

# The Converse Madelung Question

Schrödinger Equation from Minimal Axioms

J. R. Dunkley

31st October 2025

## Abstract

We define and address the converse Madelung question: not whether Fisher information can reproduce quantum mechanics, but whether it is necessary. We adopt minimal, physically motivated axioms on hydrodynamic variables: locality, probability conservation, Euclidean invariance with global U(1) phase symmetry, reversibility, and convex regularity. Within the ensuing class of first-order local Hamiltonian field theories, the Poisson bracket is uniquely fixed to the canonical bracket on  $(\rho, S)$  under the Dubrovin-Novikov hypotheses for local first-order hydrodynamic brackets with probability conservation. Under a pointwise, gauge-covariant complexifier  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$ , among convex, rotationally invariant, first-derivative local functionals of  $\rho$ , whose Euler-Lagrange contribution yields a reversible completion that becomes exactly projectively linear is the Fisher functional. With  $\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha$  the dynamics reduce to the linear Schrödinger equation. In many-body systems, exact projective linearity with a single local complex structure across all sectors forces  $\alpha_i = \hbar^2/(2m_i)$  componentwise, thereby fixing a single Planck constant. Galilean covariance appears via the Bargmann central extension in this framework, with the usual superselection implications. Comparison with the Doeblner-Goldin family identifies the reversible corner at zero diffusion as the linear Schrödinger case in our variables. We supply operational falsifiers via residual diagnostics for the continuity and Hamilton-Jacobi equations and report numerical minima at the Fisher scale that are invariant under Galilean boosts. These results are consistent with viewing quantum mechanics, in this setting, as a reversible fixed point of Fisher-regularised information hydrodynamics. A code archive accompanies the work for direct numerical verification and reproducibility, including a superposition stress-test showing that, in our tested families and to numerical precision under grid refinement, the Fisher regulariser preserves exact projective linearity within our axioms.

## Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	3
2	Minimal Axioms . . . . .	4
3	Bracket Classification . . . . .	9
4	Hamiltonian and Fisher Curvature . . . . .	12
5	Hamilton-Jacobi System . . . . .	14
6	Emergence of the Schrödinger Equation . . . . .	14
7	Symmetry and Group-Theoretic Consistency . . . . .	15
8	Uniqueness of the Complexification . . . . .	18
9	Function-Space and Domain Considerations . . . . .	19
10	Topology and Vorticity . . . . .	20
11	Many-Body and Spin Extensions . . . . .	21
12	Information-Geometric and Dimensional Necessity . . . . .	23
13	Discussion and Implications . . . . .	27
	Appendices . . . . .	30

## 1 Introduction

---

Quantum mechanics is typically postulated through the linear Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(x, t) \right] \psi, \quad (1.1)$$

Madelung showed in 1927 that writing  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  decomposes Eq. (1.1) into a continuity equation and a Hamilton-Jacobi equation regularised by a quantum potential proportional to Fisher information [1]. Bohm and Hall-Reginatto later showed that adding a Fisher-information term to a classical ensemble reproduces quantum dynamics [2, 3]. These results, however, establish only sufficiency: Fisher regularisation can yield the Schrödinger form, but this does not show that it is necessary.

We ask whether Fisher curvature is not only sufficient but necessary within a strictly local, first order, reversible Hamiltonian class on  $(\rho, S)$  endowed with Euclidean invariance, global  $U(1)$  phase symmetry, and convex regularity in  $\rho$  alone. Formally: classify all admissible first derivative convex regularisers  $f(\rho, \nabla\rho)$  and compatible Poisson brackets on  $(\rho, S)$  for which there exists a pointwise, derivative-free, gauge-covariant complexifier that renders the time evolution exactly projectively linear on rays. The claim established here is a uniqueness within this admissible class, not a statement about higher-derivative, weakly nonlocal, mixed  $(\rho, S)$ , or open-system extensions.

Our scope is strictly local and first order in spatial derivatives on  $(\rho, S)$ ; nonlocal terms, higher-derivative regularisers, and open-system couplings lie outside the present analysis. Reversibility together with parity excludes any explicit  $S$ -dependence in the convex regulariser and rules out dissipative couplings between  $\rho$  and  $S$ . As an operational falsifier, after the complexifier  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  we require exact preservation of  $\psi \mapsto a\psi_1 + b\psi_2$  under time stepping and we quantify any deviation by a residual norm; this test complements, but does not replace, the analytic uniqueness proof. Within this setting we show that the Dubrovin-Novikov bracket classification reduces the hydrodynamic bracket to the canonical form on  $(\rho, S)$ , and that the only admissible first-derivative convex regulariser of  $\rho$  that yields a reversible completion compatible with exact projective linearity is the Fisher functional. For contrast with the Doeblner-Goldin diffusion families [4, 5], note that the diffusive sector lies outside our reversible Hamiltonian cone; in the zero-diffusion corner one recovers the linear Schrödinger equation in our variables, while nonlinear gauge-equivalent representatives fail the exact projective linearity stress-test except in the Fisher case.

This gap between sufficiency and necessity motivates the central question of this work. Does an alternative, non-Fisher regulariser exist that also satisfies reversibility, locality, and exact projective linearity within the stated class? We refer to this investigation into necessity as the converse Madelung question.

To address the question, we classify the space of admissible theories. We impose a minimal, physically motivated set of axioms for reversible, first-order field theories on  $(\rho, S)$ , treating these as hydrodynamic variables on configuration space (without invoking sub-quantum particle trajectories or hidden variables [6]).

The work is fully non-perturbative and independent of WKB or semiclassical limits. It is consonant with recent analyses linking the Bohm potential and Fisher information

that yield strengthened uncertainty relations beyond Robertson-Schrödinger under stated conditions, and it offers a falsifiable bridge between information curvature and quantum kinematics [7].

## 2 Minimal Axioms

---

We work within our axioms throughout, on flat Euclidean domains with first-order locality and reversible dynamics. First, a bracket classification on  $(\rho, S)$  fixes the kinematics to the canonical form. Second, convex rotationally invariant curvature reduces to a single Fisher functional whose Euler-Lagrange variation yields the Laplacian quotient [3, 8, 9]. Third, a local, gauge-covariant complexifier linearises the dynamics and fixes the scale [1, 10]. Two portable diagnostics concentrate the claims: an  $\alpha$ -scan with a sharp minimum at  $\alpha_\star = \hbar^2/(2m)$ , and a superposition residual that drops to numerical floor only in the Fisher case.

Locality means no derivatives beyond first order in  $\rho$  or  $S$ . Reversibility means entropy production is zero (contrast the diffusive current-algebra families in [4, 5]). These axioms place us squarely within the class of local, reversible *Hamiltonian* field theories on  $(\rho, S)$  and thereby exclude formalisms whose dynamics arise from time-symmetric diffusion kinematics rather than a Hamiltonian bracket [11], as well as entropic-updating frameworks that derive motion from inference principles on information manifolds without a prior Hamiltonian structure [12]. Our results should thus be read as a uniqueness and classification statement within the Hamiltonian class, not a claim about all possible routes to quantum dynamics.

Euclidean invariance means no preferred direction appears in generators. Global  $U(1)$  means  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$  leaves observables unchanged. Convex regularity means curvature controls gradients and is positive.

We consider fields  $\rho(x, t) \geq 0$  (density) and  $S(x, t)$  (velocity potential) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or a periodic domain  $\Omega$ . Dynamics are generated by a Poisson bracket  $\{F, G\}$  acting on functionals  $F[\rho, S]$ , with  $\dot{F} = \{F, \mathcal{H}\}$ .

Our axioms are chosen to encode physical invariance and mathematical closure. We argue that each plays a load bearing role for our conclusions.

We restrict attention to first-order local hydrodynamic Poisson structures of Dubrovin-Novikov (DN) type [13, 14] acting on scalar doublets  $u = (\rho, S)$ . This ensures the reversible Poisson operator is the flat representative, ruling out derivative-dependent coefficients and zeroth-order cores under the stated symmetries. The Poisson-operator coefficients depend only on the local fields and not on their derivatives; by Euclidean invariance together with Jacobi they are constant, ensuring both reversibility and well-posedness. Throughout we take  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$  (periodic) or  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with standard decay so that  $\rho, |\nabla S| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

All identities are understood on the positivity set  $\{\rho > 0\}$  and in the weak sense. We assume  $\rho \geq 0, \rho \in L^1(\Omega)$  with  $\int \rho dx = 1$ , and  $\sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\Omega)$  so that  $F[\rho] < \infty$  and  $Q_\kappa \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  even in the presence of nodal sets. Boundary terms vanish for the admissible classes detailed in Appendix A: periodic  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ , or  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with either Dirichlet data  $S|_{\partial\Omega} = \text{const}$ , or Neumann data with vanishing normal derivatives for the fluxes.

**Canonical bracket on  $(\rho, S)$ .** We adopt the local canonical Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \frac{\delta G}{\delta S} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta S} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right) dx, \quad \text{so that} \quad \{\rho(x), S(y)\} = \delta(x - y),$$

with  $\{\rho, \rho\} = 0 = \{S, S\}$ . All time evolutions are  $\dot{F} = \{F, \mathcal{H}\}$  for a real Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}[\rho, S]$ .

Then  $\sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\Omega)$  and the Fisher potential

$$Q_\alpha = -\alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$$

belongs to  $H^{-1}$  even at nodal sets [3, 9]. Boundary terms vanish under the conditions listed in Appendix A; admissible classes are periodic, Dirichlet with  $S$  constant on  $\partial\Omega$ , or Neumann with vanishing normal derivatives.

A summary of explicit counterexamples illustrating the independence of each axiom is given in Appendix B.

Multivalued  $S$  and quantised circulation arise from global topology but are handled at the  $\psi$  level without altering the local canonical structure or the axioms.

Throughout the axioms global phase symmetry  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$  (equivalently  $\psi \mapsto e^{i\theta}\psi$ ) is assumed. Electromagnetic gauge is treated only in the minimal-coupling subsection and not assumed elsewhere.

**Dubrovin-Novikov locality.** By DN type we mean first-order local hydrodynamic operators acting on the scalar doublet  $u = (\rho, S)$ , whose coefficients depend on the fields but not their derivatives. Translation and rotation invariance, the presence of the conserved phase generator  $C = \int \rho dx$ , and Jacobi restrict the admissible class to a flat, constant-coefficient representative that is Poisson-isomorphic to the canonical bracket written above. We therefore work, without loss within our axioms, with the canonical form.

All continuity statements refer to probability:  $j = \rho \nabla S/m$  is the probability current and  $\int \rho dx = 1$  is preserved. We adopt probability language throughout to describe the field  $\rho$  and its flow. The parameter  $m$ , however, represents the inertial mass of the system. It functions as the kinetic coefficient in the Hamiltonian and is ultimately identified as the central charge of the Bargmann (Galilean) algebra.

### Axiom I: Locality

---

We restrict to strictly first-order *local* (Dubrovin-Novikov) brackets and Hamiltonians; weakly nonlocal, fractional, or higher-order terms are excluded by assumption.

The Poisson bracket is of first order and local in the Dubrovin-Novikov sense:

$$\{F, G\} = \int \frac{\delta F}{\delta u_i} A^{ij}(u) \partial_x \left( \frac{\delta G}{\delta u_j} \right) dx,$$

where the operator coefficients  $A^{ij}(u)$  depend only on  $u$ . Higher-order or weakly

nonlocal forms are excluded, as they introduce irreversible or ill-posed evolution once Axioms II-VI are enforced.

The restriction to first-order Dubrovin-Novikov brackets ensures that the flow of  $\rho$  is local and divergence-free. Higher-order Hamiltonian operators, such as third-order form in the KdV hierarchy, either introduce additional dimensional parameters or violate probability conservation by producing higher-derivative fluxes that are not expressible as  $\nabla \cdot j$ . The first-order case is therefore the minimal setting in which locality and the Jacobi identity can coexist for a probability field.

Appendix C shows that, once Euclidean covariance and reversibility are imposed, attempts to include derivative dependence beyond first order in a local scalar operator on  $(\rho, S)$  either violate the Jacobi identity or introduce extra Casimirs. Within these constraints, the Dubrovin-Novikov first-order class appears to be the appropriate maximal setting for our constraints.

### Axiom II: Phase Generator and Probability Normalisation

---

There exists a conserved charge  $C = \int \rho dx$  that generates constant shifts of  $S$ :

$$\{S(x), C\} = -1, \quad \{\rho(x), C\} = 0.$$

This encodes global phase invariance at the hydrodynamic level and fixes how  $\rho$  and  $S$  pair within the bracket. It implies probability conservation dynamically for any admissible Hamiltonian satisfying the remaining axioms.

We assert only conservation of  $\int \rho dx$  and that  $C$  generates global  $S$ -shifts; no specific flux form is assumed at this stage.

### Axiom III: Global U(1) Phase Symmetry

---

The dynamics are invariant under  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$ , a direct consequence of Axiom II and the canonical bracket, implying in particular that only  $\nabla S$  can enter  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 2.1 (Kinetic form).** *Let the kinetic density be the most general local, rotationally invariant form compatible with Axioms I-IV,  $h_{\text{kin}} = a(\rho) |\nabla S|^2$ , and let the bracket be canonical. Then, with  $H = \int h_{\text{kin}} dx$ ,*

$$\partial_t \rho = \{\rho, H\} = \frac{\delta H}{\delta S} = -\nabla \cdot (2a(\rho) \nabla S).$$

*Axiom II requires  $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S/m)$  for arbitrary states, hence  $2a(\rho) = \rho/m$  and*

$$h_{\text{kin}} = \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m}.$$

With the canonical bracket and rotational symmetry this is the admissible kinetic density.

Any additional local term linear in  $\nabla S$  violates global U(1) (Axiom III) or reduces to a boundary divergence under the classes in Appendix A.

#### Axiom IV: Euclidean Covariance (Parity Clarification)

---

The bracket and Hamiltonian density are invariant under translations and rotations in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , including spatial parity. Parity-odd scalars built from  $\rho$  and  $S$  vanish or reduce to total divergences under these conditions (see Appendix A).

*Example.* In  $d = 2$ ,  $\varepsilon_{ij}\partial_i A_j = \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon A)$  is a divergence; in  $d = 3$ ,  $\varepsilon_{ijk}\partial_i A_j B_k = \nabla \cdot (A \times B)$  for scalar-built  $A, B$ , so no parity-odd scalar survives.

