

Development of a D-SPECT myocardial perfusion phantom

Gijs de Vries, s1854526

Revision 0.1

Project plan

² Development of a D-SPECT myocardial perfusion phantom

³ Gijs de Vries, s1854526

⁴ Wednesday 5th December, 2018

5 **Preface**

6 The project plan outlines an introduction and literature of the topic along with organisational
7 information including a detailed planning.

8 Gijs de Vries

9 Enschede, 3rd December 2018

10 **Contents**

11	1 Introduction	1
12	1.1 Document overview	1
13	2 Literature	2
14	3 Research methodology	3
15	4 Planning	4
16	4.1 Workdays	4
17	4.2 Work weeks	4
18	4.3 off-days	5
19	4.4 Deadlines	6
20	4.5 Meetings	6
21	A Appendix: Work weeks	7
22	B Appendix: Gantt planning	8
23	Bibliography	9

1 Introduction

[done] Read into background information on D-SPECT

[done] Write global background information

[done] Introduce the rest of the document

There are various types of scanners that use different techniques. Examples are Computed Tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), or Scintigraphy (SPECT/PET) scanners. In cardiology, the SPECT scanner is widely employed for coronary and myocardial perfusion measurements (Rahmim and Zaidi, 2008). It is known that PET scans are generally more expensive (Hlatky et al., 2014; Goel et al., 2014). Hlatky et al. (2014) followed patients for two years, recording the costs and concluded that PET costs are 22% higher than the costs for SPECT for patients with suspected Coronary Artery Disease (CAD).

The imaging method in a typical SPECT scanner are scintillator-based gamma cameras, also known as Anger cameras. Gamma cameras use a scintillator to "transduce" gamma radiation, originating from an injected tracer, to photons. Part of these photons are directed towards a series of dynodes in Photomultiplier Tubes (PMTs), directly behind the scintillator, via a focusing electrode. Electrons that hit a dynode trigger the process of secondary emission (photoelectric effect), multiplying the number of electrons travelling through the tube. Electrons hitting the last dynode, also known as the anode, cause a current pulse which can be detected by measuring equipment. It is proportional to the amount of gamma ray photons entering the scintillator (GE Healthcare, 2009).

Developments in imaging systems gave rise to the Digital SPECT scanner. In contrast to the analogue Anger cameras, the D-SPECT scanner utilises a direct conversion semiconductor: Cadmium Zinc Telluride (CZT). Wagenaar (2004) used CZT to develop pixelated detector units which can be used for medical imaging. In a recent study, it is shown that a Digital SPECT scanner, using multiple pixelated CZT detectors, showed significant improvements in image sharpness and contrast (Goshen et al., 2018). These detector units do not require PMTs and thus allow for a more compact and flexible design (Erlandsson et al., 2009). The D-SPECT scanner, developed by Spectrum Dynamics¹, offers improvements in sensitivity and energy resolution (Spectrum Dynamics, 2016) over Anger camera systems. However, these digital systems are relatively new and require proper validation to convince medical personnel of its value.

1.1 Document overview

The project plan consists of a (short) literature review of existing myocardial perfusion phantoms and more extensive information on D-SPECT scanners (their technical background, limitations, and so forth). The literature is followed by the research methodology containing the research questions and goals of the project. The detailed planning is the last section of the project plan.

¹<https://www.spectrum-dynamics.com/>

2 Literature

[todo] Read available literature

[todo] Write literature review to more accurately define research questions

[todo] Read available literature over D-SPECT (for requirements)

64 **3 Research methodology**

65 [todo] Define research questions

4 Planning

[done] Create graphical planning

[done] Create workday overview

[done] Create week overview

[done] Define deadlines

[inpr] Define meetings: frequency, type, and already planned

This chapter details the planning for the 40ECTS final thesis, carried out under the Robotics and Mechatronics Chair of the University of Twente. The project will be carried out in two phases; proof-of-concept (phase 1) and definitive (phase 2). The Gantt planning for phase 1 and 2 can be found in appendix B in figures B.1 and B.2 respectively.

4.1 Workdays

The planning is based on 28 hours per European Credit as per Dutch standard. The final thesis is carried out full-time (40 hours per week). The overview of working hours is shown in table 4.1.

