

MAMC01802: Research and Enquiry Assessment 1: Critical Review

Study Block 2: 7th October 2024 to 6th December 2024

Due date to be handed in: Monday, Week 4 (check specific date on Moodle)

Submission: Upload soft copy to Moodle

Word count guidance: 1400 words (+/-10%)
Weighting/Contribution: 30% to final grade
Course Coordinator: Dr Jimmy Sun
Varun Bhardwaj

Learning outcomes covered: 1 Level: 8

Assessment Overview

This is the first of two assessments for this course. The objective of this assessment is to provide the students with knowledge of how to critically review and evaluate a published article regarding an empirical study from a peer reviewed journal.

Conditions of Assessment

This is an individual assessment that you will complete in your learner-managed time, however, your teacher will provide opportunities during class time for clarification and guidance. All work must be completely your own and all literature used must be referenced appropriately using APA 7th edition. In order to pass this course, you must achieve a cumulative grade of at least 50%, across assessment one and two.

Learning Outcome(s) Assessed

1. Conduct a critical literature review of a subject/area within an applied business management context.

Reassessment/Resubmission/Extensions

Please see your course outline for guidelines around reassessment, resubmission, and extensions.

Instructions

To prepare for this assessment you will:

- 1. Using secondary research, identify an area/topic of applied management that is of interest to you.
- 2. Identify keywords and undertake a keyword search of the literature using academic databases. All selected articles should have been published within the last five years.
- 3. Select a primary article that is highly relevant to the identified applied management area/topic, then select at least three articles on the research area/topic related to the primary article.
- 4. Write a critical literature review on the research area/topic of interest using the selected articles.

Your Critical Review will include:

- An introduction to the review including how the primary and at least three supportive articles are located, evaluated, managed and used to support the identification of the applied management area/topic.
- The body of the review itself, including explanation, evaluation, comparison and/or critique of relevant applied management concepts, ideas and opinions from the selected articles, implications for applied management practice.
- Conclusions drawn from your analysis of the articles.
- A full Reference list using correct APA referencing style.

Criteria	10-9 Excellent	8-7 Good	6-5 Satisfactory	4-0 Unsatisfactory
Critical	The review demonstrates critical	The review demonstrates critical	The review demonstrates clear	The review does not demonstrate clear
identification of	identification and in-depth and detailed	identification and detailed articulation of:	identification and adequate articulation	identification and adequate articulation
applied	articulation of:		of:	of:
management		an area/topic of applied management		
area/topic	 an area/topic of applied management 	relevant keywords	an area/topic of applied management	an area/topic of applied management
(35%)	relevant keywords	a primary article	relevant keywords	relevant keywords
	a primary article	at least three supportive articles and	a primary article	a primary article and its relevance to
	at least three supportive articles and	their relevance to the applied	at least three supportive articles and	the applied management area/topic
	their relevance to the applied	management area/topic	their relevance to the applied	at least three supportive articles and
	management area/topic		management area/topic	their relevance to the applied
	All and attack auticles have been sublished	All selected articles have been published		management area/topic
	All selected articles have been published	within the last five years.	All selected articles have been published	
	within the last five years.		within the last five years.	Selected articles have not all been
Criteria	10-9 Excellent	8-7 Good	6-5 Satisfactory	published within the last five years. 4-0 Unsatisfactory
Critical	The literature review is astutely and	The literature review is reported critically	The literature review is reported clearly	The literature review is not reported
literature	comprehensively reported, and with an	and in detail, and with a critical focus	and adequately, and with a clear focus	adequately, and with little or no focus
review	insightful and judicious focus on the	on the applied management area/topic	on the applied management area/topic	on the applied management area/topic
(65%)	applied management area/topic and	and does:	and does:	and does not (or does not adequately):
(0070)	does:	evaluate applied management	evaluate applied management	 evaluate applied management
	evaluate applied management	concepts/models/frameworks,	concepts/models/frameworks,	concepts/models/frameworks,
	concepts/models/frameworks,	compare and evaluate different ideas	compare and evaluate different ideas	 compare and evaluate different ideas
	 compare and evaluate different ideas 	and opinions presented in the selected	and opinions presented in the selected	and opinions presented in the selected
	and opinions presented in the selected	articles.	articles.	articles.
	articles.	critique key limitations and suggest	critique key limitations and suggest	critique key limitations and suggest
	critique key limitations and suggest	implications for applied management	implications for applied management	implications for applied management
	implications for applied management	practice, and	practice, and	practice, and
	practice, and	conclude the literature review with	conclude the literature review with	conclude the literature review with
	 conclude the literature review with 	reference to the review and analysis of	reference to the review and analysis of	reference to the review and analysis of
	reference to the review and analysis of	the articles critically and in detail.	the articles clearly and adequately.	the articles.
	the articles insightfully and			the ditiolog.
	comprehensively.	Most references are correctly added to	Most references are correctly added to	Most references are either missing or
		the review with correct formats,	the review with mostly correct formats.	incorrectly added to the review with
	All references are correctly added to the	demonstrating an incomplete but		incorrect formats.
	review with correct formats,	acceptable critical literature review		
	demonstrating a full critical literature	process.		
	review process.			