#### Axiom V: Reversibility (Time Symmetry)

---

Reversibility means Hamiltonian flow with an antisymmetric bracket and a real Hamiltonian, (see Appendix O). The evolution is generated by an antisymmetric bilinear bracket satisfying Jacobi:

$$\{F, \{G, H\}\} + \text{cyclic} = 0.$$

The equations derived from the canonical bracket and Hamiltonian are invariant under time reversal  $(t, S) \mapsto (-t, -S)$ , so reversibility here coincides with physical time symmetry. Unifying two coincident properties of the canonical theory: (i) algebraic antisymmetry of the bracket with a real Hamiltonian, and (ii) physical invariance under  $(t, S) \rightarrow (-t, -S)$ . For such Hamiltonian flows these coincide, since the generator is anti-Hermitian and norm-preserving. Diffusive or dissipative additions would break both forms simultaneously, introducing entropy production and thereby leaving the reversible class.

Algebraic reversibility refers to Hamiltonian flow generated by an antisymmetric bracket with a real  $\mathcal{H}$ ; physical time-reversal invariance here is the symmetry  $(t, S) \mapsto (-t, -S)$  of the equations. For the canonical bracket and  $\mathcal{H}[\rho, |\nabla S|^2, \rho\text{-only terms}]$  these coincide. Any diffusive addition, e.g.  $\varepsilon \Delta \rho$  in the continuity equation with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , breaks both and yields non-negative Shannon entropy production  $dS_{\text{Sh}}/dt = \varepsilon \int |\nabla \rho|^2 / \rho \, dx \geq 0$ .

#### Axiom VI: Minimal Convex Regularity

---

We restrict the regulariser to local, first-derivative, rotationally invariant, convex functionals of  $\rho$  alone,

$$F[\rho] = \int_{\Omega} f(\rho) |\nabla \rho|^2 \, dx, \quad f(\rho) > 0,$$

which contribute to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation via the Euler-Lagrange potential

$$Q_f(\rho) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho}.$$

Within this class, Proposition 2.3 (proved in Appendix D) shows that the unique choice compatible with exact projective linearity after a local complexifier is  $f(\rho) = \kappa/\rho$ , i.e.

$$F[\rho] = 4\kappa \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 dx, \quad Q_{\kappa}(\rho) = -\kappa \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}.$$

Any other  $f(\rho)$  produces a residual nonlinear term in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation that cannot be removed by any local, gauge-preserving change of variables within our admissible class.

Higher-derivative or mixed  $\rho$ - $S$  regularisers, while mathematically possible, break at least one of the prior axioms:  $\int (\Delta \rho)^2 dx$  introduces fourth-order dynamics incompatible with local probability conservation, and terms like  $\int f(\rho) |\nabla S|^4 dx$  violate Galilean invariance and separability. The first-derivative positive form  $F[\rho] = \int f(\rho) |\nabla \rho|^2 dx$  is therefore the minimal class consistent with locality, reversibility, and symmetry.

*Coarse-graining.* Any local, probability-preserving coarse graining that does not raise derivative order maps admissible flows to admissible flows; the Fisher functional is a fixed point of this class. Our Fisher term arises as the local, positive curvature compatible with linearisation by a single complexifier, not as a prior or choice.

Flat Euclidean background, spinless kinematics, first-order locality for both bracket and Hamiltonian, Hamiltonian reversibility, and global U(1) on  $S$  are assumed throughout.

### Worked example.

For  $\rho(x) = \exp(-x^2/\sigma^2)$  and  $F[\rho] = \int \alpha \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} dx$ , write  $\rho = R^2$  so  $F = 4\alpha \int |\nabla R|^2 dx$ . Then  $\delta F/\delta \rho = -\alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}$  in  $H^{-1}$ , which produces the Laplacian quotient used in Section 2. An identical calculation holds for a compactly supported bump, with nodes excluded by a standard mask window as detailed in Appendix E.

**Proposition 2.2 (Canonical bracket from DN locality).** *Under Axioms I-V and the existence of the conserved phase generator  $C = \int \rho dx$  with  $\{S, C\} = -1$ , any first-order DN-type Poisson operator on  $(\rho, S)$  is Poisson-isomorphic to the canonical bracket  $\{\rho(x), S(y)\} = \delta(x - y)$ .*

**Proposition 2.3 (Fisher uniqueness within the admissible class).** *Let  $F[\rho] = \int f(\rho) |\nabla \rho|^2 dx$  be as in Axiom VI. If there exists a local gauge-covariant complexifier  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  that maps the Hamiltonian flow generated by  $\mathcal{H} = \int \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V\rho + Q_f(\rho) dx$  to a linear unitary evolution on  $L^2$  for all admissible states, then  $f(\rho) = \kappa/\rho$  and the resulting evolution is the linear Schrödinger equation with  $\hbar^2 = 2m\kappa$ .*

## 2.1 Interdependence

---

Each axiom is load-bearing: omitting any one destroys linearity or reversibility.

- Without I: higher-order brackets generate third-order dispersive terms.
- Without II:  $\dot{C} \neq 0$  violates probability conservation.
- Without III:  $\{S, S\} \neq 0$  yields nonlinear  $\psi$  evolution.
- Without IV: parity-odd  $\varepsilon$ -tensor terms break isotropy.
- Without V: diffusion terms appear and  $\psi$  becomes non-unitary.
- Without VI: any other  $f(\rho)$  gives a nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

## 2.2 Flow.

---

Axioms A1-A6  $\Rightarrow$  canonical bracket on  $(\rho, S) \Rightarrow$  Fisher curvature by convexity and symmetry  $\Rightarrow$  local complexifier rigidity  $\Rightarrow$  linear Schrödinger dynamics with fixed scale, all within the stated class of local first-derivative Hamiltonian theories.

A summary of explicit one-line counterexamples illustrating logical independence is provided in Appendix B; each axiom can fail while the others hold.

## 3 Bracket Classification

---

We now classify all local first-order Poisson brackets on  $(\rho, S)$  satisfying Axioms I-V under the function-space restrictions stated above. Any admissible bracket between the point fields can be expressed distributionally as

$$\begin{aligned}\{\rho(x), \rho(y)\} &= c_{\rho\rho}^i(\rho, S) \partial_{x_i} \delta(x - y), \\ \{\rho(x), S(y)\} &= a_0(\rho, S) \delta(x - y) + a_1^i(\rho, S) \partial_{x_i} \delta(x - y), \\ \{S(x), S(y)\} &= c_{SS}^i(\rho, S) \partial_{x_i} \delta(x - y),\end{aligned}$$

with antisymmetry  $\{S, \rho\} = -\{\rho, S\}$ . Global U(1) (Axiom III) forbids explicit  $S$  dependence in these coefficients, so they depend on  $\rho$  only.

*Isotropy step.* Euclidean covariance forbids nonzero vector coefficients multiplying  $\partial_{x_i} \delta$ . Hence  $a_1^i \equiv 0$  and  $c_{\rho\rho}^i \equiv 0$ , while  $a_0$  is a scalar. The condition  $\{S, C\} = -1$  then fixes  $a_0 \equiv 1$  up to a constant rescaling of  $S$ .

**Lemma 3.1 (Gauge generator normal form).** Let  $C = \int_{\Omega} \rho(y) dy$  be the phase generator of Axiom II. For the above local ansatz,

$$\{S(x), C\} = \int_{\Omega} \{S(x), \rho(y)\} dy = -a_0(\rho(x)) + \partial_{x_i} a_1^i(\rho(x)) = -1,$$

$$\{\rho(x), C\} = \int_{\Omega} \{\rho(x), \rho(y)\} dy = -\partial_{x_i} c_{\rho\rho}^i(\rho(x)) = 0.$$

On  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$  with decaying probes or on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  with periodic probes,  $\int \partial_{x_i} \delta(x-y) dy = 0$ , so these identities hold distributionally.

The coefficients  $a_1^i$  and  $c_{\rho\rho}^i$  are excluded by Axiom II together with Euclidean covariance, since any nonzero first-derivative coefficient would either introduce a preferred spatial direction or break the phase generator under smearing, as verified explicitly in Appendix C, and independently consistent with Dubrovin-Novikov-type classifications extended by isometries [15].

**Lemma 3.2 (Global U(1) restriction).** Under  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$  and  $\{S, C\} = -1$  (Axiom II and III), any nonzero  $c_{SS}^i(\rho)$  would give  $\{S, S\} \neq 0$  after smearing with constants, contradicting global U(1). Hence  $c_{SS}^i \equiv 0$ .

Therefore the only surviving structure is

$$\{\rho(x), S(y)\} = a_0(\rho(x)) \delta(x-y), \quad \{\rho, \rho\} = 0 = \{S, S\}.$$

A density weighting  $a_0(\rho)$  is a priori allowed. The Jacobi identity fixes it:

**Lemma 3.3 (Jacobi for  $\delta$  only brackets).** For  $\{F, G\}_{a_0} = \int a_0(\rho) (F_\rho G_S - F_S G_\rho) dx$  the Jacobi identity holds if and only if  $a'_0(\rho) = 0$ , i.e.  $a_0$  is constant.

*Sketch.* Compute the Schouten bracket  $P^{i\ell} \partial_\ell P^{jk} + \text{cyclic} = 0$  for  $P^{\rho S} = a_0(\rho) = -P^{S\rho}$  and other entries zero. The only nonvanishing derivative is  $\partial_\rho P^{\rho S} = a'_0(\rho)$ , and the  $(\rho, S, \rho)$  component yields  $a_0 a'_0 = 0$  pointwise, hence  $a'_0 = 0$ .  $\square$

Thus  $a_0$  is a constant that rescales  $S$ ; set  $a_0 = 1$ .

The constant  $a_0$  merely rescales  $S$ ; we set  $a_0 = 1$  without loss of generality. Appendix C gives the explicit trilinear Jacobi calculation indicating this result, including the mixed permutation check.

**Structural reduction.** A general first order DN operator has the schematic form

$$\{u^i(x), u^j(y)\} = g^{ij}(u(x)) \partial_{x_k} \delta(x-y) e^k + b_k^{ij}(u(x)) u_x^k \delta(x-y),$$

with  $(g^{ij}, b_k^{ij})$  satisfying flatness and compatibility conditions equivalent to Jacobi [13, 14]. Translation and rotation invariance, together with the existence of the phase generator  $C$  and Lemma 3.3, imply that within our axioms the bracket is

Poisson isomorphic to a flat constant representative, namely the canonical bracket  $\{\rho(x), S(y)\} = \delta(x - y)$ . Details are given in Appendix C.

**Proposition 3.4 (Canonical Bracket).** *Under Axioms I-V, the only possible local, probability-preserving, phase- and Euclidean-invariant first-order Poisson structure on  $(\rho, S)$  is*

$$\{F, G\} = \int \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \frac{\delta G}{\delta S} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta S} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right) dx. \quad (3.1)$$

*Equivalently, within the Dubrovin-Novikov class the bracket reduces (up to a constant rescaling of  $S$ ) to this constant-coefficient normal form; any  $\rho$ -dependent prefactor  $a_0(\rho)$  in  $\{\rho, S\} = a_0(\rho)$  violates the Jacobi identity, hence the canonical bracket (3.1) is the unique local representative in this setting.*

The proof follows from the gauge generator normal form, the U(1) restriction, Lemma 3.3, and the DN reduction above.

This Hamiltonian classification result is orthogonal to both Nelson's construction of quantum kinematics [11] from diffusion and to entropic-inference updates [12]; it is a statement within the Hamiltonian class, not across all conceivable generative principles.

*Symmetry generators (translations, boosts, rotations) and their closure are discussed in Section 7; weak-solution aspects at nodal sets are detailed in Section 9.*

**Locality and vorticity.** The bracket classification holds for locally smooth  $(\rho, S)$  on simply connected charts where  $S$  is single-valued and  $\nabla \times \nabla S = 0$ . Physical vorticity and quantised circulation arise when these charts are glued on domains punctured by nodal lines, so that  $S$  acquires multivalued holonomy  $\oint \nabla S \cdot dl = 2\pi n\hbar$ . This global topology affects boundary conditions but leaves the local canonical bracket.

This global topology affects boundary conditions but leaves the local canonical bracket unchanged.

The Jacobi identity therefore fixes the Poisson structure up to an overall constant multiplier. Allowing  $a'_0(\rho) \neq 0$  introduces curvature in the phase-space measure and destroys the Lie-Poisson property.

This is the canonical symplectic form on  $(\rho, S)$ , the scalar representative of the Dubrovin-Novikov class. Explicitly, the underlying symplectic two-form is

$$\omega = \int d\rho \wedge dS,$$

showing that  $(\rho, S)$  are canonically conjugate variables. With this bracket the continuity equation follows directly:

$$\dot{\rho} = \{\rho, \mathcal{H}\} = -\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{m} \nabla S \right),$$

ensuring conservation of  $\int \rho dx$  and aligning with the phase generator property detailed in Appendix F.

This coincides with the generator property  $\{S, C\} = -1$  and ensures conservation of  $\int \rho dx$  for any real Hamiltonian.

## 4 Hamiltonian and Fisher Curvature

---

With the bracket fixed, the dynamics are determined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}[\rho, S] = \int \left[ \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V(x)\rho + \alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right] dx, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  sets the regularisation scale. The first two terms reproduce classical mechanics; the last introduces Fisher curvature. Cross terms such as  $\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla S$  are excluded by global phase symmetry (they reduce to a boundary divergence or select a preferred direction), and the prefactor is fixed by matching the continuity flux via the canonical bracket (Lemma 2.1). Any local scalar containing  $\nabla S$ , including  $\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla S$  and  $|\nabla S| |\nabla \rho|$ , is either a total divergence or violates global U(1) or the S-shift generator role, and is excluded. A complete catalogue of first-derivative scalar candidates built from  $\rho$  and  $S$  is recorded in Appendix B, each tagged as divergence, U(1) violation, generator conflict, or admissible.

We now show the curvature term is unique within the stated admissible class.

**Proposition 4.1 (Fisher-curvature).** *Within the stated local first-order Hamiltonian class on  $(\rho, S)$  and Axioms I-VI, the structural assumption is only that the regulariser be a local, positive, convex, first-derivative functional on  $\rho$ ; no Fisher form is presupposed. We separate two routes: (i) an Euler-Lagrange uniqueness within the admissible class, and (ii) an operational projective-linearity stress-test after complexification. The present proposition establishes (i): analysis shows that  $f(\rho) \propto 1/\rho$  is the sole admissible choice whose curvature term is time-reversal invariant and compatible with linear superposition once the unique local, derivative-free, gauge-covariant complexifier is imposed. Among all positive, rotationally invariant local quadratic functionals*

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho] = \int f(\rho) |\nabla \rho|^2 dx,$$

only  $f(\rho) = C/\rho$  yields an Euler-Lagrange derivative proportional to  $-\Delta \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho}$ .

See Appendix D for full Euler-Lagrange and regularity conditions; complimentary superposition test supporting route (ii) is described in Appendix G.

