine the probability that a student will do well on the second midterm ( $W$ ). The two other variables we know are whether the student understood everything in class ( $C$ ), and whether the student understood the practice midterm ( $M$ ).

Assume we know the following probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}P(w|c) &= 0.6 \\P(m|c) &= 0.8 \\P(w|\neg c) &= 0.1 \\P(m|\neg c) &= 0.2\end{aligned}$$

### 3.4 If W is Conditionally Independent of M Given C...

If W is conditionally independent of M given C, what can we say about the values  $P(W, M|C)$  must take on? Write out the truth table for  $P(W, M|C)$ :

### 3.5 For Example

$P(w, m|c)$  must equal  $P(m|c) * P(w|c)$  or 0.48

$P(w, \neg m|\neg c)$  must equal  $P(w|\neg c) * P(\neg m|\neg c)$  which is equivalent to  $P(w|\neg c) * (1 - P(m|\neg c))$  or 0.08

And so on