Day	Start time	End time	Productive hours
Monday	08:30	16:00	7
Tuesday	08:30	17:00	8
Wednesday	08:30	16:00	7
Thursday	08:30	17:00	8
Friday	08:30	17:00	8
Miscellaneous*			2
Total:			40

* Miscellaneous hours are in evenings, weekends or during train rides.

Table 4.1: Workdays and -hours

4.2 Work weeks

- Discuss work days between christmas and new-year
- Discuss work days on holidays

The works weeks can be found in table A.1 in appendix A.

The project planning spans 35 weeks. Activities are planned from week 49 of 2018 up until, and including, week 28 of 2019 which spans a total of 32 weeks. Week 29 will be used to finalise practical aspects; handing in material and documentation, report printing, and so forth. The graduation presentation (and ceremony) will additionally take place in week 29. Weeks 30 and 31 of 2019 can serve as an extension if, and only if, approved by the assessment- and exam committee.

The planning takes into account one week around Christmas and new-years, one week spring break ("voorjaarsvakantie") in 2019, and a two week buffer. See section 4.3 for more details.

4.3 off-days

The University of Twente recognises three general holidays, New Year's day, King's Birthday and Liberation day, and six Christian holidays, Good Friday, Easter Monday, Ascension day, Whit Monday, Christmas day, and Boxing day¹. Furthermore, the university recognises five bridging days in 2018 and four bridging days in 2019².

Both the King's Birthday as well as Liberation day falls in weekends. The remainder of the holidays and bridging days are summarised in table 4.2.

Holiday	Date	Note
Bridging day	2018 December 24	<i>Collective closure²</i>
Christmas day	2018 December 25	<i>Christian holiday¹</i>
Boxing day	2018 December 26	<i>Christian holiday¹</i>
Bridging day	2018 December 27	<i>Collective closure²</i>
Bridging day	2018 December 28	<i>Collective closure²</i>
Bridging day	2018 December 31	<i>Collective closure²</i>
New Year's day	2019 January 1	General holidays ¹
Good Friday	2019 April 19	<i>Christian holiday¹</i>
Easter Monday	2019 April 22	<i>Christian holiday¹</i>
Ascension Day	2019 May 30	<i>Christian holiday¹</i>
Bridging day	2019 May 31	<i>Collective closure²</i>
Whit Monday	2019 June 10	<i>Christian holiday¹</i>

Table 4.2: Off-days

Week 4 of 2019 is a planned vacation and no work will be done. This off-week spans from Monday 21st of January 2019 until, and including, Friday 25th of January 2019.

[todo] Update time of lectures

Currently, three lectures are planned which will result in an absent from the workplace in order to follow these lectures. These lectures are summarised in table 4.3.

What	Day	Date	When
CT lecture	Thursday	2018 December 20	Afternoon*
PET lecture	Thursday	2019 January 10	Second half of afternoon*
PET/SPECT Radiology	Monday	2019 January 14	Unknown*

* Times will be updated when known

Table 4.3: Planned lectures

¹ <https://www.utwente.nl/en/ces/planning-schedules/academic-calendar/holidays-closing-days/>

² <https://www.utwente.nl/en/hr/terms-of-employment/scope-of-employment/public-holidays-leave-days/#compulsory-leave-days>

4.4 Deadlines

The deadlines for phase 1 are shown in table 4.4 and those for phase 2 are shown in table 4.5.

What	R	Day	Date	Note
Project plan	0.1	Friday	2018 December 20	Before 2018 December 10
	0.2	Tuesday	2018 December 18	Before 2018 December 19
	1.0	Friday	2018 December 21	Before Christmas
System	0.1	Friday	2019 January 11	
Requirements	0.2	Friday	2019 February 1	
	1.0	Friday	2019 February 8	
Design	concept	Friday	2019 March 1	
	choice	Monday	2019 March 4	
	final	Friday	2019 March 15	Parallel development
Realisation		Friday	2019 March 29	Including testing

Deadlines subject to change depending on weekly meetings

Table 4.4: Deadlines phase 1

What	R	Day	Date	Note
Project plan	1.1	Friday	2019 April 5	
	2.0	Friday	2019 April 12	
System	1.1	Friday	2019 April 26	
Requirements	2.0	Friday	2019 May 3	
Design	concept	Friday	2019 May 24	
	choice	Monday	2019 May 27	
	final	Friday	2019 June 7	
Realisation		Friday	2019 July 12	Including testing
Final report	0.1	Friday	2019 June 14	
	0.2	Friday	2019 June 28	
	1.0	Friday	2019 July 12	

