**CYBERSECURITY ANALYST INVESTIGATES A** CRITICAL ALERT WITH SCENARIO **EXAMPLES AND SIMULATIONS** 

BY IZZMIER IZZUDDIN

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| UNUSUAL DNS TUNNELING ACTIVITY DETECTED                               | 5    |
|---|------|
| Step 1: Alert Details and Initial Investigation                       | 5    |
| Step 2: Incident Response Escalation                                  | 6    |
| Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis  | 6    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                                       | 7    |
| Step 5: Final Steps and Documentation                                 | 7    |
| UNUSUAL BEACONING ACTIVITY DETECTED (SUSPECTED C2 COMMUNICATION)      | 8    |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis                             | 8    |
| Step 2: Initial Containment   | 8    |
| Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis  | 9    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                                       | 10   |
| Step 5: Documentation and Reporting                                   | 10   |
| UNAUTHORISED CLOUD STORAGE UPLOAD DETECTED                            | 11   |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis                             | 11   |
| Step 2: Initial Containment   | 11   |
| Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis  | 12   |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                                       | 13   |
| Step 5: Documentation and Reporting                                   | 13   |
| DNS TUNNELING DETECTED  | 15   |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis                             | 15   |
| Step 2: Initial Containment   | 15   |
| Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis  | 16   |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                                       | 17   |
| Step 5: Documentation and Reporting                                   | 17   |
| SHADOW IT DETECTED - UNAUTHORISED CLOUD STORAGE USAGE                 | 19   |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis                             | 19   |
| Step 2: Initial Containment   | 19   |
| Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis  | 20   |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                                       | 20   |
| Step 5: Documentation and Reporting                                   | 21   |
| ADVANCED PERSISTENT THREAT (APT) ACTIVITY DETECTED - UNAUTHORISED DON | 1AIN |
| FRONTING  | 22   |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                           | 22   |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                   | 22   |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                           | 23   |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                                       | 24   |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                       | 24   |
| DATA EXFILTRATION VIA COVERT CHANNEL DETECTED                         | 26   |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                           | 26   |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                   |      |

| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            | 27 |
|--|----|
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  | 28 |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        | 28 |
| SQL INJECTION DETECTED IN CUSTOMER WEB PORTAL                          | 30 |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                            |    |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                    |    |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            |    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  |    |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        |    |
| DISTRIBUTED DENIAL-OF-SERVICE (DDOS) ATTACK                            | 34 |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                            |    |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                    |    |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            |    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  |    |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        |    |
| •  |    |
| PHISHING CAMPAIGN DETECTED   |    |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                            |    |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                    |    |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            |    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  |    |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        | 39 |
| <b>VULNERABILITY EXPLOITATION IN CLOUD ENVIRONMENT</b>                 | 40 |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                            | 40 |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                    | 40 |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            | 41 |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  | 41 |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        | 42 |
| EXPLOITATION OF THIRD-PARTY VULNERABILITY                              | 43 |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                            | 43 |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                    |    |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            |    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  |    |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        |    |
| IOT DEVICE COMPROMISE IN CORPORATE NETWORK                             | 46 |
| Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment                            |    |
| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions                                    |    |
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation                            |    |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery  |    |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions                        |    |
| •  |    |
| SOCIAL ENGINEERING ATTACK  Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment |    |
| STON 1. VIOLE ROVION and Initial Veccement                             | 49 |

| Step 2: Initial Containment Actions             | 49 |
|---|----|
| Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation     | 50 |
| Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery                 | 50 |
| Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions | 51 |

# UNUSUAL DNS TUNNELING ACTIVITY DETECTED

## **Alert Details**

Alert Name: Unusual DNS Tunneling Activity Detected

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

• **Generated by:** SIEM (QRadar)

• **Source:** DNS Logs + Threat Intelligence Integration

Affected Asset: FIN-SERVER-002 (Finance Department Server)

• User Associated: Service Account svc-finance

• Time of Detection: 10:00 AM

# **Step 1: Alert Details and Initial Investigation**

#### 1. Review Alert Information

- **Description:** DNS tunneling involves encoding data of other programs or protocols in DNS queries and responses. The alert was triggered because:
  - Multiple DNS queries were observed for domains with high entropy (e.g., xy3rf7d6gq8[.]xyz) indicating potential tunneling.
  - The queries were consistent with a known malicious behavior profile in the threat intelligence database.

