Abstract Algebra - Homework 1

Simon Gustafsson

Problem 1

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_7 = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$. We define $GL_2(k)$ as the group of all invertible 2×2 matrices with entries in the field k. In other words,

$$\operatorname{GL}_2(k) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(k) \mid ad - bc \neq 0 \right\}.$$

(1) Let $A, B \in GL_2(k)$. Then the product AB is defined as:

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} ae + bg & af + bh \\ ce + dg & cf + dh \end{pmatrix},$$

where all entries involve only addition and multiplication of elements in k. Since k is a field, it is closed under addition and multiplication, so all entries of AB lie in k. Furthermore, $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) \neq 0$, so $AB \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$. Thus, $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is closed under matrix multiplication.

Since matrix multiplication is associative, the operation on $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ is associative.

The identity element in $GL_2(k)$ is the identity matrix

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $A \in GL_2(k)$, we have AI = IA = A, and since the entries 1 and 0 are in k, we conclude $I \in GL_2(k)$.

Since every element of $GL_2(k)$ is invertible by definition, the inverse of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k)$$

is given by:

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $a, b, c, d \in k$, and $\det(A) = ad - bc \neq 0$, we have $\frac{1}{\det(A)} \in k$, because k is a field. As k is closed under addition, subtraction, and multiplication, all entries of A^{-1} lie in k. Hence, $A^{-1} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(k)$.

Therefore, $GL_2(k)$ satisfies the group axioms under matrix multiplication and is a group.

Let
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k)$$
. Suppose $A \in Z(GL_2(k))$. Then for all $B = \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k)$, we must have

$$AB = BA$$
.

Where,

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} ae + bg & af + bh \\ ce + dg & cf + dh \end{pmatrix}, \quad BA = \begin{pmatrix} ea + fc & eb + fd \\ ga + hc & gb + hd \end{pmatrix}.$$

For these to be equal $\forall e, f, g, h \in k$, the corresponding entries must be;

$$ae + bg = ea + fc \implies bg = fc \implies b = c = 0,$$

 $af + bh = fd \implies af = fd \implies a = d.$

Hence, A must be of the form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda I,$$

where $\lambda \in k^{\times} = k \setminus \{0\}$ as a consequence of the fact that A is invertible. Thus,

$$Z(GL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)) = \{ \lambda I \mid \lambda \in k^{\times} \}.$$

(2) We define the projective line over k as $\mathbb{P}^1(k) = k \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$. Then the action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(k)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$ is given by the

formula:

$$A \cdot z = \begin{cases} \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, & \text{if } z \in k \text{ and } cz+d \neq 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } z \in k \text{ and } cz+d = 0, \\ \frac{a}{c}, & \text{if } z = \infty \text{ and } c \neq 0, \\ \infty, & \text{if } z = \infty \text{ and } c = 0. \end{cases}$$

We verify that this defines a group action.

Let
$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$,

$$I \cdot z = \frac{1 \cdot z + 0}{0 \cdot z + 1} = \frac{z}{1} = z$$
, and $I \cdot \infty = \frac{1}{0} := \infty$.

So the identity acts as the identity function.

Let
$$A=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $B=\begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix}$ be in $\mathrm{GL}_2(k),$ and let $z\in\mathbb{P}^1(k).$ Then:

$$B \cdot z = \frac{ez + f}{gz + h}$$
, and $A \cdot (B \cdot z) = \frac{a \cdot \left(\frac{ez + f}{gz + h}\right) + b}{c \cdot \left(\frac{ez + f}{gz + h}\right) + d}$.

Simplifying this gives:

$$A \cdot (B \cdot z) = \frac{(aez + af + bgz + bh)}{(cez + cf + dgz + dh)} = \frac{(ae + bg)z + (af + bh)}{(ce + dg)z + (cf + dh)},$$

which is the action of the product matrix AB on z:

$$(AB) \cdot z$$
.

Thus, the compatibility condition holds.

Therefore, the formula defines a group action of $GL_2(k)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$.

(3) Let $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$ be arbitrary. We want to find a matrix $A \in GL_2(k)$ such that $A \cdot 0 = z$. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k).$$

Then the action on 0 is given by

$$A \cdot 0 = \frac{b}{d},$$

provided $d \neq 0$. For any $z \in k$, we can take b = z and d = 1, with $a \in k^{\times}$, $c \in k$ arbitrary, such that $\det(A) = ad - bc \neq 0$.

To obtain ∞ , we require d=0, in which case the formula becomes

$$A \cdot 0 = \frac{b}{d} = \infty,$$

provided $b \neq 0$. We can choose $a, c \in k$ arbitrarily so that $\det(A) = -bc \neq 0$, ensuring $A \in \operatorname{GL}_2(k)$.

Hence, for any $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$, there exists a matrix $A \in GL_2(k)$ such that $A \cdot 0 = z$. Therefore, the action is transitive.