**Corollary 4.2 .** *The regulariser is the Fisher information functional, with explicit Euler-Lagrange*

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho] = \int |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 dx, \quad \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \rho} = -\frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}.$$

**Linearity test** The complex structure implied by the Fisher curvature admits a direct projective superposition stress-test (Appendix G). Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  be two initially disjoint

packets and write

$$\psi_{\oplus}(t) \text{ for the evolution of } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1 + \psi_2), \quad \psi_{\Sigma}(t) \text{ for the evolution of } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_2$$

evolved separately and then summed. Define the projective residual by normalising and optimally aligning the global phase,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{proj}}(t) = \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\| \frac{\psi_{\oplus}(t)}{\|\psi_{\oplus}(t)\|_2} - e^{i\theta} \frac{\psi_{\Sigma}(t)}{\|\psi_{\Sigma}(t)\|_2} \right\|_2.$$

For Fisher-regularised dynamics one finds  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{proj}}(t) = 0$  up to numerical tolerance, whereas any admissible departure from the Fisher form yields  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{proj}}(t) > 0$  even under infinitesimal perturbations. Scripts are listed in the code archive (Appendix E); construction details are in Appendix G.

**Proposition 4.3 (Fisher coefficient from symmetries and scaling).**

$$\mathcal{H}[\rho, S] = \int \left( \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V \rho + \alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right) dx$$

Let generate dynamics via the canonical bracket on  $(\rho, S)$ . Assume Galilean covariance, global  $U(1)$  phase symmetry  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$ , and diffusive scaling  $x \mapsto \lambda x, t \mapsto \lambda^2 t$ . Then

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m},$$

for a universal constant  $\hbar > 0$  fixed by experiment.

A scaling and symmetry argument is given here; completeness is provided in Appendix H, and verified by code in Appendix E.

*Remark.* For a system of noninteracting components  $(\rho_i, S_i)$  with inertial masses  $m_i$ , the Fisher-regularised term applies componentwise,

$$Q_i(\rho_i) = -\alpha_i \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho_i}}{\sqrt{\rho_i}}.$$

Evaluating the residual  $R_i(c) = \|V_i + Q_{c,i} - E_i\|_{L^2(\rho_i)}$  for test masses  $m_i \in \{0.5, 1, 3\}$  exhibits a common minimum at  $c = 1$  when  $\alpha_i = c \hbar^2 / (2m_i)$ , indicating that a single Planck constant governs all components:

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \quad \text{with universal } \hbar.$$

Thus the reversible Fisher coefficient scales inversely with mass while preserving a single quantum of action, consistent with Galilean invariance.

## 5 Hamilton-Jacobi System

---

The Hamilton equations  $\dot{F} = \{F, \mathcal{H}\}$  with bracket (3.1) and Hamiltonian (4.1) yield

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{m} \nabla S \right), \quad (5.1)$$

$$\partial_t S = -\frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} - V + \alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}. \quad (5.2)$$

These are the continuity and Fisher-regularised Hamilton-Jacobi equations. They form a closed, reversible system on  $(\rho, S)$  with explicit time-symmetry invariance.

## 6 Emergence of the Schrödinger Equation

---

Define the complex field

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}, \quad \hbar > 0.$$

Write  $R = \sqrt{\rho}$ . Using (5.1)-(5.2) and

$$\partial_t \psi = \left( \frac{\partial_t R}{R} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \partial_t S \right) \psi, \quad \nabla \psi = \left( \frac{\nabla R}{R} + \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla S \right) \psi,$$

a direct calculation gives

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V \right] \psi + \left( \alpha - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \frac{\Delta R}{R} \psi.$$

Hence the nonlinear remainder vanishes if and only if

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}. \quad (6.1)$$

and in that case

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V(x) \right] \psi. \quad (6.2)$$

For any admissible  $f(\rho) \neq \kappa/\rho$  in the convex first-derivative regulariser, an  $H^{-1}$ -controlled state-dependent remainder persists in the Hamilton-Jacobi sector that cannot be cancelled by any local, gauge-covariant, derivative-free complexifier; consequently exact projective linearity fails. A concrete parameter identification is given in Appendix M; the functional-analytic no-go proof appears in Appendix D. For contrast, Doebner-Goldin diffusions lie outside our reversible Hamiltonian cone (breaking Axiom V), cf. [4, 5].

**Proposition 6.1 (Linearisability as a criterion.).** *Reversibility (Hamiltonian flow) and probabilistic composition select a projective complex representation of the dynamics (by Wigner's theorem), so there must exist a complex structure in which time evolution is linear on rays. Throughout this section, linearisable means linearisable within Axioms I-VI, with a pointwise, derivative-free complexification on  $(\rho, S)$ . The local, gauge-covariant map  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  realises this structure in the hydrodynamic variables. Condition (6.1) is precisely what enforces exact projective linearity; it is therefore not an ansatz but the unique local complexifier within this class that renders the dynamics linear on  $L^2$ .*

**Proposition 6.2 (Quantum minimality).** *Within Axioms I-VI (local first-order Dubrovin-Novikov locality, conserved phase generator with global  $U(1)$ , Euclidean covariance, reversibility, and minimal convex regularity), let  $\mathcal{H}[\rho, S]$  be any Hamiltonian that yields a reversible completion of (5.1). Assume there exists a local, gauge-covariant, pointwise and derivative-free complexifier that identifies a single projective complex structure,*

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar},$$

*such that the induced evolution on rays is linear and the flow on  $L^2$  is unitary for all admissible data. Then the only admissible convex first-derivative regulariser is the Fisher information functional and the evolution is the linear Schrödinger equation (6.2) with*

$$\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha,$$

*equivalently,*

$$\mathcal{H}[\rho, S] = \int \left( \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} \rho + V\rho + \alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right) dx \quad (\text{up to an irrelevant constant}),$$

*and the bracket is the canonical one on  $(\rho, S)$ .*

This proposition converts quantum mechanics from a postulate to a classification result: within the stated axioms, the admissible theory space collapses to a singleton up to rescaling of  $S$ .

## 7 Symmetry and Group-Theoretic Consistency

---

**On boosts.** The kinetic prefactor  $\rho/(2m)$  is fixed earlier, solely by locality, global  $U(1)$ , Euclidean covariance, and the continuity law generated by the canonical bracket; no boost symmetry was used. The Galilean (Bargmann) algebra established below is therefore a consequence rather than an input, avoiding circularity.

### 7.1 Gauge and Galilean invariance

---

Equation (6.2) is invariant under the global phase transformation  $\psi \mapsto e^{i\theta}\psi$ , which corresponds to  $S \mapsto S + \hbar\theta$ . At the hydrodynamic level this symmetry is encoded in

Axiom III:  $\{S, S\} = 0$  and the Hamiltonian depends only on  $\nabla S$ . Hence global phase redundancy in  $S$  manifests as the phase invariance of  $\psi$ .

Galilean covariance follows from the kinetic term established in Lemma 2.1. The generator of spatial translations is

$$\mathbf{P} = \int \rho \nabla S dx \quad (\text{so } \int \rho dx = 1),$$

Equivalently,  $P = m \int j dx$  with  $j = \rho \nabla S / m$ .

**Proposition 7.1 (Bargmann-Galilei closure at equal time).** Let

$$H[\rho, S] = \int \left( \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V\rho + \alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right) dx, \quad \mathbf{P} = \int \rho \nabla S dx, \quad \mathbf{K}(t) = m \int x \rho dx - t \mathbf{P}.$$

At any fixed time  $t$ ,

$$\{H, P_i\} = 0, \quad \{H, K_i\} = -P_i, \quad \{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx = -m \delta_{ij},$$

realising the Bargmann central extension with charge  $m$ .

*Proof sketch.* Compute  $\delta H / \delta S = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S / m)$ ,  $\delta \mathbf{P} / \delta S = \nabla \rho$ , and

$$\frac{\delta K_i}{\delta \rho} = mx_i - t \partial_i S, \quad \frac{\delta K_i}{\delta S} = t \partial_i \rho.$$

Then

$$\{H, K_i\} = - \int \frac{\delta H}{\delta S} \frac{\delta K_i}{\delta \rho} dx - \int \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \frac{\delta K_i}{\delta S} dx = -P_i - t \{H, P_i\}.$$

For translation-invariant  $V$ ,  $\{H, P_i\} = 0$ , hence  $\{H, K_i\} = -P_i$ . Likewise,  $\{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx = -m \delta_{ij}$ .

Numerically checked in Test 7 (Bargmann-Galilean closure) (code archive, Appendix E).

Indicating that the hydrodynamic representation already carries the projective representation of the Galilei group: mass enters as the central charge and need not be postulated independently.

In particular,  $\{H, P\} = 0$  for translation-invariant  $V$ , so  $P$  is a conserved Noether charge. This realises precisely the Bargmann central extension of the Galilei algebra, with mass as the central charge ensuring the correct coadjoint-orbit structure [16], see Appendix I. The generator of boosts  $\mathbf{K} = m \int \rho x dx - t \mathbf{P}$  satisfies

$$\frac{d\mathbf{K}}{dt} = 0,$$

indicating Galilean invariance. The commutation relation  $\{H, K\} = -P$  fixes the constant  $m$  as the Bargmann central charge, showing that the kinetic energy  $\rho |\nabla S|^2 / (2m)$  is not an assumption but the representation-theoretic form consistent with Galilean symmetry (see also the scale matching in Eq. (6.1) and its many-body extension

Prop. L.1).

In the  $\psi$ -picture, the dynamics are Hamiltonian on a Kähler manifold of rays endowed with the Fubini-Study metric [17, 18].

By Stone's theorem, the corresponding operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  generates a one-parameter unitary group, ensuring reversibility and unitarity. Appendix J verifies  $\{H, K\} = -P$  explicitly with the functional derivatives

$$\frac{\delta K}{\delta S} = +t \nabla \rho, \quad \frac{\delta K}{\delta \rho} = -t \nabla S + mx.$$

**Galilean closure.** With  $P_i = \int \rho \partial_i S dx$  and  $K_i = m \int \rho x_i dx - t P_i$ , the canonical bracket yields  $\{H, P_i\} = 0$ ,  $\{H, K_i\} = -P_i$ , and  $\{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx$ , i.e. the Bargmann algebra with central charge  $m$  (probability normalised to one).

**Orbital angular momentum.** Define the angular-momentum generator

$$L_k = \varepsilon_{kij} \int \rho x_i \partial_j S dx.$$

With the canonical bracket (3.1) and Hamiltonian (4.1), one finds for central  $V$ :

$$\{H, L_i\} = 0, \quad \{P_i, L_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} P_k, \quad \{L_i, L_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk} L_k.$$

Using  $\delta L_k / \delta S = \varepsilon_{kij} x_i \partial_j \rho$  and  $\delta L_k / \delta \rho = \varepsilon_{kij} x_i \partial_j S$ , the canonical bracket reduces to surface terms that vanish under the boundary classes of Appendix A, yielding rotational invariance and the standard  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  closure. Hence angular momentum arises within the same canonical structure, without auxiliary patches.

## 7.2 Electromagnetic coupling

---

Minimal coupling,

$$\nabla S \rightarrow \nabla S - q \mathbf{A}(x, t), \quad V(x, t) \rightarrow V(x, t) + q\phi(x, t),$$

preserves the canonical bracket (3.1) and yields

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar \nabla - q \mathbf{A})^2 \psi + q\phi \psi,$$

the gauge-covariant Schrödinger equation. Gauge transformations  $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} + \nabla \Lambda$ ,  $\phi \rightarrow \phi - \partial_t \Lambda$  correspond to  $S \rightarrow S + q\Lambda$ ,  $\psi \rightarrow e^{iq\Lambda/\hbar} \psi$ , preserving invariance.

Physical time-reversal for external fields is treated here as a model-specific analysis separate from the abstract axiom of reversibility.

### 7.3 Dimensional analysis

---

Flat spinless kinematics admit only one material scale in first-order locality; dilation covariance with Hamiltonian reversibility isolates the Fisher coefficient up to a universal constant.

Matching the free-particle dispersion fixes the scale: plane waves  $\psi \sim e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)}$  in (6.2) obey  $\omega = \hbar k^2 / (2m)$ , which combined with (6.1) gives  $[\alpha] = [\hbar^2 / (2m)]$  and singles out the Fisher coefficient. Any other scaling fails to reproduce the quadratic dispersion mandated by Galilean kinematics.

## 8 Uniqueness of the Complexification

---

The local, pointwise, invertible, gauge covariant complexifier compatible with Axioms I-IV is  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$ , which fixes  $\alpha = \kappa^2 / (2m)$ . Any derivative-dependent or nonlocal map raises differential order and exits the class.

**Proposition 8.1 (Local complexifier rigidity).** *Let  $\psi$  be a local, pointwise, invertible, gauge-covariant map*

$$\psi = F(\rho) e^{iG(S,\rho)}, \quad F > 0,$$

*that sends the Fisher-regularised hydrodynamics (5.1)-(5.2) into a linear complex evolution*

$$i\kappa \partial_t \psi = \left( -\frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \Delta + V \right) \psi$$

*with the same external  $V(x)$  and some constant  $\kappa > 0$ . Then, up to an overall constant phase and scale,*

$$F(\rho) = c \sqrt{\rho}, \quad G(S, \rho) = \frac{S}{\kappa} + \text{const},$$

*and the Fisher coefficient satisfies  $\alpha = \kappa^2 / (2m)$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* Write  $\psi = F(\rho) e^{iG}$  and compute the linear Schrödinger continuity law  $\partial_t |\psi|^2 + \nabla \cdot J = 0$  with  $J = \frac{\kappa}{m} \text{Im}(\bar{\psi} \nabla \psi) = \frac{\kappa}{m} F(\rho)^2 \nabla G$ . On the hydrodynamic side, (5.1) gives  $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S/m) = 0$ . Gauge covariance implies  $G$  is affine in  $S$  and independent of  $\nabla S$ , hence  $G_S$  is a constant and  $G_\rho$  is a scalar function. Matching the fluxes for arbitrary states forces

$$\frac{\kappa}{m} F(\rho)^2 G_S = \frac{\rho}{m} \quad \Rightarrow \quad G_S \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa}, \quad F(\rho)^2 = \rho,$$

so  $F(\rho) = c \sqrt{\rho}$  (positivity fixes  $c > 0$ ). Any  $G_\rho \neq 0$  contributes a real, state-dependent term to the transformed Hamiltonian (proportional to  $\nabla \rho$ ), which cannot appear in a linear, coefficient-only operator; thus  $G_\rho = 0$  and  $G(S, \rho) = S/\kappa + \text{const}$ . With this polar map, the Madelung recombination yields the linear equation if and only if  $\alpha = \kappa^2 / (2m)$ ; see (6.1).  $\square$

Thus the polar map  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$  is the *only* local invertible, gauge-covariant complexifier that linearises the reversible completion within our class, with the scale fixed by  $\alpha = \kappa^2/(2m)$ . Any other amplitude reparametrisation  $F(\rho) \neq c\sqrt{\rho}$  or any  $\rho$ -dependent phase  $G_\rho \neq 0$  either introduces state-dependent coefficients (violating linearity) or breaks gauge covariance.

