

Answers exam Integration

Theory 21 March 2019

1 a) Countable additivity means that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k) \quad \text{as long as the } A_k \text{'s}$$

are disjoint (and measurable). Since $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

we get $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ by

setting $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = B$ and $A_k = \emptyset$ for $k \geq 3$.

b We have $A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus A)$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(B \setminus A)$$

Also $B = (B \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B)$ and $A = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B)$

so $\mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(A) - \mu(A \cap B)$ and

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B).$$

This gives

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B).$$

2a) It's OK to use Riemann-integral formulas for continuous functions on bounded intervals. Thus $\int_0^A e^{-tx} d\lambda(x) =$

$$\int_0^A e^{-tx} dx = \left[\frac{e^{-tx}}{-t} \right]_0^A = \frac{1 - e^{-tA}}{t}$$

By monotone convergence then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} d\lambda &= \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^A e^{-tx} \chi_{[0,A]}(x) d\lambda(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - e^{-tA}}{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

b We are interested in $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_0^\infty e^{-(t_0+h)x} - e^{-t_0x} dx}{h} = *$

where $t_0 > 0$. We can thus assume $h > \frac{t_0}{2}$.

The derivative of $t \rightarrow e^{-tx}$ is $-xe^{-tx}$ and $| -xe^{-tx} | < |x| e^{-\frac{t_0x}{2}}$ in the interval $t > \frac{t_0}{2}$.

Hence $\left| \frac{e^{-(t_0+h)x} - e^{-t_0x}}{h} \right| \leq \frac{|x| e^{-\frac{t_0x}{2}} \cdot |h|}{|h|} = |x| e^{-\frac{t_0x}{2}}$

and the latter function is L^1 . By DCT we get

$$* = \int_0^\infty \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \dots dx = \int_0^\infty -xe^{-t_0x} dx. \text{ Also } \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t} = -\frac{1}{t^2}.$$

2c This follows by induction. Assume that

$$(1) \int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-tx} dx = \frac{n!}{t^{n+1}}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{\infty} x^n e^{-tx} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} x^n e^{-tx} dx = - \int_0^{\infty} x^{n+1} e^{-tx} dx$$

whereas $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{n!}{t^{n+1}} = -\frac{(n+1)!}{t^{n+2}}$. Thus (1) holds by induction. The desired identity follows by setting $t=1$.

3a) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ then by continuity

we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(f_n(x)) = \phi(f(x))$. Since the

first identity holds a.e. (by assumption), so

does the second.

b) Given $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\lambda\left(\left\{x: \left|x + \frac{1}{n}\right| - x > \epsilon\right\}\right) = 0$$

as soon as $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\dots) = 0$

3c) We have $\phi \circ f_n = e^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot e^x$ & $\phi \circ f = e^x$.

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^x = \infty$ & $e^{\frac{1}{n}} > 1$, we have

$|e^{\frac{1}{n}} e^x - e^x| > \varepsilon$ for all sufficiently large x . In other words

$$\lambda(\{x: |e^{\frac{1}{n}} e^x - e^x| > \varepsilon\}) = \infty$$

so the limit is also ∞ .

3d Given $\varepsilon > 0$ pick δ s.t. $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|x - y| < \delta$. Then

$$\{x: |\phi(f(x)) - \phi(f_n(x))| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq$$

$$\{x: |f(x) - f_n(x)| \geq \delta\}$$

so

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x: |\phi(f(x)) - \phi(f_n(x))| \geq \varepsilon\}) \leq$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x: |f(x) - f_n(x)| \geq \delta\}) = 0$$

Thus $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dots = 0$ so therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dots$ exists

and equals 0 as well.

4/a) If E is $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathcal{A}$ -measurable then

$E_k \in \mathcal{A}$ by a theorem. Conversely, if

$E_k \in \mathcal{A} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\{k\} \times E_k$ is a product set, hence in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathcal{A}$.

Since $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{k\} \times E_k$, we see that E is measurable.

b) If $E = \{(k, x) : f(k, x) < t\}$ then

$E_k = \{x : f_k(x) < t\}$. Now use a)

c) Since $\int g(k) d\sigma(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g(k)$, the first statement is just Tonelli's theorem and the second is Fubini's theorem.

5a Pick a number α st. $p\alpha < 1$ & $r\alpha > 1$

Then $\chi_{[1,\infty)}(x) \cdot \frac{1}{x^\alpha}$ is in L^r but not L^p .

Similarly $\chi_{(0,1)}(x) \frac{1}{x^\alpha}$ is in L^p but not L^r .

b) The conditions for checking that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm are immediately fulfilled, since $\|\cdot\|_p$ & $\|\cdot\|_r$ are norms.

To check completeness, let $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence. Then it is also Cauchy in L^p so has a limit g , and
— " — L^r — " — h .

By a theorem, (f_n) converges in measure to g (& h), so a subsequence converges a.e. to g . A subsequence of that subsequence must by the same token converge to h a.e. But then $g=h$ a.e., so belong to the same equivalence class. Hence $f_n \rightarrow g$ in $L^p \cap L^r$.

5c) Set $E = \{x : |f(x)| > 1\}$, $f \in L^p \cap L^r$

Then $\lambda(E) \cdot 1 \leq \int |f(x)|^p d\lambda < \infty$, and

$$\text{so } \int |f(x)|^q \chi_E(x) d\lambda \leq \| |f|^q \|_{r/q} \cdot \| \chi_E \|_{\frac{r/q}{r/q-1}}$$

since $\frac{1}{(r/q)} + \frac{1}{\frac{r/q}{r/q-1}} = 1$. Since $f \in L^r$ &

$\lambda(E) < \infty$, both numbers are finite.

For $x \in E^c$ we have $|f(x)|^q \leq |f(x)|^p$
since $q > p$, and therefore

$$\int |f(x)|^q \chi_{E^c}(x) d\lambda \leq \int |f(x)|^p d\lambda < \infty.$$

Thus $\int |f|^q (\chi_E + \chi_{E^c}) d\lambda < \infty$ Q.E.D.