# • Sources of Suspicion:

- Outbound DNS traffic volume is 10 times higher than usual.
- Destination domains are not on any allowlist and are flagged in threat intelligence as associated with malware.
- o The activity originated from a high-value target: the Finance server.

#### 2. Immediate Checks

# • Check SIEM Dashboard:

Query DNS logs for FIN-SERVER-002:

SELECT source\_ip, destination\_ip, domain, timestamp FROM dns\_logs WHERE source\_ip = '192.168.10.5' AND timestamp BETWEEN '09:45:00' AND '10:00:00';

- Results:
  - 200+ unique DNS queries to suspicious domains in the past 15 minutes.
  - Examples: xy3rf7d6gq8[.]xyz, kl8pz9mf[.]net.
- Verify Threat Intelligence Correlation:

o The queried domains match signatures of **"DNSpionage" malware** from the internal threat database.

# 3. Validate the Asset's Criticality

### FIN-SERVER-002 Details:

- o A high-priority finance server hosting payroll data.
- o Compromise risk is **high** due to sensitive data exposure.

# **Step 2: Incident Response Escalation**

# 1. Notify the SOC Team

- An immediate message is sent to the SOC manager and Incident Response (IR) lead.
- SLA status: **Critical** (Remaining time: 12 minutes).

# 2. Containment Measures Initiated

- Apply firewall block rules for suspicious domains to halt DNS communication:
  - ufw deny out to any port 53
- Isolate the server from the network via NAC policy enforcement.

# **Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis**

# 1. Investigate the Root Cause

## Analyse DNS Logs:

- Verify the payload size in DNS queries exceeds normal limits (~500 bytes per query).
- Check for encoded patterns:
  - Query: base64 -d <payload> reveals file paths and credentials being exfiltrated.

## Endpoint Logs:

- Correlate with EDR telemetry:
  - Malware executable found: dns\_tunnel\_agent.exe.
  - First executed by svc-finance at 09:42 AM.

# 2. Threat Actor Behavior Profiling

 Match with known Indicators of Compromise (IoCs) from the threat intelligence feed. • Confirmed: IoCs align with APT34 (a known cyber-espionage group).

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

## 1. Neutralise Threat

- **Malware Removal:** Push antivirus updates and initiate EDR remediation to remove dns\_tunnel\_agent.exe.
- Service Account Action: Disable svc-finance account temporarily.

# 2. System Recovery

- Perform disk imaging for forensic analysis.
- Restore the server from a known clean backup.

# **Step 5: Final Steps and Documentation**

# 1. Communicate the Findings

- Notify stakeholders (Finance team, IT admin) of the issue and containment status.
- Escalate the incident to the Threat Hunting team for further analysis of lateral movement.

# 2. Create a Detailed Incident Report

# **Incident Summary:**

- Type: DNS Tunneling Attack.
- **Source:** APT34-aligned malware using svc-finance service account.
- Impact: Potential exfiltration of financial data. Immediate containment successful.

- 1. Isolated the server and blocked malicious domains.
- 2. Removed malware and disabled compromised account.
- 3. Restored the server to a clean state...

# UNUSUAL BEACONING ACTIVITY DETECTED (SUSPECTED C2 COMMUNICATION)

## **Alert Details**

• Alert Name: Unusual Beaconing Activity Detected (Suspected C2 Communication)

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

• **Generated by:** SIEM (Splunk)

• Source: Network Traffic Logs + Intrusion Detection System (IDS)

• Affected Asset: ENG-LAP-014 (Engineer's Laptop)

• User Associated: izzat@company.com

• Time of Detection: 2:00 PM

# Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis

## 1. Review Alert Details

- **Description:** The SIEM triggered an alert for consistent outbound traffic to an external IP 103.45.76.89 every 60 seconds, resembling beaconing behavior.
- Sources of Suspicion:
  - External IP flagged in threat intelligence as linked to a known Command-and-Control (C2) server used by the "CarbonStrike" malware.
  - o Persistent traffic pattern detected (small packets, exact intervals).
  - The asset (ENG-LAP-014) is flagged due to sensitive access levels (design files for an ongoing project).

# 2. Verify Asset Criticality

## Asset Details:

 Engineer's laptop is part of the R&D team and has access to intellectual property (IP) related to a proprietary project.