**Corollary 8.2 (Kähler compatibility).** *Equipping the  $(\rho, S)$  phase space with the canonical symplectic form  $\omega = \int d\rho \wedge dS$  and the Fisher metric in amplitude  $g = \int 4|d\sqrt{\rho}|^2 dx$  selects the integrable complex structure  $J(d\sqrt{\rho}) = \frac{1}{\kappa} dS$  compatible with  $(\omega, g)$ . Under the polar map  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$  the dynamics become linear on the projective Hilbert space (cf. [17, 18]).*

**Lemma 8.3 (Local Linearisation Uniqueness).** *Let  $\psi$  be a local, pointwise in  $x$ , invertible, and gauge-covariant complex field depending on  $(\rho, S)$ . If  $\psi$  linearises the real hydrodynamic system defined by Axioms I-VI into a linear PDE whose coefficients are independent of  $(\rho, S)$  (external potentials only), then up to constant phase and scale*

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}.$$

We restrict to *local, invertible, pointwise polar maps*

$$\psi = F(\rho) e^{iG(\rho, S)}, \quad G_\rho \equiv \frac{\partial G}{\partial \rho} = 0,$$

to preserve first-order locality: any  $G_\rho \neq 0$  injects state-dependent coefficients and lifts differential order, violating projective linearity *within Axioms I-VI*. The detailed argument is given in Appendix K; we record the implication here.

Any translationally invariant nonlocal operator composed with this map would violate Axiom I (locality) or gauge covariance, and thus lies outside the admissible class.

Hence, the polar transformation  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  is not an ansatz but the *only* admissible local mapping that linearises the Fisher-regularised Hamiltonian flow. Any alternative redefinition of amplitude or phase leads to nonlinear evolution or breaks global U(1) phase symmetry.

Hydrodynamic variables are undefined on nodal sets where  $\rho = 0$ . All identities are interpreted on  $\{\rho > 0\}$  and extended in the weak sense. The  $\psi$ -representation remains well defined in  $L^2$ , so global statements are made at the  $\psi$  level.

## 9 Function-Space and Domain Considerations

---

Throughout we assume  $\rho \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{\Omega} \rho dx = 1$ ,  $\sqrt{\rho} = R \in H^1(\Omega)$ , and  $S \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$  modulo constants; identities are read almost everywhere on  $\{\rho > 0\}$  and in the weak sense. Then  $\nabla \rho = 2R\nabla R \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ , and the Fisher potential  $Q = -\alpha \Delta R/R$  defines an element of  $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\Omega)$  on the positivity set. Variational derivatives of  $\mathcal{H}$  are thus well defined in the weak sense.

We work on  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$  with decay  $\rho, |\nabla S| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , or on smooth bounded  $\Omega$  with boundary conditions that preserve integration by parts in the Hamiltonian.

Consistent pairs are

$$\text{Dirichlet: } R|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, S|_{\partial\Omega} = \text{const}; \quad \text{Neumann: } \nabla R \cdot n = 0, \nabla S \cdot n = 0.$$

These preserve normalisation and energy conservation. On Lipschitz domains the standard trace theory justifies the integrations by parts used.

For bounded domains on Lipschitz  $\Omega$ , consistent boundary pairs are (with standard trace theory justifying integrations by parts):

$$\text{Dirichlet: } R|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, S|_{\partial\Omega} = \text{const}; \quad \text{Neumann: } \nabla R \cdot n = 0, \nabla S \cdot n = 0.$$

Both preserve normalisation and energy conservation. In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we impose decay  $\rho, |\nabla S| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . With these domains, if  $V$  is Kato-small relative to  $-\Delta$  (e.g.  $V = V_+ - V_-$  with  $V_-$  form-bounded with relative bound  $< 1$ ), then  $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V$  is self-adjoint (Kato-Rellich [19]). Stone's theorem then yields a unitary  $L^2$  flow.

**Nodes and weak formulation.** All hydrodynamic identities are evaluated on the positivity set  $\{\rho > 0\}$ , where  $Q = -\alpha \Delta \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho} \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}$ ; variational statements are taken in the weak sense. Nodal sets have measure zero and do not affect functional derivatives or conserved charges under the boundary conditions of Appendix A. Global evolution is naturally expressed at the  $\psi$  level in  $L^2$ ; diagnostics are computed for  $\psi$  and pushed forward to  $(\rho, S)$  almost everywhere.

Parity-odd  $\varepsilon$ -tensor scalars in this scalar sector vanish or reduce to total divergences under these conditions (see Appendix A).

**Well-posedness.** For  $V$  in the Kato class, the Schrödinger operator  $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + V$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\Omega)$  by the Kato-Rellich theorem. Global well-posedness of the  $L^2$  Schrödinger flow implies a well-defined weak flow on  $(\rho, S)$  away from nodal sets; the pushforward by  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  restores a global description.

## 10 Topology and Vorticity

---

Although we assumed  $S$  single-valued, physical wavefunctions may exhibit multivalued phases. On multiply connected domains, circulation quantisation arises naturally:

$$\oint \nabla S \cdot dl = 2\pi n\hbar, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The corresponding  $\psi$  is single-valued, while the velocity field  $\mathbf{v} = \nabla S/m$  supports quantised vortices. This reconciles the hydrodynamic and quantum pictures without modifying the bracket or Hamiltonian.

**Related work.** Recent developments have explored complementary routes linking Fisher information, hydrodynamics, and relativistic quantum theory. Fabbri [20] constructs a covariant “Madelung structure” for the Dirac equation, expressing spinor

dynamics in polar variables as a coupled system of continuity, curl, and Hamilton-Jacobi-type equations built from first derivatives of the spinor fields. That approach is constructive: it reformulates an existing relativistic theory in hydrodynamic form. Our result is classificatory. Starting solely from locality, global phase and Euclidean invariance, reversibility, probability conservation, and convex regularity on  $(\rho, S)$ , we show that the canonical bracket and Fisher curvature together form the reversible information-hydrodynamic structure. The polar map  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  then emerges as the only admissible local lineariser, forcing the linear Schrödinger flow and fixing its scale. All such statements hold within the class defined by our axioms.

Information-theoretic works from a different direction reach compatible conclusions. Yang [21, 22] derives the Schrödinger and scalar field equations from an extended least-action principle that introduces vacuum fluctuations and information metrics, treating  $\hbar$  as a minimal quantum of action and defining information curvature through relative entropy. These works show how information-based variational principles can reproduce and generalise the Fisher-regularised structure obtained here.

A further link appears in Yahalom's relativistic extension [23], which embeds a Lorentz-invariant Fisher information term directly into the Dirac variational principle. In the low-velocity, zero-vorticity limit, this construction reduces precisely to the Schrödinger variational form shown in our axiomatic framework, indicating potential continuity between the nonrelativistic and relativistic Fisher-fluid programmes.

Together, these results delineate a consistent hierarchy: constructive Madelung reformulations at the relativistic level, information-metric variational work from action principles, and the present axiomatic classification of reversible hydrodynamics, all converging on the Fisher functional as the geometric core of quantum dynamics.

## 11 Many-Body and Spin Extensions

---

Independent subsystems compose by tensor product and marginalisation within this class; the local complexifier factors pointwise on configuration space.

The following extension operates on configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$ ;  $\rho(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  and  $S(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  generate a formal hydrodynamics on this space. The term "hydrodynamic" here denotes the continuity and Hamilton-Jacobi structure rather than a literal fluid in physical three-space, consistent with the standard Madelung and Bohmian formulations. The scalar classification established earlier extends componentwise to multicomponent or spinorial fields. Extending to configuration space  $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$ , let  $\rho(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$  and  $S(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$  denote the single configuration-space density and phase, with  $\nabla_i$  acting on  $x_i$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \int \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\rho |\nabla_i S|^2}{2m_i} + V(\{x_j\}) \rho + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i |\nabla_i \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_N,$$

The configuration-space continuity equation reads

$$\partial_t \rho + \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_i \cdot \left( \rho \nabla_i S / m_i \right) = 0,$$

so the probability currents are  $j_i = \rho \nabla_i S/m_i$ . With the polar map  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$  one has

$$J_i = \frac{\kappa}{m_i} |\psi|^2 \nabla_i (S/\kappa) = \rho \nabla_i S/m_i$$

if and only if  $\partial_S G \equiv 1/\kappa$  as in Proposition 8.1. The Madelung recombination on  $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$  yields the linear  $N$ -body Schrödinger equation precisely when

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\kappa^2}{2m_i} \text{ for each } i,$$

in precise analogy with the single-particle cancellation (cf. Eq. (6.1)), which we identify with a single Planck constant  $\hbar = \kappa$  below.

$\psi(\{x_j\}, t) = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  obeys the  $N$ -body Schrödinger equation on  $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$  with exchange symmetry imposed on  $\psi$ . Universality of Planck's constant is consistent with  $\alpha_i = \hbar^2/(2m_i)$  for each particle, ensuring a single  $\hbar$  (see Prop. 11.1). The single local complex structure enforcing  $\alpha_i = \hbar^2/(2m_i)$  is a Hamiltonian constraint at the field level; it does not follow from per-particle stochastic postulates or entropic updating rules [11, 12].

**Spin pointer.** Extending  $\psi$  to a two-component field and imposing internal SU(2) covariance with minimal electromagnetic coupling yields the Pauli Hamiltonian,

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \frac{1}{2m} (-i\hbar \nabla - q\mathbf{A})^2 \psi + q\phi \psi - \mu \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \psi,$$

with the polar complexifier acting componentwise and the Fisher term remaining scalar, built from  $|\psi|$ . Within our axioms the Pauli form is fixed; the value  $\mu = q\hbar/(2m)$  (that is,  $g = 2$ ) needs an extra input such as the nonrelativistic Dirac limit or a Larmor-precession argument. Spin-statistics is field theoretic and beyond scope; statistics are imposed as superselection on the domain of  $\psi$ , not by modifying the bracket.

**Configuration-space consistency.** Writing  $R = \sqrt{\rho}$  and  $\nabla_{3N} = (\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_N)$ , one has

$$|\nabla_{3N} R|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N |\nabla_i R|^2, \quad \Delta_{3N} = \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_i,$$

so the Fisher curvature is

$$\sum_i \alpha_i |\nabla_i \sqrt{\rho}|^2 = \sum_i \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} |\nabla_i R|^2.$$

Entanglement enters through the joint dependence  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  of  $\rho$  and  $S$ ; no separability is assumed. The structure reproduces the full  $N$ -body Schrödinger dynamics once  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  is applied.

## Universality of Planck's constant

---

*Sketch.* A single local, gauge covariant complexifier on configuration space must be of the form  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$  with a constant  $\kappa$  independent of  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  by Proposition 8.1. Matching currents fixes  $G_S \equiv 1/\kappa$ , and recombination on each coordinate direction yields  $\alpha_i = \kappa^2/(2m_i)$ . Hence a single  $\kappa$  enforces a single Planck constant  $\hbar = \kappa$  across all sectors.

**Proposition 11.1 (Single  $\hbar$  across sectors).** *Let  $\mathcal{H}_N$  be as above with masses  $\{m_i\}$  and Fisher coefficients  $\{\alpha_i\}$ . If the reversible completion on configuration space admits a single local, gauge covariant complexifier  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$  that linearises the flow for all admissible data, then, for every  $i$ ,*

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\kappa^2}{2m_i}, \quad \text{so that } \hbar = \kappa \text{ is universal.}$$

*Proof sketch.* Take factorised initial data  $\rho = \prod_i \rho_i$ ,  $S = \sum_i S_i(x_i)$  with arbitrary one body pairs  $(\rho_i, S_i)$ . Linearity on  $L^2$  under the same polar map requires the  $i$ th current to be  $J_i = |\psi|^2 \kappa^{-1} \nabla_i S$ , which must match  $j_i = \rho \nabla_i S/m_i$  for arbitrary  $(\rho_i, S_i)$ . Hence  $\kappa$  is common and  $G_S \equiv 1/\kappa$ . Recombination along each coordinate gives the Laplacian quotient with coefficient  $\alpha_i = \kappa^2/(2m_i)$ . Any attempt to use  $\kappa_i$  depending on  $i$  breaks exact projective linearity for superpositions mixing different masses.  $\square$

Detailed componentwise cancellation and discussion of locality appear in Appendix L. Hence a single  $\hbar$  is forced by locality, separability of coordinate directions, and the requirement that one global complex structure linearises the reversible completion.

**Exchange statistics.** The Hamiltonian acts on the full configuration-space wavefunction  $\psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$  without specifying symmetry. Bosonic and fermionic statistics enter as superselection conditions on the domain of  $\psi$ , not as modified dynamics: antisymmetry of  $\psi$  automatically yields the effective Pauli pressure in marginal densities, while the underlying local Hamiltonian remains the same. Thus the same local Hamiltonian acts on bosonic or fermionic domains. Antisymmetry of  $\psi$  yields the familiar effective Pauli pressure in reduced marginals without modifying the bracket or the Fisher curvature.

## 12 Information-Geometric and Dimensional Necessity

---

### 12.1 Information-geometric closure

---

Fisher necessity is established variationally and algebraically; Fisher-Rao and Fubini-Study appear as consistency echoes rather than premises.

The Fisher functional is not only algebraically unique within the axiomatic framework but also geometrically selected by compatibility. On the statistical manifold of smooth,

normalised densities  $\mathcal{P} = \{\rho > 0, \int \rho = 1\}$ , the Fisher-Rao metric is

$$g_\rho(u, v) = \int \frac{u(x) v(x)}{4\rho(x)} dx,$$

which by Čencov's theorem is the Riemannian metric that is monotone under stochastic coarse-graining [24].

Embedding  $\mathcal{P}$  into the complex Hilbert space of quantum states via the Kähler map  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  sends Fisher-Rao to the Fubini-Study metric on rays [17, 18],

$$ds^2 = 4 \|d\psi\|^2 - 4 |\langle \psi | d\psi \rangle|^2,$$

endowing the  $\psi$ -representation with a Kähler structure. Under standard hypotheses on  $V$  (e.g.  $V$  Kato-small relative to  $-\Delta$  or  $V \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  with form bounds), the Schrödinger operator is self-adjoint on  $L^2$ , so Stone's theorem yields a one-parameter unitary group; see [19].

Within the symplectic form  $\omega = \int d\rho \wedge dS$ , the Fisher-Rao metric is the unique monotone choice whose pullback under  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  yields a Kähler pair  $(\omega, g)$  compatible with the Fubini-Study geometry on rays. Alternative information metrics fail this Kähler-compatibility test (the complex structure no longer intertwines  $\omega$  and  $g$ ), and the symplectic-Riemannian correspondence breaks. Related gradient-flow structures for quantum Markov semigroups offer a complementary dissipative-geometric view [25].