We require $A \cdot 0 = 0$, so $\frac{b}{d} = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$. Therefore, the stabilizer of 0 is the set of all invertible lower triangular matrices:

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k) \mid a, d \in k^{\times}, c \in k \right\}.$$

(4) The kernel of the action can be defined as follows,

$$\ker = \left\{ A \in \operatorname{GL}_2(k) \mid A \cdot z = z \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{P}^1(k) \right\}.$$

Then, for all $z \in k$,

$$A \cdot z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} = z$$

$$\implies az+b = z(cz+d) = cz^2 + dz$$

$$\implies cz^2 + (d-a)z - b = 0$$

$$\implies c = 0, \quad d = a, \quad b = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = aI, \text{ with } a \in k^{\times}.$$

These are exactly the scalar matrices which form the center. Hence, the kernel of the action is the center of $GL_2(k)$.

(5) Let $B \subseteq GL_2(k)$ be the stabilizer of $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1(k)$. We claim that

$$\bigcap_{g \in GL_2(k)} gBg^{-1} = Z(GL_2(k)).$$

This follows from the general fact that, if a group G acts transitively on a set X, then the intersection of all conjugates of the stabilizer G_x is equal to the kernel of the associated homomorphism:

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} gG_x g^{-1} = \ker(G \to \operatorname{Sym}(X)).$$

In our case, $G = GL_2(k)$, $X = \mathbb{P}^1(k)$. We have already shown that the action is transitive, and that the kernel of the action is the center:

$$\ker = Z(\operatorname{GL}_2(k)).$$

Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{g \in GL_2(k)} gBg^{-1} = Z(GL_2(k)).$$

Problem 2

(1) Let X be the set of all k-element subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and let S_n act on X by

$$\sigma \cdot E := \{ \sigma(e) \mid e \in E \}.$$

Fix the subset $A = \{1, ..., k\} \in X$. The stabilizer of A consists of all permutations in S_n that fix A setwise. These are exactly the permutations that act as an element of S_k on the set $\{1, ..., k\}$, and as an element of S_{n-k} on its complement $\{k+1, ..., n\}$, independently. Thus,

$$\operatorname{Stab}_{S_n}(A) \cong S_k \times S_{n-k}.$$

(2) By the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem, the number of k-element subsets is

$$|X| = [S_n : \operatorname{Stab}_{S_n}(A)] = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \binom{n}{k}.$$

Problem 3

(1) To verify that ϕ defines a group action, we check the two axioms. For all $gH \in G/H$,

$$e \cdot qH = (eq)H = qH.$$

For all $h_1, h_2 \in H$ and $gH \in G/H$,

$$h_1 \cdot (h_2 \cdot gH) = h_1 \cdot (h_2 gH) = (h_1 h_2 g)H = ((h_1 h_2) \cdot gH).$$

Hence, the identity and compatibility axioms are satisfied. Since we are viewing G/H purely as a set of left cosets, this confirms that ϕ defines a group action of H on the set G/H.

(2) Suppose ϕ is trivial. That means for all $h \in H$ and $gH \in G/H$, we have:

$$\phi(h, gH) = gH \quad \Rightarrow \quad (hg)H = gH.$$

This implies:

$$hg \in gH \implies g^{-1}hg \in H \text{ for all } h \in H, g \in G.$$

Therefore, H is closed under conjugation by elements of G, i.e., $gHg^{-1} \subseteq H$. Since $g \in G$ was arbitrary, it follows that H is a normal subgroup of G.

(3) Assume [G:H]=p, where p is the smallest prime dividing |G|.

Suppose that the action is transitive. Then the orbit of any element $gH \in G/H$ under the action of H is the entire set G/H, which has p elements.

But the size of any orbit under a group action divides the order of the acting group and in this case, |H| = |G|/p.

Therefore, the size of the orbit must divide |H| = |G|/p, but it is equal to p. Since p is the smallest prime dividing |G|, it does not divide |G|/p, and thus does not divide |H|.

This is a contradiction. Hence, the action cannot be transitive.

(4) From the theory of group actions, we have the orbit decomposition:

$$|G/H| = \sum_{i=1}^{r} |\mathcal{O}_i|,$$

where \mathcal{O}_i are the distinct orbits of the action of H on the set G/H.

Since |G/H| = p, a prime number, the possible orbit decompositions are very limited. One possibility is that there is a single orbit of size p, but this would imply that the action is transitive, which we ruled out in the previous section. Another possibility is that there is one orbit of size 1 and one of size p-1, but the size of each orbit must divide |H| = |G|/p. Since p is the smallest prime dividing |G|, it does not divide |G|/p, and therefore cannot divide any orbit size greater than 1.

The only remaining possibility is that all orbits have size 1. That means:

$$(hg)H = gH \quad \forall h \in H, gH \in G/H,$$

which implies $hg \in gH \Rightarrow g^{-1}hg \in H$, i.e., H is invariant under conjugation by all $g \in G$. Therefore, $H \subseteq G$.