# **Step 2: Initial Containment**

# 1. Escalate to SOC and IR Team

- Inform SOC Manager and Incident Response Lead of a potential active threat targeting critical intellectual property.
- SLA status: **Critical** (Remaining time: 12 minutes).

# 2. Immediate Containment Actions

- **Block External Communication:** Apply firewall rules to block outbound traffic to IP 103.45.76.89.
- Isolate Asset from Network: Use NAC policies to quarantine ENG-LAP-014.

# **Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis**

## 1. Analyse Network Traffic

# Query SIEM:

Analyse logs to understand the extent of communication:

```
SELECT timestamp, source_ip, dest_ip, packet_size
FROM network_logs
WHERE source_ip = '192.168.20.14' AND dest_ip = '103.45.76.89';
```

- o Results:
  - Outbound traffic every 60 seconds since 1:30 PM.
  - Payload size is consistent (512 bytes), indicating potential encoded data.
- Capture Network Packets: Use Wireshark to decode payloads. Findings:
  - Encoded data matches Base64 patterns.
  - Decoded payload reveals exfiltrated file names (project\_blueprint\_v1.pdf, prototype\_data.xlsx).

## 2. Endpoint Analysis

- **Scan for Malware:** EDR detects a suspicious executable (taskhostx.exe) running in the background.
- **Execution Path:** C:\Users\Alex\AppData\Roaming\taskhostx.exe.
- Execution Timeline: Created at 12:45 PM and executed at 12:46 PM.

# 3. Match Indicators of Compromise (IoCs)

- IoCs Correlation:
  - o 103.45.76.89 → Matches CarbonStrike C2 IP.
  - o taskhostx.exe → Hash matches a known malicious sample in VirusTotal.

# 4. Investigate Initial Infection Vector

- Email Logs: Check for suspicious emails sent to izzat@company.com. Findings:
  - Phishing email received at 12:30 PM with subject: "Updated Project Timeline."
  - Malicious attachment: project\_timeline.docx containing a macro that drops taskhostx.exe.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

## 1. Eradicate Malware

- Terminate Processes: Kill taskhostx.exe via EDR console.
- **Delete Malicious Files:** Remove files and associated registry keys.

# 2. Disable Compromised Account

• Temporarily disable izzat@company.com to prevent further misuse.

# 3. Recover Asset

Perform a full re-image of ENG-LAP-014 to ensure no residual malware.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Reporting**

# 1. Communicate Findings

• Notify stakeholders, including the Engineering Manager and IT Admin, about the containment and next steps.

# 2. Document Full Incident Report

# **Incident Summary:**

- **Type:** C2 Beaconing and Exfiltration.
- **Source:** taskhostx.exe dropped by a malicious email attachment.
- Impact: Potential exfiltration of sensitive project files.

- 1. Blocked C2 communication and quarantined the asset.
- 2. Removed malware and restored the system.
- 3. Disabled compromised account temporarily.

## UNAUTHORISED CLOUD STORAGE UPLOAD DETECTED

## **Alert Details**

Alert Name: Unauthorised Cloud Storage Upload Detected

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

• **Generated by:** SIEM (Splunk) + Cloud Security Monitoring Tool (AWS GuardDuty)

• Source: Outbound Data Exfiltration Rule Violation

Affected Asset: FIN-SRV-002 (Finance Server)

User Associated: iffah@company.com

• Time of Detection: 11:00 AM

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis**

#### 1. Review Alert Details

• **Description:** The SIEM triggered an alert for unusual data upload activity from the Finance Server (FIN-SRV-002) to an unauthorised cloud storage bucket hosted on Amazon S3 (s3://malicious-bucket123).

# • Sources of Suspicion:

- Data transfer exceeded the baseline threshold of 10MB per hour, reaching 500MB in 5 minutes.
- o S3 bucket not listed in the company's approved AWS resources.
- Suspicious domain malicious-bucket123.s3.amazonaws.com flagged by AWS GuardDuty.

# 2. Verify Asset Criticality

#### Asset Details:

- Finance server hosts sensitive financial data, including payroll, tax filings and client payment records.
- Impact of compromise: High, given the nature of stored data and compliance regulations (e.g., GDPR, SOX).