*Pointers.* Formal uniqueness within the framework is established in Appendix D; the coefficient determination and  $\alpha$ -scan protocol are in Appendix H with scripts in the code archive Appendix E; dissipative geometry and entropy production appear in Appendix M and the hydrodynamic mapping into a DG parametrisation is given in Appendix K.

## 12.2 Dimensional and scale argument

---

The term  $|\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2$  is the only local scalar quadratic in derivatives of  $\rho$  that (i) is dimensionally consistent with an energy density once multiplied by a constant of dimension  $[\hbar^2/(2m)]$ , (ii) is positive definite, and (iii) is homogeneous of degree one in  $\rho$  (equivalently  $|\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 = \frac{1}{4} |\nabla \rho|^2 / \rho$ ), matching the Fisher-Rao information geometry on the normalised manifold  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Explicitly,

$$[\rho] = L^{-d}, \quad [\nabla \sqrt{\rho}]^2 = L^{-d-2}.$$

Hence  $[\alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2] = [\alpha] L^{-d-2}$ . Matching the kinetic energy density scale  $[\rho |\nabla S|^2/(2m)] = M L^{2-d} T^{-2}$  requires

$$[\alpha] = M L^4 T^{-2} = [\hbar^2/(2m)].$$

With  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$  the free dispersion from (6.2) is  $\omega = \hbar k^2/(2m)$ , fixing the numerical scale consistently.

No other combination of  $\rho$  and its derivatives produces the energy dimension  $ML^2T^{-2}$  once multiplied by  $1/m$ . This locks  $\alpha$  to  $[\hbar^2/(2m)]$ , fixing the numerical factor in Eq. (6.2). Hence, dimensional consistency, positivity, and scale covariance jointly exclude all other curvature forms.

### 12.3 Variational self-consistency

---

The Fisher Hamiltonian (4.1) yields the standard (quantum) Cauchy stress tensor

$$\Pi_{ij} = \rho \frac{\partial_i S \partial_j S}{m^2} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} \left[ \partial_i \partial_j \rho - \frac{1}{2\rho} \partial_i \rho \partial_j \rho \right].$$

Direct differentiation gives

$$\partial_t(\rho v_i) + \partial_j \Pi_{ij} = -\frac{\rho}{m} \partial_i V + \left( \alpha - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \partial_i \left( \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right).$$

Thus the local momentum balance closes *if and only if*  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$ . Any other coefficient leaves a nonzero residual divergence, so the Fisher scale is dynamically fixed by conservation.

### 12.4 Reversibility and the Doeblner-Goldin class

---

A representative Doeblner-Goldin (DG) sector that preserves probability and Galilean covariance augments the Hamiltonian flow by a diffusive term, e.g.

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V \right] \psi + i\hbar D \left( \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \right) \psi \quad (\rho = |\psi|^2),$$

or, equivalently, yields the continuity law  $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = D \Delta \rho$  with  $v = \nabla S/m$  [4, 5, 26].

*Placement.* Within the DG family, the reversible sector is the  $D = 0$  corner singled out by Axiom V; this coincides with the Fisher-scaled Hamiltonian flow fixed by  $\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha$ .

**Proposition 12.1 (Entropy-production barrier to reversibility).** *Let  $\rho = |\psi|^2$  and  $v = \nabla S/m$ . Under periodic, fast-decay, or compatible Neumann/Dirichlet boundaries, the DG continuity law*

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v) + D \Delta \rho$$

*implies*

$$\frac{d}{dt} S_{\text{Sh}}[\rho] = \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \ln \rho dx = D \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} dx \geq 0.$$

*Hence time-reversal invariance (Axiom V) holds if and only if  $D = 0$ .*

See Appendix M for complete integration-by-parts and boundary verification.

Any measured  $\dot{S}_{\text{Sh}} > 0$  at fixed  $V$  falsifies reversible dynamics; the reversible corner is  $D = 0$ , coinciding with the Fisher-scaled Hamiltonian flow fixed by  $\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha$ .

Reversibility (*Axiom V*) holds only for  $D = 0$ , which corresponds exactly to the Fisher coefficient fixed by  $\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha$ . Any nonzero diffusion or nonlinear gauge term generates irreversible or nonlinear evolution. The Fisher value is thus the reversible fixed point of the DG family.

## 12.5 Numerical and empirical falsifiers

---

Realised in Tests 1, 2, and 5 (HJ  $\alpha$ -scan, continuity residual, Fisher EL); scripts `1_hj_residual_scan.py`, `2_continuity_residual.py`, `5_fisher_el.py` (code archive, Appendix E).

To make the proposition operationally falsifiable, we evaluate residuals of the continuity and Hamilton-Jacobi equations for numerical solutions of Eq. (6.2), and for perturbed values of  $\alpha \neq \hbar^2/2m$ . For an initial Gaussian wavepacket

$$\psi(x, 0) = (\pi\sigma_0^2)^{-1/4} \exp\left[-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} + ik_0x\right],$$

we scan  $\alpha$  and track the residual curves  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha)$ .

*Definitions and protocol.* Definitions of diagnostics, masking, the sign convention for  $\rho_t$ , and the residual metrics  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha)$ , together with the numerical protocol, are collected in Appendix N; scripts are in the code archive, Appendix E.

**Table 1:** Resolution and timestep convergence (free packet; unitary split-step). Small non-monotonicity at intermediate  $N$  can occur due to node masking and mixed space-time discretisation; the minimum value and the location of the  $\alpha$ -minimum remain stable.

$N$	$dt$	mean $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$	min $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$	$\alpha/\alpha_\star$ at min
4096	0.020	$4.5 \times 10^{-7}$	$\approx 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	1.00
8192	0.010	$2.0 \times 10^{-7}$	$\approx 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	1.00
16384	0.005	$6.1 \times 10^{-8}$	$\approx 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	1.00

**Table 2:** Galilean boost invariance of residual curve.

Boost $v_0$	min $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$	$\alpha/\alpha_\star$ at min	Comment
0.0	$\approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$	1.00	Baseline
1.5	$\approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$	1.00	Identical curve

**Table 3:** Harmonic oscillator ground state; scan of  $Q_\alpha$  with  $Q_\alpha = -\alpha \Delta \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho}$ .

For  $\alpha = \hbar^2/2m$ , both residuals remain at numerical floor. Perturbing  $\alpha \rightarrow (1 + \delta)\alpha$  increases  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$  linearly in  $|\delta|$  while  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$  stays unchanged, indicating that only the Fisher coefficient preserves reversibility. To stabilise the diagnostic numerically, residual norms were evaluated with a masked, mean-subtracted least-squares estimator and optional smoothing of  $S_t$ ; results are invariant under these choices.

This numerical behaviour directly reflects the Fisher-Bohm identity tested in recent analyses [7], which link the mean quantum potential  $\bar{Q}$  to the Fisher information  $I$  and predict the same reversible minimum at  $\alpha = \hbar^2/2m$ .

This aligns with scale-setting arguments tied to dispersion and quantum speed limits [27].

### 13 Discussion and Implications

---

Each uniqueness statement rests on well-known structural theorems: (1) in the Dubrovin-Novikov class, flatness plus locality and Euclidean covariance reduce first-order brackets to a Poisson-isomorphic flat, constant representative (eliminating derivative-coupled terms); (2) order preservation forces pointwise complexification; (3) quantum statistics arise as superselection sectors rather than new axioms. Within these constraints the resulting structure is minimal within the stated class and assumptions of the framework.

The analysis is local in nature: it classifies admissible first-order brackets on simply connected charts. Global topological features (vortices, nodal loops, spin) require additional structure but do not modify the local reversible completion shown above.

Within our admissible class, the Fisher-regularised Hamiltonian system is found as the only reversible completion of classical ensemble dynamics consistent with locality, conservation, Euclidean symmetry, and global U(1) phase symmetry. All other curvature forms break one or more of these constraints. Independent classifications of Hamiltonian structures are consistent with Fisher curvature being the unique first-derivative, positive scalar compatible with Euclidean covariance and reversibility within flat Dubrovin-Novikov brackets [15]. In this sense, within our axiomatic structural principles, quantum mechanics can be considered as a fixed point, with Planck's constant  $\hbar$  as a scale factor connecting the information-geometric curvature of probability space with the symplectic geometry of reversible dynamics.

Whereas classical hydrodynamics conserves phase-space volume, the Fisher term enforces reversible flow in probability-space geometry. This reframes quantisation as a geometrisation of information flow, providing a bridge between statistical and dynamical formalisms.

The Fisher necessity can now be tested directly. The projective superposition stress-test (Appendix G) quantifies linearity loss under controlled non-Fisher perturbations, and the entropy-production test (Appendix M) links reversibility to information-geometry contraction. Together they provide two orthogonal, reproducible diagnostics, one closed, one open, that isolate Fisher curvature as the unique point of structural stability between linearity, reversibility, and probability conservation within the framework.

### 13.1 Experimental falsification and universality tests

---

Our work suggests that Fisher-regularisation may not be a modelling convenience but a structural invariant. Any local, reversible, probability-preserving field dynamics on a continuum may generate the Fisher curvature term

$$\mathcal{Q}[\rho] = \alpha \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m},$$

within the stated axioms, as the only correction compatible with both linearity and zero entropy production within our admissible class. Its absence or modification necessarily leads to dissipation or non-unitarity. This makes the result directly falsifiable.

If an analog physical system in condensed matter, optics, hydrodynamics, or emergent computation realises a reversible "quantum-like" dynamics within the stated axioms (local first-order, Hamiltonian, Euclidean-covariant, global U(1)), then in its coarse-grained limit the effective Hamiltonian density should contain the Fisher term

$|\nabla\sqrt{\rho}|^2$  with coefficient  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$ . Measured deviations from this coefficient then signal a departure from at least one of those axioms (e.g. locality or reversibility).

Residual diagnostics from the continuity and Hamilton-Jacobi identities make this measurable. Define the *dimensionless* residuals

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}} = \frac{\left\langle |\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S/m)|^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle |\rho_t|^2 + |\nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S/m)|^2 \right\rangle}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}} = \frac{\left\langle |S_t + \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - \alpha \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}|^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle |S_t|^2 + \left| \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V \right|^2 + \left| \alpha \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right|^2 \right\rangle}.$$

Here  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes spatial averaging over the numerical grid or experimental field of view. Then  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$  sits at numerical floor (independent of  $\alpha$ ) for Schrödinger data, while  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$  achieves a consistent minimum at the Fisher value  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$ .

Analog systems can therefore be tuned experimentally to test whether  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$  reaches its reversible minimum at the Schrödinger value (see Appendix N for definitions and protocol).

In Bose-Einstein condensates and polaritonic fluids, the Gross-Pitaevskii energy already contains a "quantum pressure" term of Fisher form. Verifying that its coefficient equals  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$  (after extracting any interaction and trapping contributions) and that residuals indicate reversibility would support the universality class predicted here.

Reversible photonic waveguides and quantum cellular automata provide complementary synthetic tests, where coarse-graining or unit-cell averaging can be used to reconstruct the effective curvature functional. If the reversible continuum limit of any such system *fails* to reproduce the Fisher term, the present axioms are empirically falsified.

Within the assumed axioms (local first-order Hamiltonian flow, Euclidean covariance, global U(1), and minimal convex regularity), any alternative regulariser conflicts with at least one stated axiom. Observation of a Fisher-type curvature in multiple, otherwise unrelated, reversible analog systems would thus constitute experimental evidence that linear quantum mechanics is not a contingent microscopic law but a universal fixed point of reversible information flow. Conversely, its absence in a genuinely reversible

analog medium would falsify the present work and identify the limits of its scope.

**Scope and limitations.** All uniqueness claims in this paper are understood within Axioms I-VI; dropping any one axiom re-opens the theory space.

Strictly detached, and outside the paper's axiomatic scope and claims; for diligence, future orientation and diagnostics only, we include a *curvature guard* (Test 10; App. E) - a fixed-background unit check of the covariant Fisher variation  $\delta\mathcal{F}_g/\delta\rho = -\square_g \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho}$  (with the standard scalar freedom  $\xi R\rho$ ) and a sketch showing how our residual protocol ports to a linear Klein-Gordon dispersion check when the discrete symbol is respected.

## Conclusion

---

Within our minimal axioms, the admissible reversible hydrodynamics on  $(\rho, S)$  selects a single structure in the stated class. The bracket reduces to the canonical form, the only axiomatically compatible curvature is the Fisher functional, and the sole local gauge covariant complexification that linearises the flow is  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  with  $\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha$ . In many body form, linearity with one complex structure is compatible with a single Planck constant through  $\alpha_i = \hbar^2/(2m_i)$  componentwise.

Galilean covariance appears in full as the Bargmann central extension at the hydrodynamic level. Comparison with the Doebner-Goldin family identifies the reversible  $D = 0$  corner.

We have made our answer to the Converse Madelung Question falsifiable. Residual diagnostics for the continuity and Hamilton-Jacobi equations exhibit minima at the Fisher scale that are invariant under Galilean boosts, while departures in the coefficient or the addition of diffusion raise the Hamilton-Jacobi residual without affecting the continuity residual. These checks, together with the symmetry algebra and the many-body consistency, support the claim.

In this reading, the Schrödinger equation can be viewed as the reversible fixed point of Fisher-regularised information hydrodynamics. The identification links information geometry to quantum kinematics and suggests practical uses in numerical regularisation, variational principles, and the systematic testing of putative modifications.

## A Appendix: Boundary Classes

---

Under periodic boundaries  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^d$ , surface integrals vanish exactly. For  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$  with rapid decay, assume  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|\nabla S| \rightarrow 0$ , and  $|\nabla \sqrt{\rho}| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , so that all integrations by parts are justified.

For bounded  $\Omega$  with Dirichlet or Neumann pairs  $(R, S)$ , the continuity surface term

$$\oint_{\partial\Omega} \rho \nabla S \cdot n \, d\sigma$$

vanishes: in the Dirichlet case  $R|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  gives  $\rho|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ ; in the Neumann case  $\nabla S \cdot n = 0$ .

For the Fisher variation, write  $R = \sqrt{\rho}$  and note

$$\delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla R|^2 dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \frac{\Delta R}{R} \delta \rho \, dx + 2 \oint_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla R \cdot n) \delta R \, d\sigma.$$

The boundary term vanishes under either  $R|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$  (Dirichlet) or  $\nabla R \cdot n = 0$  (Neumann), so  $\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta\rho = -\Delta R/R$  is well defined in the weak sense on  $\{\rho > 0\}$ .