Problem 4

(1) By definition, V_4 contains the identity element. To show that V_4 is normal in S_4 , we verify that it is invariant under conjugation. For any $\sigma \in S_4$ and $v \in V_4$, we have $\sigma v \sigma^{-1}$ is again a product of two disjoint transpositions. Since there are exactly three such elements in S_4 , and they form a conjugacy class, it follows that conjugation by any $\sigma \in S_4$ sends elements of V_4 to other elements in V_4 .

Hence, V_4 is closed under conjugation, and we conclude that $V_4 \leq S_4$.

(2) Consider the subgroup $H = \langle (12), (123) \rangle \subset S_4$. This subgroup permutes the elements $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and fixes 4, so it is isomorphic to S_3 . We identify S_3 with this subgroup H.

We define the map

$$f: S_3 \to S_4/V_4, \quad f(\sigma) = \sigma V_4.$$

First, it is well-defined: each $\sigma \in S_3$ is interpreted as an element of $H \subset S_4$, and the left coset σV_4 is a valid element of the quotient S_4/V_4 . The map is injective because $H \cap V_4 = \{\text{Id}\}$, so no two distinct elements of H lie in the same coset. It is surjective since H has 6 elements and $|S_4/V_4| = 6$, meaning the image of f exhausts all cosets. Finally, f is a homomorphism: for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_3$, we have

$$f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 V_4 = \sigma_1 V_4 \cdot \sigma_2 V_4 = f(\sigma_1) f(\sigma_2).$$

Thus, f is a bijective homomorphism and therefore an isomorphism.

(3) From group theory, the normal subgroups of S_4 are:

$$\{\mathrm{Id}\}, V_4, A_4, S_4.$$

Here A_4 denotes the alternating group on four letters, the set of all even permutations, so it is normal in S_4 . Moreover, because each element of V_4 is a product of two transpositions (an even permutation), we have $V_4 \subset A_4$. The subgroups that contain V_4 are V_4, A_4, S_4 . These are all normal in S_4 , and there are no other normal subgroups strictly between V_4 and S_4 . Hence, the normal subgroups of S_4 containing V_4 are V_4, A_4, S_4 .

Problem 5

(1) Since 5 < 7 and $5 \nmid (7-1) = 6$, the pq-order theorem implies that every group of order pq with those divisibility conditions is cyclic. Hence

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{35}$$
.

For a cyclic domain, a homomorphism is completely determined by the image of a generator $q \in G$. Suppose

$$\varphi: G \longrightarrow S_3, \qquad \varphi(g) = x.$$

Then $\operatorname{ord}(x)$ must divide $\operatorname{ord}(g) = 35$.

The possible element orders in S_3 are 1, 2, 3, and among these only 1 divides 35. Therefore x must be the identity permutation; consequently φ sends every element of G to the identity in S_3 . This is the trivial homomorphism, and no other homomorphism can exist.

(2) An action of G on the set $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$ is equivalent to a group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(E) = S_3.$$

In the previous section we proved that, for a group G of order 35, the only homomorphism $G \to S_3$ is the trivial one.

Hence there is exactly 1 action of G on E, namely the trivial action $g \cdot x = x$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in E$.

(3) Because |E| = 3, the possible orbit decompositions are 3, 2 + 1, or 1 + 1 + 1. A single orbit of size 3 contradicts non-transitivity, while three singleton orbits give the trivial action. Hence E must split into one orbit of size 2 and one of size 1.

Let y lie in the two-element orbit and x in the singleton orbit. By the Orbit-Stabiliser Theorem,

$$|G| = |G \cdot y| |G_y| = 2 |G_y|, \qquad |G| = |G \cdot x| |G_x| = 1 \cdot |G_x|.$$

Thus

$$|G_x| = |G|, \qquad |G_y| = \frac{|G|}{2}.$$

Because |G| is even, $|G_y|$ is an integer, and the stabiliser of y has index 2 in G. The action is genuinely non-trivial, because the two-element orbit is not fixed point-wise. There exists some $g \in G$ with $g \cdot y \neq y$.

Therefore the only non-trivial, non-transitive action has two orbits of sizes 2 and 1. The singleton orbit is fixed point-wise, while the stabiliser of each point in the two-element orbit has index 2 in G.

(4) Suppose G has odd order and acts on $E = \{1, 2, 3\}$. If the action were non-transitive and non-trivial, previous section implies that E would split into one orbit of size 2 and one of size 1. Choose y in the two-element orbit. By the Orbit-Stabiliser Theorem,

$$|G| = |G \cdot y| |G_y| = 2 |G_y|.$$

Hence $|G_y| = |G|/2$, but |G| is odd, so $|G|/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ which is a contradiction.

Consequently an odd-order group cannot have a non-transitive, non-trivial action on a three-element set.