# **Step 2: Initial Containment**

# 1. Escalate to SOC and Incident Response Team

• Notify SOC Manager and Incident Response Lead about a possible exfiltration attempt on sensitive financial data.

## 2. Immediate Containment Actions

### Block Network Traffic:

 Use firewall policies to block outbound traffic to the S3 bucket's IP address and domain.

# • Quarantine Server:

 Temporarily isolate FIN-SRV-002 from the network to prevent further data transfer.

# **Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis**

# 1. Investigate Network Traffic

# Query SIEM Logs:

```
SELECT timestamp, source_ip, dest_ip, file_size, protocol
FROM network_logs
WHERE source_ip = '10.10.10.20' AND dest_ip = '52.216.100.89';
```

- Findings:
  - Large file uploads (e.g., payroll\_2023.xlsx, client\_financials\_2023.pdf)
     between 10:50 AM and 10:55 AM.
  - Destination IP belongs to AWS infrastructure linked to the suspicious S3 bucket.

# 2. Investigate Server Activity

- Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR):
  - o Detects execution of a script (upload\_script.py) under the user account iffah.
  - Execution Path: C:\Users\JaneDoe\Documents\Scripts\upload\_script.py.

## 3. Investigate User Behavior

- **Last Login:** User iffah logged in at 10:45 AM from an external IP address 198.51.100.25 (unrecognised).
- **GeoIP Check:** Originates from a foreign country not associated with the employee.
- Credential Misuse: Suggests account compromise.

# 4. Match Indicators of Compromise (IoCs)

- IoCs identified:
  - S3 bucket: malicious-bucket123.
  - Script name: upload script.py.
  - External IP: 198.51.100.25.
  - o Files Exfiltrated: Financial data, employee payroll.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

## 1. Disable User Account

• Immediately disable the account iffah to prevent further misuse.

# 2. Remove Malicious Script

Use EDR to terminate and delete upload\_script.py.

# 3. Audit Uploaded Data

• Perform a quick audit using AWS CloudTrail logs to verify which files were uploaded:

aws s3api list-objects --bucket malicious-bucket123

- Files detected in the bucket:
  - payroll\_2023.xlsx.
  - client\_financials\_2023.pdf.

# 4. Notify Cloud Provider

• Contact AWS Security to freese access to the bucket and request a takedown.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Reporting**

# 1. Communicate Findings

- Inform the Finance Team and Compliance Officer about the incident.
- Notify the company's Data Protection Officer (DPO) to assess regulatory implications.

# 2. Document Full Incident Report

# **Incident Summary:**

- Type: Unauthorised Cloud Data Exfiltration.
- Source: Compromised user credentials and malicious script execution.
- Impact: Partial data exfiltration (payroll and financial records).

- 1. Blocked unauthorised uploads to AWS S3.
- 2. Quarantined affected server.
- 3. Disabled compromised user account and removed malicious script.

| 4. Engaged AWS Security to freese the unauthorised bucket. |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## **DNS TUNNELING DETECTED**

## **Alert Details**

Alert Name: DNS Tunneling Detected

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

Generated by: SIEM (Splunk) + Network Threat Detection Tool

• **Source:** High Volume of DNS Queries to Rarely Seen Domains

Affected Host: ENG-SRV-004 (Engineering Server)
 User Associated: service\_account@company.com

• Time of Detection: 14:00

# Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis

#### 1. Review Alert Details

• **Description:** SIEM flagged abnormal DNS query behavior originating from ENG-SRV-004. Over 1,000 DNS queries to suspicious domains (abcd[.]example[.]com) in the past 10 minutes.

## Source of Concern:

- Rare Domain: The domain abcd.example.com has no known association with the organisation.
- Query Pattern: Repeated queries with randomised subdomains (e.g., xyz123.abcd.example.com).
- Usage: DNS tunneling often facilitates covert data exfiltration or C2 communication.

# 2. Verify Asset Criticality

#### Asset Details:

- Engineering server hosts intellectual property, including product designs and patents.
- Data exfiltration could compromise competitive advantage and lead to legal issues

## **Step 2: Initial Containment**

# 1. Escalate to SOC and Incident Response Team

 Notify SOC Manager and Incident Response Lead about potential DNS tunneling activity and affected server.

## 2. Immediate Containment Actions

#### Restrict Network Access:

 Block outbound DNS traffic from ENG-SRV-004 to abcd.example.com using firewall rules.