Parity-odd scalars in this scalar sector reduce to divergences  $\nabla \cdot J$  and integrate to zero under the stated boundary classes, supporting Axiom IV.

## B Appendix: Counterexamples to Axioms

---

For completeness we collect concise examples showing that omitting any axiom destroys reversibility, probability conservation, or linearity.

**Axiom I (Locality).** Allowing derivative dependence  $A_{ij}(u, \nabla u)$  produces third-order dispersive corrections and violates Jacobi closure.

**Axiom II (Phase Generator).** If  $\{S, C\} \neq -1$ , e.g.  $\{S(x), \rho(y)\} = 0$ , the global phase symmetry fails and total probability  $C = \int \rho \, dx$  is no longer conserved.

**Axiom III (Global U(1) Phase Symmetry).** Setting  $\{S, S\} \neq 0$  makes constant shifts in  $S$  dynamically active, spoiling phase invariance and destroying the linear  $\psi$ -map.

**Axiom IV (Euclidean Covariance).** Adding a preferred-direction term, e.g.  $\beta \nabla S \cdot \hat{n}$ , breaks isotropy and parity, violating energy covariance.

**Axiom V (Reversibility).** Adding a diffusive term to continuity,  $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S/m) = D \Delta \rho$  with  $D > 0$ , yields  $\frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \ln \rho \, dx = D \int |\nabla \rho|^2 / \rho \, dx \geq 0$ , breaking time-reversal invariance. Equivalently, keeping a Hamiltonian form but modifying the bracket

to  $\{\rho, S\} = a_0(\rho)\delta$  violates Jacobi unless  $a'_0(\rho) = 0$ , so the flow exits the Poisson (reversible) class when  $a_0$  varies with  $\rho$ .

**Axiom VI (Minimal Convex Regularity).** Any alternative  $f(\rho)$  in  $F[\rho] = \int f(\rho)|\nabla\rho|^2 dx$  leaves a residual nonlinear term in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation that no local transformation can remove; only  $f(\rho) \propto 1/\rho$  preserves reversibility.

## C Appendix: Jacobi Verification

---

For the canonical bracket (3.1) we compute the Jacobiator

$$J[F, G, H] = \{\{F, G\}, H\} + \text{cyclic}.$$

**Lemma C.1 (Distributional product rules).** For smooth  $f, g$  and the Dirac distribution  $\delta(x - y)$ ,

$$\partial_{x_i}(f(x)\delta(x - y)) = (\partial_{x_i}f)(x)\delta(x - y) - f(x)\partial_{y_i}\delta(x - y),$$

and symmetrically with  $x \leftrightarrow y$ . Moreover,  $\partial_{x_i}\delta(x - y) = -\partial_{y_i}\delta(x - y)$ .

For any test  $\varphi(x, y)$ ,

$$\langle \partial_{x_i}(f\delta), \varphi \rangle = -\langle f\delta, \partial_{x_i}\varphi \rangle = -\int f(x)\partial_{x_i}\varphi(x, x)dx = \int \left[ (\partial_{x_i}f)\varphi - f\partial_{y_i}\varphi \right]_{y=x} dx,$$

which yields the stated relations.

*Remark* (Numerical validation at nodal zeros). Although the work fixes  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$ , states with nodal zeros present distributional subtleties in  $Q_\alpha = -\alpha \Delta \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho}$ . To test robustness, we evaluated

$$R(c) = \|V + Q_c - E\|_{L^2(\rho)}, \quad Q_c = -c \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}},$$

for the first excited harmonic oscillator eigenstate with exact energy  $E$ . A small symmetric exclusion window around the node,  $|x| < \delta$ , removes the distributional spike and yields a sharp minimum at  $c = 1$  for all  $\delta \geq 0.05$ . *Thus the Fisher coefficient is numerically recovered once nodal singularities are treated in the distributional sense; the minimum location is stable under reasonable mask-width changes and discretisation refinements.*

Reproduced in Test 5 (Fisher EL necessity) with nodal masking (code archive, Appendix E).

**Jacobi condition for local brackets.** The special triple with two  $S$ 's is tautological once  $\{S, S\} = 0$ : the identity  $\{\rho, \{S, S\}\} + \text{cyclic} = 0$  reduces to  $0 \equiv 0$  and cannot constrain  $a_0(\rho)$ . For local, derivative-free brackets  $\{u^i, u^j\} = P^{ij}(u)\delta$ , Jacobi reduces

pointwise to

$$P^{i\ell} \partial_\ell P^{jk} + P^{j\ell} \partial_\ell P^{ki} + P^{k\ell} \partial_\ell P^{ij} = 0.$$

With  $P^{\rho S}(\rho) = a_0(\rho)$  the only nonzero derivative is  $\partial_\rho a_0$ , and the condition yields  $a_0 \partial_\rho a_0 = 0$ , hence either  $a_0 \equiv 0$  or  $a'_0(\rho) = 0$ . Axiom II excludes  $a_0 \equiv 0$ , so  $a'_0(\rho) = 0$ .

### Euclidean invariance and the form of $g_{ij}$

**Lemma C.2 (Isotropy of the regularising metric).** *Let the regulariser be local, first order, and quadratic in  $\nabla\rho$ ,*

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho] = \int g_{ij}(\rho, x) \partial_i \rho \partial_j \rho dx,$$

*with  $g_{ij}$  symmetric and positive. If the framework is invariant under spatial translations and rotations, and the global phase generator acts as  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$  without coupling to  $\rho$ , then*

$$g_{ij}(\rho, x) = a(\rho) \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for some positive scalar function } a(\rho).$$

*Proof.* Translation invariance removes explicit  $x$ -dependence, so  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(\rho)$ . Rotation invariance for all profiles forces  $g_{ij}(\rho)$  to transform as a scalar multiple of the identity (Schur's lemma for the defining  $SO(d)$  representation), hence  $g_{ij}(\rho) = a(\rho) \delta_{ij}$ . Global U(1) on  $S$  and first-order locality exclude dependence on  $S$  or derivatives of  $\rho$ .  $\square$

The specific form  $a(\rho) = C/\rho$  is then fixed by the Euler-Lagrange requirement that  $\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta\rho$  be a pure Laplacian quotient; see Appendix D.

*Proof.* Translation invariance: for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , invariance of  $\mathcal{F}$  under  $x \mapsto x + a$  implies  $g_{ij}(\rho, x)$  cannot depend explicitly on  $x$ , so  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(\rho)$ .

Rotation invariance: for any  $R \in SO(d)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \rho(R^{-1}x)$ , we require

$$\int g_{ij}(\rho) \partial_i \rho \partial_j \rho dx = \int g_{ij}(\tilde{\rho}) R_{ik} R_{jl} \partial_k \tilde{\rho} \partial_l \tilde{\rho} dx.$$

Since the equality must hold for all  $\rho$  and all  $R$ , Schur's lemma for the defining representation of  $SO(d)$  forces  $g_{ij}(\rho) = a(\rho) \delta_{ij}$ .

Phase generator decoupling: global U(1) acts as  $S \mapsto S + \text{const}$  with  $\rho$  fixed. Compatibility with the Hamiltonian structure and first order locality conflict with  $a$  depending on derivatives of  $\rho$  or on  $S$ . Thus  $a = a(\rho)$  only.

Constancy: if  $a$  varied with  $\rho$ , then under uniform rescalings on a connected set where  $\nabla\rho \neq 0$  the tensor  $a(\rho)\delta_{ij}$  would change value pointwise, contradicting strict rotational invariance of the quadratic form for arbitrary profiles. Equivalently, demanding that the quadratic form define the same inner product on gradient fields in every tangent space forces  $a$  to be a constant. Hence  $g_{ij} = \kappa \delta_{ij}$  with  $\kappa > 0$  by positivity.  $\square$

## D Appendix: Fisher-Curvature

---

We derive that  $f(\rho) \propto 1/\rho$  is the only positive, rotationally invariant local quadratic functional whose Euler-Lagrange derivative is a pure Laplacian quotient. Let

$$\mathcal{F}[\rho] = \int f(\rho) |\nabla \rho|^2 dx, \quad f > 0.$$

Performing a variation  $\rho \rightarrow \rho + \varepsilon \eta$  with compactly supported  $\eta$ , integration by parts yields

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \rho} = -2\nabla \cdot (f \nabla \rho) + f' |\nabla \rho|^2 = -2f \Delta \rho - f' |\nabla \rho|^2,$$

where  $f' \equiv df/d\rho$ . Write  $\rho = R^2$  and note  $\Delta \rho = 2|\nabla R|^2 + 2R\Delta R$ ,  $|\nabla \rho|^2 = 4R^2|\nabla R|^2$ . Substituting,

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \rho} = -4f R \Delta R - 4(f + \rho f') |\nabla R|^2.$$

For a pure Laplacian quotient the second term must vanish:  $f + \rho f' = 0 \Rightarrow f = C/\rho$ . Hence

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \rho} = -4C \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}.$$

The proportionality constant rescales the definition of  $\alpha$  in Eq. (4.1), establishing Fisher necessity. A numerical verification of this Euler-Lagrange identity is provided in Test 5 (code archive, Appendix E).

## E Appendix: Code Archive

---

- **Live Repository Link:** <https://github.com/feuras/Madelung-Question-Code-Archive>

Where possible, also bundled in paper source archive.

Each test is a single self-contained script with CLI flags and emits both human-readable logs and machine artefacts.

We use second-order finite differences or split-step FFT with periodic domains where appropriate, verified by grid-convergence toggles in the scripts.

### Test 1: HJ $\alpha$ -scan (Fisher scale).

*Supports result:* HJ  $\alpha$ -scan pins  $\alpha_\star = \hbar^2/(2m)$ ; minima at numerical floor. *Script:* `1_hj_residual_scan.py`. *Notes:* Uses  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha)$ ; Tables 1 and 3 give resolution and eigenstate checks.

### Test 2: Continuity identity (floor).

*Supports result:* Continuity identity holds;  $R_{\text{cont}} \approx 0$  on all benchmarks. *Script:* `2_continuity_residual.py`. *Notes:* Indicates  $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S/m) = 0$  to numerical floor for all  $\alpha$ .

### Test 3: DG diffusion and reversibility.

*Supports result:*  $D = 0$  reversible;  $D > 0$  gives  $\dot{H} = D I_F$  and matches PDE

to  $10^{-14}$ . *Script:* `3_entropy_production_DG.py`. *Notes:* Verifies  $dS_{\text{Sh}}/dt = D \int |\nabla \rho|^2 / \rho \, dx \geq 0$  and  $\dot{H}$  identity.

**Test 4: Quantised circulation (vorticity).**

*Supports result:*  $\oint v \cdot dl = \iint (\nabla \times v)_z \, dA = 2\pi n \hbar/m$  (quantised). *Script:* `4_circulation_quantisation.py`. *Notes:* Constructs nodal loops and measures integer circulation via line and area integrals.

**Test 5: Fisher EL necessity.**

*Supports result:* Only  $f(\rho) = C/\rho$  satisfies EL; alternatives blow up in residual. *Script:* `5_fisher_el.py`. *Notes:* Matches  $\delta \int |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 / \delta \rho = -\Delta \sqrt{\rho} / \sqrt{\rho}$ ; non-Fisher forms leave HJ residual.

**Test 6: Time-reversal involution.**

*Supports result:*  $K U(T) K U(T) = I$  at  $D = 0$ ; DG control breaks it by  $\sim 10^{12}$  in  $L^2$ . *Script:* `6_time_reversal_involution.py`. *Notes:*  $K$ : complex conjugation with  $t \mapsto -t$ ; quantifies involution defect under diffusion.

**Test 7: Bargmann-Galilean closure.**

*Supports result:*  $\{H, P\} = 0$ ,  $\{H, K\} = -P$ ,  $\{P, K\} = -m$  to machine floor. *Script:* `7_galilean_algebra.py`. *Notes:* Discrete functional bracket evaluation consistent with Sec. 7 and App. I.

**Test 8: Local complexifier.**

*Supports result:* Unique local complexifier  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  with  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$ . *Script:* `8_complexifier_rigidity.py`. *Notes:* Scans local  $F(\rho), G(S, \rho)$  ansätze; only polar map linearises with  $\alpha = \kappa^2/(2m)$ .

**Test 9: Projective superposition stress-test.**

*Supports result:* Indicates operationally that only the Fisher regulariser preserves exact projective linearity within our admissible class. For any non-Fisher local curvature, the superposition residual  $\mathcal{R} = \|\psi_\oplus - (\psi_1 + \psi_2)/\sqrt{2}\|_{L^2}$  grows monotonically with perturbation strength  $\beta$ , even under grid refinement. *Script:* `9_superposition_stress_test.py`. *Notes:* Two displaced Gaussian packets are evolved separately and jointly under linear and weakly nonlinear flows; the Fisher-regularised Schrödinger case yields  $\mathcal{R} < 10^{-10}$  to numerical floor, while all non-Fisher perturbations give finite  $\mathcal{R} > 0$ .

**Test 10: Scoping curved backgrounds for future research**

Records on fixed backgrounds the geometric identity  $\delta \mathcal{F}_g / \delta \rho = -\square_g \sqrt{\rho} / \sqrt{\rho}$  and the standard scalar freedom  $\xi R \rho$ ; shows that our residual methodology transports to linear Klein-Gordon when the discrete symbol is respected. No curved dynamics are claimed. **As noted strictly earlier, this test regards Scope and limitations only. It makes no claims regarding the central thesis.**

*Script:* `appendix_curvature_guard.py`.

Uses matched discrete adjoints so Fisher directional derivative checks hold to numerical precision in flat and conformal curved cases; shows  $\delta(\xi R \rho) / \delta \rho = \xi R$ ; demonstrates a small KG plane wave residual with grid aligned mode and discrete dispersion. Run with parameter "`-nonfisher drho2-rho2`" for non-Fisher counterexample.

## F Appendix: Conservation of Probability

---

*Constraint structure.* Normalisation  $C = \int \rho dx$  and the global  $S$ -shift it generates form a first-class pair; the reduced space is symplectic and the Jacobiator with  $C$  vanishes.

Integrating Eq. (5.1) and applying the boundary conditions yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \rho dx = - \int \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{m} \nabla S \right) dx = 0.$$

Thus the total probability is conserved. In the canonical bracket,  $C = \int \rho dx$  generates constant shifts of  $S$  via  $\{S, C\} = -1$  and is therefore not a Casimir.

Throughout we adopt the normalisation  $\int \rho dx = 1$  unless stated otherwise. The boundary classes in Appendix A ensure the surface term vanishes in all cases considered.

**Regularity and positivity.** For  $\rho \geq 0$  with  $\sqrt{\rho} \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}[\rho] = \int |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 dx$  is finite and strictly convex; its Euler-Lagrange derivative is well defined in  $H_{loc}^{-1}$ . Any other  $f(\rho)$  produces mixed  $|\nabla \rho|^2$  terms in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation and destroys reversibility.

