## Logic

```
For any P, Q: (P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow P)
                                                                                                                                                                                          (always True)
   An arg is valid iff:
 If premises are True, then conclusion is True
So a counter-example is when premises are True but conclusion is False
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Ideompotence} \\ \mathsf{P} \equiv (\mathsf{P} \ \mathsf{V} \ \mathsf{P}) \\ \mathsf{P} \equiv (\mathsf{P} \ \mathsf{\Lambda} \ \mathsf{P}) \end{array}
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Commutativity} \\ (\texttt{P} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{Q}) \ \equiv \ (\texttt{Q} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{P}) \\ (\texttt{P} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{Q}) \ \equiv \ (\texttt{Q} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{P}) \end{array}
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{DeMorgan} \\ \neg \left( \begin{smallmatrix} P & V & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \equiv \left( \neg P \right) & \Lambda \left( \neg Q \right) \\ \neg \left( \begin{smallmatrix} P & \Lambda & Q \end{smallmatrix} \right) & \equiv \left( \neg P \right) & V \left( \neg Q \right) \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Distributivity} \\ P \ \Lambda \ (Q \ V \ R) \ \equiv \ (P \ \Lambda \ Q) \ V \ (P \ \Lambda \ R) \\ P \ V \ (Q \ \Lambda \ R) \ \equiv \ (P \ V \ Q) \ \Lambda \ (P \ V \ R) \end{array}
   With True / False
 (P v True) = True

(P ∧ False) = False

(P v False) = P

(P ∧ True) = P

(P v ¬P) = True

(P ∧ ¬P) = False
 Double Negation P \equiv \neg(\neg P)
   Implication
  The properties of the propert
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Contrapos.
   Equivalence
   \begin{array}{l} P \leftrightarrow Q) \equiv (P \rightarrow Q) \  \  \, \Lambda \  \, (Q \rightarrow P) \\ (P \leftrightarrow Q) \equiv (P \  \, \Lambda \  \, Q) \  \, V \  \, [(\neg P) \  \, \Lambda \  \, (\neg Q)] \\ \neg (P \leftrightarrow Q) \equiv (P \  \, \Lambda \  \, \neg Q) \  \, V \  \, (\neg P \  \, \Lambda \  \, Q) \\ \end{array} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    DM
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Exportation} \\ (P \ \land \ Q) \ \rightarrow R \ \equiv P \ \rightarrow (Q \ \rightarrow R) \end{array}
 Absurdity (P \rightarrow Q) \land (P \rightarrow \neg Q) \equiv \neg P
 Modal Logic
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall x\phi \equiv \neg \left[\exists x\neg\phi\right] \\ \exists x\phi \equiv \neg \left[\forall x\neg\phi\right] \\ \hline {\textbf{DeMorgan}} \\ \neg \forall x\phi \equiv \exists x\neg\phi \\ \forall x\neg\phi \equiv \neg \exists x\phi \\ \hline {\textbf{If x is the set of \{a,b,c\}, then}} \\ \forall xP(x) \equiv P(a) \ \land P(b) \ \land P(c) \\ \exists xP(x) \equiv P(a) \ \lor P(b) \ \lor P(c) \\ \hline {\textbf{Applying DeMorgan:}} \\ P(a) \ \land P(b) \ \land P(c) \equiv \neg (\neg P(a) \ \lor \neg P(b) \ \lor \neg P(c)) \\ P(a) \ \lor P(b) \ \lor P(c) \equiv \neg (\neg P(a) \ \land \neg P(b) \ \land \neg P(c)) \\ \hline \end{array}
```