Deadlines subject to change depending on weekly meetings

Table 4.5: Deadlines phase 2

4.5 Meetings

- [Plan weekly progress meetings](#)

Weekly progress meetings take place on

What	Day	When	Participants
Progress meeting	Monday	2018 December 10 14:00	Gijs de Vries, Marije Kamphuis, Kees Slump
Progress meeting	Thursday	2018 December 19 15:00	Gijs de Vries, Marije Kamphuis, Kees Slump
<i>To be filled</i>			

Will be updated when new meetings are planned. Does not relate to recurring meetings

Table 4.6: Planned meetings

A Appendix: Work weeks

Week	Monday	Working	Note
49	2018 December 3	Yes	
50	2018 December 10	Yes	
51	2018 December 17	Yes	
52	2018 December 24	Partly	<i>See off-days</i>
1	2018 December 31	Mostly	<i>See off-days</i>
2	2019 January 7	Mostly	<i>CT college</i>
3	2019 January 14	Mostly	<i>PET college</i>
4	2019 January 21	No	<i>Vacation</i>
5	2019 January 28	Yes	
6	2019 February 4	Yes	
7	2019 February 11	Yes	
8	2019 February 18	Yes	
9	2019 February 25	Yes	
10	2019 March 4	Yes	
11	2019 March 11	Yes	
12	2019 March 18	Yes	
13	2019 March 25	Yes	
14	2019 April 1	Yes	
15	2019 April 8	Yes	
16	2019 April 15	Mostly	<i>See off-days</i>
17	2019 April 22	Mostly	<i>See off-days</i>
18	2019 April 29	Yes	
19	2019 May 6	Yes	
20	2019 May 13	Yes	
21	2019 May 20	Yes	
22	2019 May 27	Mostly	<i>See off-days</i>
23	2019 June 3	Yes	
24	2019 June 10	Mostly	<i>See off-days</i>
25	2019 June 17	Yes	
26	2019 June 24	Yes	
27	2019 July 1	Yes	
28	2019 July 8	Yes	
29	2019 July 15	Yes	
30	2019 July 22	No	<i>Extension when needed</i>
31	2019 July 29	No	<i>Extension when needed</i>

Table A.1: Work weeks

B Appendix: Gantt planning




Figure B.1: Phase 1 project planning




Figure B.2: Phase 2 project planning

Bibliography

- Erlandsson, K., K. Kacperski, D. Van Gramberg and B. F. Hutton (2009), Performance evaluation of D-SPECT: a novel SPECT system for nuclear cardiology, **vol. 54**, no.9, p. 2635.
- GE Healthcare (2009), CZT Technology: Fundamentals and Applications, Technical report, General Electric Company.
- Goel, A., D. Smith and C. Hakcing (2014), SPECT vs PET.
<https://radiopaedia.org/articles/spect-vs-pet>
- Goshen, E., L. Beilin, E. Stern, T. Kenig, R. Goldkorn and S. Ben-Haim (2018), Feasibility study of a novel general purpose CZT-based digital SPECT camera: initial clinical results, **vol. 5**, no.1, p. 6.
- Hlatky, M. A., D. Shilane, R. Hachamovitch, M. F. DiCarli, S. Investigators et al. (2014), Economic outcomes in the study of myocardial perfusion and coronary anatomy imaging roles in coronary artery disease registry: the SPARC study, **vol. 63**, no.10, pp. 1002–1008.
- Rahmim, A. and H. Zaidi (2008), PET versus SPECT: strengths, limitations and challenges, **vol. 29**, no.3, pp. 193–207.
- Spectrum Dynamics (2016), D-SPECT, Where It All Starts... Nine Digital CZT-Based Detectors.
<https://www.spectrum-dynamics.com/d-spect-solid-state-technology9-digital-czt-based-detectors/>
- Wagenaar, D. J. (2004), CdTe and CdZnTe semiconductor detectors for nuclear medicine imaging, in *Emission Tomography*, Elsevier, pp. 269–291.