

Title

Hunt Allcott¹ Judd Kessler²

¹New York University, NBER, and JPAL

²Wharton, NBER, and JPAL

January 2016

Capitalize only first letter of first word in frame title

A holistic approach would yield gross energy savings worth more than \$1.2 trillion, well above the \$520 billion needed through 2020 for upfront investment in efficiency measures ...

Such a program is estimated to reduce end-use energy consumption in 2020 by 9.1 quadrillion BTUs, roughly 23 percent of projected demand, potentially abating up to 1.1 gigatons of greenhouse gases annually.

-McKinsey & Co. (2009): Unlocking Energy Efficiency in the US Economy

Suggestion: Energy efficiency is a “win-win”

Example text

- ▶ “Reduced form approach to behavioral public economics”
 - ▶ Allcott and Taubinsky (2015), Chetty (2015), Mullainathan, Schwartzstein, and Congdon (2012)
- ▶ Unit demand, with two goods: $j \in \{E, I\}$
- ▶ Perfectly competitive supply
 - ▶ $c = c_E - c_I$ = Relative marginal cost
 - ▶ Policymaker sets subsidy s for good E
 - ▶ $p = c - s$ = Relative price
- ▶ $v = v_E - v_I$ = Consumers' true relative utility from E , $\sim F(v)$
- ▶ b = Bias, $\sim G(b|v)$
- ▶ $\hat{v} = v - b$ = Consumers' perceived utility, $\sim H(\hat{v})$
- ▶ $D_B(p) = 1 - H(p)$ = Market demand curve
- ▶ $B(p) = E_G(b|v - b = p)$ = Average marginal bias at price p

Example table with limited height

	(1)	(2)
	Wisconsin Programs	National Programs
<i>Electricity (\$/kWh)</i>		
Retail price	0.138	0.139
Wholesale price	0.034	0.049
Climate externality	0.024	0.023
SO ₂ /NO _x /PM externality	0.069	0.048
Retail price-social cost	0.011	0.019
<i>Natural gas (\$/therm)</i>		
Retail price	0.818	1.10
Citygate price	0.544	0.53
Climate externality	0.35	0.35
SO ₂ /NO _x /PM externality	0.10	0.09
Retail price-social cost	-0.18	0.13
<i>Heating oil (\$/gallon)</i>		
Price	3.50	3.82
Climate externality	0.48	0.48
SO ₂ /NO _x /PM externality	1.19	1.14
Retail price-social cost	-1.67	-1.62

Example figure

