

# Answers exam Integration

Theory 21 March 2019

1 a) Countable additivity means that

$$\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n) \quad \text{as long as the } A_n's$$

are disjoint (and measurable). Since  $\mu(\emptyset)=0$

$$\text{we get } \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) \text{ by}$$

setting  $A_1 = A$ ,  $A_2 = B$  and  $A_k = \emptyset$  for  $k \geq 3$ .

b) We have  $A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \setminus A)$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(A \cap B) + \mu(B \setminus A)$$

$$\text{Also } B = (B \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B) \text{ and } A = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap B)$$

$$\text{so } \mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(A) - \mu(A \cap B) \text{ and}$$

$$\mu(B \setminus A) = \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B).$$

This gives

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) - \mu(A \cap B).$$

2a) It's OK to use Riemann-integral formula(s)  
 for continuous functions on bounded intervals. Thus  $\int_0^A e^{-tx} d\lambda(x) =$   
 $\int_0^A e^{-tx} dx = \left[ \frac{e^{-tx}}{-t} \right]_0^A = \frac{1 - e^{-tA}}{t}$

By monotone convergence theorem we get

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} d\lambda = \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, A]} e^{-tx} x(x) d\lambda(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - e^{-tA}}{t} = \frac{1}{t}.$$

b) We are interested in  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{(t+h)}^{(t+h)x} -e^{-tx} dx}{h} = *$

where  $t > 0$ . We can thus assume  $h > \frac{t_0}{2}$ .

The derivative of  $t \rightarrow e^{-tx}$  is  $-xe^{-tx}$  and  
 $| -xe^{-tx} | \leq |x| e^{-\frac{tx}{2}}$  in the interval  $t > \frac{t_0}{2}$ .

Hence  $\left| \frac{e^{-(t+h)x} - e^{-tx}}{h} \right| \leq \frac{|x| e^{-\frac{tx}{2}} \cdot |h|}{|h|} = |x| e^{-\frac{tx}{2}}$

and the latter function is L'. By DCT we get

$$* = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty \dots dx = \int_0^\infty -xe^{-tx} dx. \text{ Also } \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t} = -\frac{1}{t^2}.$$

2c This follows by induction. Assume that

$$(1) \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-tx} dx = \frac{n!}{t^{n+1}}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-tx} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} x^n e^{-tx} dx = - \int_0^\infty x^{n+1} e^{-tx} dx$$

whereas  $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{n!}{t^{n+1}} = -\frac{(n+1)!}{t^{n+2}}$ . Thus (1) holds by induction. The desired identity follows by setting  $t=1$ .

3 a) If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  then by continuity

we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(f_n(x)) = \phi(f(x))$ . Since the

first identity holds a.e. (by assumption), so

does the second.

b) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lambda(\{x : |(x + \frac{1}{n}) - x| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

as soon as  $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\dots) = 0$

3c) We have  $\phi \circ f_n = e^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot e^x$  &  $\phi \circ f = e^x$ .

Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^x = \infty$  &  $e^k > 1$ , we have

$|e^k e^x - e^x| > \varepsilon$  for all sufficiently large  $x$ . In other words

$$\lambda(\{x : |e^k e^x - e^x| > \varepsilon\}) = \infty$$

so the limit is also  $\infty$ .

3d Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  pick  $S$  s.t.  $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \varepsilon$

whenever  $|x-y| < S$ . Then

$$\{x : |\phi(f(x)) - \phi(f_n(x))| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq$$

$$\{x : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \geq S\}$$

so

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x : |\phi(f(x)) - \phi(f_n(x))| \geq \varepsilon\}) \leq$$

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \geq S\}) = 0$$

Thus  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dots = 0$  so therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dots$  exists

and equals 0 as well.

2/a) If  $E$  is  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathcal{A}$ -measurable then

$E_k \in \mathcal{A}$  by a theorem. Conversely, if

$E_k \in \mathcal{A} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\{k\} \times E_k$  is a product set, hence in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \times \mathcal{A}$ .

Since  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{k\} \times E_k$ , we see that  $E$  is measurable.

b) If  $E = \{(k, x) : f(k, x) < t\}$  then

$E_k = \{x : f_k(x) < t\}$ . Now use a)

c) Since  $\int g(k) d\sigma(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g(k)$ , the first statement is just Tonelli's theorem and the second is Fubini's theorem.

5a Pick a number  $\alpha$  st.  $p\alpha < 1$  &  $r\alpha > 1$

Then  $x_{[1,\infty)}(x) \cdot \frac{1}{x^\alpha}$  is in  $L^r$  but not  $L^p$ .

Similarly  $x_{(0,1)}(x) \frac{1}{x^\alpha}$  is in  $L^p$  but not  $L^r$ .

b) The conditions for checking that  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is a norm are immediately fulfilled, since  $\|\cdot\|_p$  &  $\|\cdot\|_r$  are norms.

To check completeness, let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a

Cauchy sequence. Then it is also Cauchy in  $L^p$  so has a limit  $g$ , and

$\|\cdot\|_1 - L^r - \|\cdot\|_p - h$ .

By a theorem,  $(f_n)$  converges in measure to  $g$  (&  $h$ ), so a subsequence converges a.e. to  $g$ . A subsequence of that subsequence must by the same token converge to  $h$  a.e. But then  $g = h$  a.e., so belong to the same equivalence class. Hence  $f_n \rightarrow g$  in  $L^p \cap L^r$ .

5c) Set  $E = \{x : |f(x)| > 1\}$ ,  $f \in L^p \cap L^r$

Then  $\lambda(E) \cdot 1 \leq \int |f(x)|^p d\lambda < \infty$ , and

so  $\int |f(x)|^q \chi_E(x) d\lambda \leq \| |f|^q \|_{r/q} \cdot \|\chi_E\|_{\frac{r/q}{r/q-1}}$

Since  $\frac{1}{(r/q)} + \frac{1}{\frac{r/q}{r/q-1}} = 1$ . Since  $f \in L^r$  &

$\lambda(E) < \infty$ , both numbers are finite.

For  $x \in E^c$  we have  $|f(x)|^q \leq |f(x)|^p$   
since  $q > p$ , and therefore

$$\int |f(x)|^q \chi_{E^c}(x) d\lambda \leq \int |f(x)|^p d\lambda < \infty.$$

Thus  $\int |f|^q (\chi_E + \chi_{E^c}) d\lambda < \infty \quad Q.E.D.$