Direct evaluation for Gaussian  $\rho(x) = e^{-x^2/\sigma^2}$  shows that  $\|\delta \mathcal{F}/\delta \rho + 4C \Delta \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^2}$  vanishes to machine precision only for  $f = C/\rho$ , indicating the analytic condition. Additionally verified by code in Appendix E.

## G Appendix: Projective Superposition Stress-Test

---

We operationalise the proposition that within our admissible class only the Fisher regulariser permits an exact linear complex structure. It probes whether superposition in the  $\psi$ -picture is preserved numerically and dynamically when the underlying hydrodynamic functional deviates from Fisher curvature.

**Setup.** Two displaced Gaussian packets,

$$\psi_{1,2}(x, 0) = \frac{1}{(\pi \sigma^2)^{1/4}} e^{-(x-x_{1,2})^2/(2\sigma^2)} e^{ip_{1,2}(x-x_{1,2})/\hbar},$$

are evolved both separately and jointly under a candidate evolution law. For the canonical Fisher choice the flow is linear Schrödinger evolution, while for comparison we add a small local positive curvature in the hydrodynamic energy that is not of Fisher form. In the  $\psi$ -picture this produces a real, state-dependent (hence nonlinear) but norm-preserving potential:

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + V(x) \right] \psi + U_\beta[\rho] \psi, \quad \rho = |\psi|^2,$$

with a representative choice

$$U_\beta[\rho] = \beta \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{(\rho + \varepsilon)^2},$$

which is the  $\psi$ -level image of adding a non-Fisher local quadratic in  $\nabla \rho$ . Other smooth, positive choices of  $U_\beta$  give the same qualitative outcome.

The diagnostic quantity is the *projective superposition residual*

$$\mathcal{R}(\beta) = \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\| \frac{\psi_\oplus(T)}{\|\psi_\oplus(T)\|_2} - e^{i\theta} \frac{\psi_1(T) + \psi_2(T)}{\|\psi_1(T) + \psi_2(T)\|_2} \right\|_2, \quad \psi_\oplus(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_1(0) + \psi_2(0)).$$

**Method.** We integrate with a high-order Strang split-step Fourier method on a large uniform grid, using harmonic confinement  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2x^2$ , domain  $[-L, L]$ ,  $N = 4096-8192$  points, and double precision. Each run is repeated on a refined grid  $(2N, dt/2)$  to verify convergence. The script `9_superposition_stress_test.py` in Appendix E automates this with CSV and PNG output.

**Results.** For  $\beta = 0$  (the Fisher-regularised Schrödinger case)  $\mathcal{R}$  converges to  $< 10^{-10}$ , limited only by numerical noise. For any  $\beta > 0$  the residual grows monotonically with  $\beta$  and does not vanish under grid refinement or phase optimisation, establishing that projective superposition fails even under infinitesimal non-Fisher perturbations. The same behaviour was observed for alternative choices of  $U_\beta$  built from other smooth, positive functions of  $\rho$  and  $\nabla \rho$ . The figure below shows the measured trend.

**Table 1:** Projective superposition residuals  $\mathcal{R}$  for base and refined grids. The Fisher (linear) case converges to numerical zero; any non-Fisher curvature ( $\beta > 0$ ) yields a finite residual independent of refinement.

Model / $\beta$	Base grid	Refined grid
Linear ( $\beta = 0$ )	$6.2 \times 10^{-14}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-13}$
Nonlinear ( $\beta = 0.005$ )	$1.65 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.08 \times 10^{-1}$
Nonlinear ( $\beta = 0.01$ )	$8.41 \times 10^{-1}$	1.41
Nonlinear ( $\beta = 0.02$ )	1.40	1.41
Nonlinear ( $\beta = 0.05$ )	1.41	1.41

**Interpretation.** This indicates that the Fisher curvature sustains linearity in the  $\psi$ -picture. All other local positive functionals generate cross-gradient couplings that violate projective additivity. Hence the empirical condition

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{R}(\beta) = 0 \quad \text{only if} \quad \text{the curvature density is proportional to } |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \text{ equivalently } f(\rho) = \frac{C}{\rho}.$$

constitutes an independent falsifier of non-Fisher dynamics. This closes the experimental triangle between reversibility, linear superposition, and Fisher curvature.

All statements are to be read within the admissible class.

**Masking and convergence.** Residuals were evaluated with a smooth mask  $\chi(\rho) = \mathbf{1}_{\rho>\epsilon}$  to avoid division by small  $\rho$  near nodes. We observe fourth-order spatial convergence for  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$  under grid refinement, and a sharp minimum of  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$  at  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$  under  $\alpha$ -scans, stable to changes in  $N$ ,  $L$ , and  $\Delta t$ . A masked, mean-subtracted least-squares estimator gives identical minima within numerical precision.

## H Appendix: Determination of the Fisher Coefficient

---

With  $\mathcal{H}[\rho, S] = \int (\rho|\nabla S|^2/(2m) + V\rho + \alpha|\nabla\sqrt{\rho}|^2)dx$  and the canonical bracket, Galilean covariance requires that under a uniform boost  $S \mapsto S + mv_0 \cdot x - \frac{1}{2}mv_0^2t$ ,  $\rho$  unchanged, the equations of motion retain form. Substituting the transformation into (5.1) fixes the kinetic prefactor  $\rho/(2m)$  and shows that boost covariance is compatible with any constant  $\alpha > 0$  at this stage. Identifying the scale then proceeds in two steps: (i) dimensional analysis gives  $[\alpha] = [\hbar^2/m]$  so that  $\alpha|\nabla\sqrt{\rho}|^2$  has energy density units; and (ii) matching free-particle dispersion, or equivalently minimising the Hamilton-Jacobi residual  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha)$  on Schrödinger data, selects  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$ .

Using the free-packet diagnostics described in Sec. 12.5, define

$$R_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha) = \left\| S_t + \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - \alpha \frac{\Delta\sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right\|_{L^2(\rho>0)}.$$

Scanning  $\alpha/\alpha_\star$  with  $\alpha_\star = \hbar^2/(2m)$  for Gaussian initial data yields a sharp minimum at  $\alpha = \alpha_\star$ , stable across the resolutions and boosts tested  $S \mapsto S + mv_0x$ . This identifies the reversible Fisher value  $\alpha = \hbar^2/(2m)$  within the stated axioms.

Verified numerically in Test 1 (HJ  $\alpha$ -scan) and Test 2 (continuity residual) (code archive, Appendix E).

## I Appendix: Bargmann Central Extension and Mass Superselection

---

Let

$$H = \int \left[ \frac{\rho|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V\rho + \alpha|\nabla\sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right] dx, \quad P = \int \rho \nabla S dx, \quad K = m \int \rho x dx - t P$$

be the energy, momentum, and boost generators on  $(\rho, S)$  with the canonical bracket (3.1). A direct computation gives the Bargmann (Galilean) algebra

$$\{P_i, P_j\} = 0, \quad \{H, P_i\} = 0, \quad \{H, K_i\} = -P_i, \quad \{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx,$$

exhibiting the central charge  $m$  through the nontrivial cocycle in  $\{P_i, K_j\}$ . Normalising  $\int \rho dx = 1$  yields  $\{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij}$ .

Here  $\{H, P_i\} = 0$  holds provided  $V$  has no explicit spatial dependence, and all brackets are evaluated under the boundary classes of Appendix A.

**Mass superselection.** In the  $\psi$ -representation, boosts act (in one dimension for clarity) by

$$\psi(x, t) \mapsto \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} mux - \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{mu^2}{2} t\right) \psi(x - ut, t),$$

which depends on the mass parameter  $m$ . Superpositions of different masses transform with inequivalent projective phases and therefore cannot be unitarily implemented within a single irreducible ray representation; this is the mass superselection rule. The hydrodynamic generators above reproduce the same central extension, so mass superselection is already encoded at the  $(\rho, S)$  level.

**Operational falsifier.** Define the Hamilton-Jacobi residual (free case) for a candidate coefficient  $\alpha$  by

$$R_\alpha = \partial_t S + \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - \alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}.$$

For evolutions generated by the linear Schrödinger equation with  $\hbar^2 = 2m\alpha_\star$ , the residual  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha)$  is minimised at  $\alpha = \alpha_\star$  and rises monotonically with  $|\alpha - \alpha_\star|$ , while the continuity residual remains at numerical floor. Any DG diffusion  $D \neq 0$  drives  $dS_{\text{Sh}}/dt > 0$  and therefore exits the reversible class.

## J Appendix: Galilean Covariance Verification

---

We define  $P_i = \int \rho \partial_i S dx$  and  $K_i = m \int \rho x_i dx - tP_i$ . With the canonical bracket  $\{S(x), \rho(y)\} = -\delta(x - y)$  (so  $\{F, G\} = \int (\delta F / \delta \rho) \delta G / \delta S - \delta F / \delta S \delta G / \delta \rho dx$ ) and fields in the boundary classes of Appendix A (so surface terms vanish), the generators satisfy

$$\{P_i, P_j\} = 0, \quad \{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx, \quad \{H, K_i\} = -P_i$$

In general one has

$$\{H, P_i\} = - \int \rho \partial_i V dx,$$

so  $\{H, P_i\} = 0$  precisely when  $V$  is translation invariant.

If  $\int \rho dx = 1$  (probability normalisation), this reduces to  $\{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij}$ .

Here  $\{H, P_i\} = 0$  holds provided  $V$  has no explicit spatial dependence, all integrations by parts use the boundary classes of Appendix A, and  $K_i$  is well defined under the finite first moment condition  $\int (1 + |x|) \rho dx < \infty$ .

The alternative convention  $K_i^{\text{old}} = tP_i - m \int \rho x_i dx$  flips the sign of both  $\{H, K_i\}$  and the central term in  $\{P_i, K_j\}$ , leaving the algebra isomorphic.

Define the Hamiltonian, momentum, and boost generators as

$$H = \int \left[ \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V\rho + \alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right] dx, \quad P = \int \rho \nabla S dx, \quad K = m \int \rho x dx - tP.$$

With the bracket (3.1), the functional derivatives are

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta S} = -\nabla \cdot \left( \rho \frac{\nabla S}{m} \right), \quad \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} = \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - \alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}},$$

and

$$\frac{\delta K}{\delta S} = +t \nabla \rho, \quad \frac{\delta K}{\delta \rho} = -t \nabla S + m x.$$

**Hamilton equations check.** Using the canonical local bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \int \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta \rho} \frac{\delta G}{\delta S} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta S} \frac{\delta G}{\delta \rho} \right) dx,$$

we recover

$$\partial_t \rho = \{\rho, H\} = -\nabla \cdot \left( \rho \frac{\nabla S}{m} \right), \quad \partial_t S = \{S, H\} = -\frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} - V + \alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}},$$

which reproduce the continuity and Fisher-regularised Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

**Boost generator bracket.** Substituting the functional derivatives above into the canonical bracket (3.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \{H, K_j\} &= \int \left( \frac{\delta H}{\delta \rho} \frac{\delta K_j}{\delta S} - \frac{\delta H}{\delta S} \frac{\delta K_j}{\delta \rho} \right) dx \\ &= \int \left[ \left( \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - \alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right) (t \partial_j \rho) - \left( -\nabla \cdot \left( \rho \frac{\nabla S}{m} \right) \right) (m x_j - t \partial_j S) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equations of motion (5.1),  $\partial_t S = -(\frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - \alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}})$  and  $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \frac{\nabla S}{m})$ , this becomes:

$$\{H, K_j\} = \int [(-\partial_t S)(t \partial_j \rho) - (\partial_t \rho)(m x_j - t \partial_j S)] dx = \int [-t(\partial_t S \partial_j \rho) - m x_j (\partial_t \rho) + t(\partial_t \rho \partial_j S)] dx.$$

The  $t$ -dependent terms are  $t \int (\partial_t \rho \partial_j S - \partial_t S \partial_j \rho) dx = t \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \partial_j S dx = t \frac{dP_j}{dt}$ . This vanishes for a translation-invariant potential, as  $\{P_j, H\} = 0$ . The remaining term is:

$$\{H, K_j\} = -m \int x_j (\partial_t \rho) dx = -m \int x_j \left( -\nabla \cdot \left( \rho \frac{\nabla S}{m} \right) \right) dx = \int x_j \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla S) dx.$$

Integration by parts (vanishing boundary flux) yields:

$$\{H, K_j\} = - \int \nabla(x_j) \cdot (\rho \nabla S) dx = - \int (\nabla x_j) \cdot (\rho \nabla S) dx = - \int \rho \partial_j S dx = -P_j.$$

**Central term  $\{P_i, K_j\}$  (direct computation).** Using

$$\frac{\delta P_i}{\delta \rho} = \partial_i S, \quad \frac{\delta P_i}{\delta S} = -\partial_i \rho, \quad \frac{\delta K_j}{\delta S} = t \partial_j \rho, \quad \frac{\delta K_j}{\delta \rho} = -t \partial_j S + m x_j,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_i, K_j\} &= \int \left[ (\partial_i S) (t \partial_j \rho) - (-\partial_i \rho) (-t \partial_j S + m x_j) \right] dx \\ &= t \int (\partial_i S \partial_j \rho - \partial_i \rho \partial_j S) dx - m \int x_j \partial_i \rho dx. \end{aligned}$$

The antisymmetric  $t$ -term is a total divergence and integrates to zero under the boundary classes. Integrating the last term by parts gives

$$\{P_i, K_j\} = -m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx,$$

which reduces to  $-m \delta_{ij}$  under  $\int \rho dx = 1$ .

**Galilean algebra.** Thus  $\{H, K\} = -P$ , while  $\{H, P\} = 0$  from translational invariance. The remaining brackets  $\{P_i, P_j\} = 0$  and  $\{K_i, P_j\} = -\{P_j, K_i\} = m \delta_{ij} \int \rho dx$  follow immediately, realising the Bargmann (Galilean) algebra with central charge  $m$ ; under  $\int \rho dx = 1$  this is  $\{K_i, P_j\} = m \delta_{ij}$ . Hence the canonical bracket and Fisher-regularised Hamiltonian are exactly Galilean covariant under the stated conditions.

## K Appendix: Local Complexifier Rigidity

---

We show that among all local, pointwise, invertible, gauge-covariant maps

$$\psi = F(\rho) e^{i G(S, \rho)} \quad \text{with } F > 0,$$

the transformation that linearises the reversible hydrodynamic system (5.1) into

$$i\kappa \partial_t \psi = \left( -\frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \Delta + V \right) \psi$$

is (up to constant phase and scale)

$$F(\rho) = c \sqrt{\rho}, \quad G(S, \rho) = \frac{S}{\kappa} + \text{const},$$

with the Fisher coefficient fixed by  $\alpha = \kappa^2/(2m)$ .

**Assumptions.** Locality means zeroth order in derivatives of  $(\rho, S)$ . Gauge covariance encodes global U(1) on  $S$  as  $S \mapsto S + \sigma$  implying  $G(S + \sigma, \rho) - G(S, \rho)$  is independent of  $x$ . Invertibility requires  $F > 0$  and  $G_S \neq 0$  almost everywhere.

**Step 1. Phase-gradient matching fixes  $G_S$ .** Write  $R = \sqrt{\rho}$  and  $v = \nabla S/m$ . From (5.1) we have

$$\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v), \quad \partial_t S = -\frac{m}{2}v^2 - V + \alpha \frac{\Delta R}{R}.$$

Differentiate  $\psi = F(\rho)e^{iG}$ :

$$\partial_t \psi = e^{iG} (F'(\rho) \partial_t \rho + iF(\rho) \partial_t G), \quad \nabla \psi = e^{iG} (F'(\rho) \nabla \rho + iF(\rho) \nabla G).$$

Linearity of the target PDE forbids any quadratic or higher dependence on the state variables beyond what occurs inside  $\psi$  and  $\nabla \psi$ . The only vector available at first order is  $\nabla S$ . Thus  $\nabla G$  must be proportional to  $\nabla S$  with a state-independent proportionality. Since  $G$  is local and gauge-covariant, this implies

$$G_S = \text{const} = \kappa^{-1}, \quad G(S, \rho) = \frac{S}{\kappa} + \Gamma(\rho) + \text{const}.$$

Gauge covariance forces  $\Gamma$  to be a constant, hence  $\Gamma'(\rho) = 0$  and we set  $\Gamma \equiv 0$ .

**Step 2. Amplitude matching fixes  $F'/F = 1/(2\rho)$ .** Using  $G_S = \kappa^{-1}$ ,

$$\partial_t G = \frac{1}{\kappa} \partial_t S, \quad \nabla G = \frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla S.$$

Compute  $-\frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \Delta \psi$  using

$$\Delta \psi = e^{iG} \left[ F'' |\nabla \rho|^2 + F' \Delta \rho + 2iF' \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla G + iF \Delta G - F |\nabla G|^2 \right].$$

Collect the terms proportional to  $|\nabla S|^2$ . In the target linear equation, the only occurrence of  $|\nabla S|^2$  arises through  $-\frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \Delta \psi$  acting on the  $e^{iG}$  factor, which yields precisely  $-\frac{1}{2m} |\nabla S|^2 \psi$ . All other contributions proportional to  $|\nabla S|^2$  must cancel. The mixed piece  $2iF' \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla G$  and the scalar piece  $-F |\nabla G|^2$  combine with the time derivative term  $i\kappa \partial_t \psi$ . Balancing the  $\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla S$  dependence yields

$$\frac{F'}{F} = \frac{1}{2\rho} \Rightarrow F(\rho) = c \sqrt{\rho}.$$

**Step 3. Curvature matching fixes  $\alpha = \kappa^2/(2m)$ .** With  $F = cR$  and  $G = S/\kappa$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i\kappa \partial_t \psi}{\psi} &= i\kappa \left( \frac{R_t}{R} + \frac{i}{\kappa} S_t \right) = i\kappa \frac{R_t}{R} - S_t, \\ -\frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \frac{\Delta \psi}{\psi} &= -\frac{\kappa^2}{2m} \left( \frac{\Delta R}{R} + 2 \frac{i}{\kappa} \frac{\nabla R}{R} \cdot \nabla S + \frac{i}{\kappa} \Delta S - \frac{1}{\kappa^2} |\nabla S|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Use  $R_t = -\frac{1}{2} R \nabla \cdot v - v \cdot \nabla R$  from continuity and  $S_t = -\frac{m}{2}v^2 - V + \alpha \frac{\Delta R}{R}$ . The imaginary parts cancel if and only if the continuity equation holds, which it does by construction.

The real parts reduce to

$$-S_t - \frac{1}{2m} |\nabla S|^2 - V + \left( \frac{\kappa^2}{2m} - \alpha \right) \frac{\Delta R}{R} = 0.$$

Therefore linearity demands

$$\boxed{\alpha = \frac{\kappa^2}{2m}}.$$

Hence the only axiomatically admissible local, invertible, gauge-covariant complexifier is  $\psi = c\sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\kappa}$  with the Fisher scale fixed as above. Setting  $\kappa = \hbar$  reproduces (6.2).

**Node handling.** All equalities are meant on the positivity set  $\{\rho > 0\}$  and extend in the weak sense using test functions, with the quotient  $\Delta R/R$  interpreted distributionally. This is consistent with Appendix C and the boundary classes in Appendix A.

## L Appendix: Single Planck Constant Across Sectors

---

Consider the  $N$ -body Hamiltonian on  $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \int \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\rho |\nabla_i S|^2}{2m_i} + V(\{x_j\}) \rho + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i |\nabla_i \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_N,$$

with the canonical bracket on the single pair  $(\rho, S)$  defined over configuration space. Assume one local complexifier  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{iS/\hbar}$  linearises the flow into

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \Delta_i + V \right] \psi.$$

**Proposition L.1 (Componentwise cancellation implies a single  $\hbar$ ).** Linearity under a single, local and gauge-covariant complex structure forces

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \quad \text{for every } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Repeating the single-particle calculation componentwise, the real part of the transformed equation yields

$$-S_t - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{|\nabla_i S|^2}{2m_i} - V + \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \alpha_i - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \right) \frac{\Delta_i \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} = 0.$$

Since the derivatives  $\nabla_i$  act on independent coordinates and the map uses a single  $\hbar$ , each coefficient multiplying  $\Delta_i \sqrt{\rho}/\sqrt{\rho}$  must vanish separately to avoid residual nonlinearities. Hence  $\alpha_i = \hbar^2/(2m_i)$  for all  $i$ .

Locality and separability of coordinate directions are essential. Any attempt to repair a mismatch by particle-dependent rephasings would break gauge covariance and the

single complex structure on configuration space. Exchange symmetry is imposed at the level of the state space and does not affect the argument.

## M Appendix: Entropy Production and the Reversible Corner

---

For completeness we reproduce the entropy calculation in full detail. Let the continuity law include a diffusion term  $\partial_t \rho = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v) + D \Delta \rho$ ,  $v = \nabla S/m$ ,  $D \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Shannon entropy  $S_{\text{Sh}}[\rho] = \int \rho \ln \rho dx$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_{\text{Sh}}}{dt} &= \int (1 + \ln \rho) \rho_t dx = - \int (1 + \ln \rho) \nabla \cdot (\rho v) dx + D \int (1 + \ln \rho) \Delta \rho dx \\ &= \int \rho v \cdot \nabla(\ln \rho) dx - D \int \nabla(\ln \rho) \cdot \nabla \rho dx \\ &= \int \rho \nabla \cdot v dx + D \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Under time reversal  $t \mapsto -t$ ,  $v \mapsto -v$ , the first term flips sign while the second does not. Hence invariance requires  $D = 0$ , isolating the reversible corner. All boundary integrals vanish under the classes of Appendix A, ensuring mathematical closure.

## N Appendix: Operational Falsifiers

---

**Diagnostics.** Given  $\psi$  evolving by (6.2), define

$$\rho = |\psi|^2, \quad j = \frac{\hbar}{m} \Im(\psi^* \nabla \psi), \quad v = \frac{j}{\rho}, \quad Q_\alpha = -\alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \quad S_t = -\Re\left(\frac{H\psi}{\psi}\right).$$

Residuals

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}} = \frac{\langle |\rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho v)|^2 \rangle}{\langle |\rho_t|^2 + |\nabla \cdot (\rho v)|^2 \rangle}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha) = \frac{\left\langle \left| S_t + \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V - Q_\alpha \right|^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle |S_t|^2 + \left| \frac{|\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V \right|^2 + |Q_\alpha|^2 \right\rangle}$$

are evaluated on  $\{\rho > \varepsilon\}$  with a smooth mask to avoid nodal artefacts.

**Sign convention.** With  $i\hbar \partial_t \psi = H\psi$  and  $H = H^\dagger$ ,

$$\partial_t \rho = \psi_t^* \psi + \psi^* \psi_t = \frac{2}{\hbar} \Im(\psi^* H \psi),$$

which is the positive sign used in the body text and here.

**Protocol.** Periodic domain, split-step Fourier propagation for generating  $\psi(t)$ , fourth-order finite differences for diagnostics, and fixed grids across scans of  $\alpha$ . Under

refinement,  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cont}}$  sits at numerical floor for all  $\alpha$ , while  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}(\alpha)$  attains a minimum at

$$\alpha = \alpha_\star = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}$$

independently of Galilean boosts  $\psi \mapsto e^{iv_0 \cdot x} \psi$ . Harmonic oscillator ground state checks give  $\|V + Q_\alpha - E_0\|_{L^2(\rho)}$  minimised at  $\alpha_\star$ .

**Implemented in Tests 1-2 and 5 (code archive, Appendix E).**

**Reversible corner.** For Doebner-Goldin diffusion  $\rho_t = -\nabla \cdot (\rho v) + D\Delta\rho$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \ln \rho \, dx = D \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} \, dx \geq 0,$$

so time-reversal invariance holds only for  $D = 0$ . This agrees with the minimum of  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{HJ}}$  at  $\alpha_\star$ .

## O Appendix: Variational Consistency Check

---

Consider the total energy functional

$$E[\rho, S] = \int \left( \frac{\rho |\nabla S|^2}{2m} + V\rho + \alpha |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 \right) dx.$$

Differentiation gives

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \int \left( \frac{\delta E}{\delta \rho} \dot{\rho} + \frac{\delta E}{\delta S} \dot{S} \right) dx = \int \left( \frac{\delta E}{\delta \rho} \{\rho, H\} + \frac{\delta E}{\delta S} \{S, H\} \right) dx = \{E, H\} = 0,$$

so the Hamiltonian is conserved exactly.

If  $V = V(x, t)$  carries explicit time dependence, then  $\dot{H} = \{H, H\} + \int \rho \partial_t V \, dx = \int \rho \partial_t V \, dx$ , as usual. Throughout we assume  $V$  time independent unless stated otherwise.

## Acknowledgements

---

We thank acquaintances for valuable discussions, feedback and cross-checks. No external funding was received. All work was produced independently without affiliation. Author's email is contact@nomogenetics.com.

To Eun-Seong, may every August be like the last.

## References

---

- [1] Madelung, E. Quantentheorie in hydrodynamischer Form. *Z. Phys.* **40**, 322-326 (1927).
- [2] Bohm, D. A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden" variables. I and II. *Phys. Rev.* **85**, 166-179, 180-193 (1952).
- [3] Hall, M. J. W., and Reginatto, M. Schrödinger equation from an exact uncertainty principle. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35**, 3289-3303 (2002).
- [4] Doebner, H.-D., and Goldin, G. A. On a general nonlinear Schrödinger equation admitting diffusion currents. *Phys. Lett. A* **162**, 397-401 (1992).
- [5] Doebner, H.-D., and Goldin, G. A. Introducing nonlinear gauge transformations in a family of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 3764-3771 (1996).
- [6] Holland, P. R. *The Quantum Theory of Motion: An Account of the de Broglie-Bohm Causal Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1993).
- [7] Bloch, Y., and Cohen, E. Identification of mean quantum potential with Fisher information leads to a strong uncertainty relation. *arXiv:2210.07732 [quant-ph]* (2022).
- [8] Fisher, R. A. Theory of statistical estimation. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **22**, 700-725 (1925).
- [9] Reginatto, M. Derivation of the equations of nonrelativistic quantum mechanics using the principle of minimum Fisher information. *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 1775-1793 (1998).
- [10] Takabayasi, T. On the hydrodynamical representation of quantum mechanics. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **8**, 143-182 (1952).
- [11] Nelson, E. Derivation of the Schrödinger equation from Newtonian mechanics. *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 1079-1085 (1996).
- [12] Caticha, A. *Entropic Inference and the Foundations of Physics*. São Paulo: USP Press (2012). *arXiv:1212.6934*.
- [13] Dubrovin, B. A., and Novikov, S. P. Hamiltonian formalism of one-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type, and the Bogolyubov-Whitham averaging method. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **270**(4), 781-785 (1983).
- [14] Novikov, S. P. The Hamiltonian formalism and a many-valued analogue of Morse theory. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **39**(5), 1-76 (1984).
- [15] Pavlov, M. V., Vergallo, P., and Vitolo, R. Classification of bi-Hamiltonian pairs extended by isometries. *arXiv:2011.04073 [math-ph]* (2021). V, *arXiv:2011.04073 [math-ph]* (2021).

- [16] Figueroa-O’Farrill, J. M., Pekar, S., Pérez, A., and Prohazka, S. Galilei particles revisited. *arXiv:2401.03241* [hep-th] (2024).
- [17] Kibble, T. W. B. Geometrisation of quantum mechanics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **65**, 189-201 (1979).
- [18] Anandan, J., and Aharonov, Y. Geometry of quantum evolution. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 1697-1700 (1990).
- [19] Kato, T. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. 2nd edn. Berlin: Springer (1995).
- [20] Fabbri, L. Madelung structure of the Dirac equation. *arXiv:2505.05861* [math-ph] (2025).
- [21] Yang, J. M. Quantum mechanics based on information metrics for vacuum fluctuations. *arXiv:2302.14619* [quant-ph] (2024).
- [22] Yang, J. M. Quantum scalar field theory based on an extended least action principle. *arXiv:2310.02274* [hep-th] (2024).
- [23] Yahalom, A. Dirac theory as a relativistic flow. *Entropy* **26**, 971 (2024).
- [24] Čencov, N. N. *Statistical Decision Rules and Optimal Inference*. Providence: American Mathematical Society, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 53 (1982). D, *Statistical Decision Rules and Optimal Inference*. Providence: American Mathematical Society, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 53 (1982).
- [25] S, J. Gradient flow and entropy inequalities for quantum Markov semigroups with detailed balance. *J. Funct. Anal.* **273**, 1810-1869 (2017).
- [26] Diósi, L. Models for universal reduction of macroscopic quantum fluctuations. *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 1165-1174 (1989).
- [27] Giovannetti, V., Lloyd, S., and Maccone, L. Quantum limits to dynamical evolution. *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 052109 (2003).
- [28] Lieb, E. H., and Loss, M. *Analysis*. 2nd edn. Providence: American Mathematical Society, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 14 (2001).
- [29] de Broglie, L. La nouvelle dynamique des quanta. In *Électrons et Photons: Rapports et Discussions du Cinquième Conseil de Physique Solvay*. Paris: Gauthier-Villars (1928).