#### Isolate Host:

o Place ENG-SRV-004 in quarantine to prevent further data leakage.

# **Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis**

# 1. Investigate Network Traffic

# Query SIEM Logs:

SELECT timestamp, source\_ip, dest\_ip, query\_name, query\_type, response\_size FROM dns\_logs

WHERE source\_ip = '10.10.50.15'

AND query\_name LIKE '%.abcd.example.com';

# Findings:

- Over 1,000 queries to abcd.example.com within 10 minutes.
- Queries include randomised subdomains, indicating potential tunneling.
- Responses carry encoded data (response sizes vary between 300–600 bytes).

# 2. Analyse Host Activity

# • Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR):

- Detects a suspicious process (dns\_tunnel.exe) running under service account.
- o File hash flagged by VirusTotal as associated with DNS tunneling malware.
- Malware path: C:\Temp\dns\_tunnel.exe.

# 3. Investigate User Account

# Account Behavior:

- o service account is a non-human account used for scheduled tasks.
- No scheduled tasks should generate DNS traffic from this host.
- o Indicates compromise of the service account.

# 4. Match Indicators of Compromise (IoCs)

#### loCs Identified:

- Domain: abcd.example.com.
- File hash: Known malware sample dns\_tunnel.exe.

Account: service\_account.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

# 1. Disable Compromised Account

• Disable service\_account to prevent further misuse.

## 2. Terminate Malicious Process

Use EDR to terminate dns\_tunnel.exe and delete the file.

#### 3. Block Malicious Domain

• Update DNS filtering rules to block abcd.example.com and its subdomains across the organisation.

## 4. Review Exfiltrated Data

• Analyse the DNS queries and payloads to identify potentially exfiltrated data:

```
# Example script to decode Base64 payloads import base64 encoded_payload = "dGhpcyBpcyBhIHNlY3JldCBkYXRhIGZyYWdtZW50" decoded_payload = base64.b64decode(encoded_payload).decode('utf-8') print(decoded_payload)
```

o Result: Decoded data includes filenames such as patent designs.docx.

# 5. Notify Relevant Teams

• Inform the Engineering team and Legal/Compliance teams about the potential compromise.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Reporting**

# 1. Incident Report Summary

**Incident Type:** DNS Tunneling for Data Exfiltration.

Affected Asset: ENG-SRV-004.

Compromised Account: service\_account.

Impact: Potential exposure of intellectual property.

- 1. Blocked outbound DNS traffic to malicious domain.
- 2. Isolated the affected server.
- 3. Disabled compromised account.
- 4. Terminated malicious process and removed malware.

# SHADOW IT DETECTED - UNAUTHORISED CLOUD STORAGE USAGE

#### **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Shadow IT Detected Unauthorised Cloud Storage Usage
- Severity: Critical
- SLA: 15 minutes
- Generated by: SIEM + CASB (Cloud Access Security Broker)
- **Source:** Traffic to unauthorised cloud storage service (shadydrive[.]com)
- Affected Host: HR-LAPTOP-024
- User Associated: izzmier@company.com (HR Manager)
- Time of Detection: 10:45

# Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Analysis

### 1. Review Alert Details

# • Description:

- CASB flagged suspicious uploads to shadydrive[.]com from an HR employee's laptop.
- o Over 500 MB of data transferred in the past 30 minutes.

# Initial Indicators of Concern:

- o Domain (shadydrive.com) is not whitelisted or part of approved services.
- o Significant data transfer volume is abnormal for HR personnel.

# 2. Verify Asset and User Context

# Asset Details:

 HR Laptop containing employee records, payroll data and other sensitive information.

## User Details:

 izzmier@company.com has elevated privileges for accessing sensitive HR files.

# **Step 2: Initial Containment**

# 1. Escalate to Incident Response Team

 Notify SOC Manager and escalate to Incident Response Team due to potential data exfiltration.

# 2. Immediate Containment Actions

#### Block Network Access:

• Use firewall or CASB to block outbound traffic to shadydrive.com.

# Isolate Host:

 Quarantine HR-LAPTOP-024 to prevent further uploads or external communication.

# Step 3: Deep Dive Analysis

# 1. Investigate Network Logs

# Query SIEM Logs:

```
SELECT timestamp, source_ip, dest_ip, file_name, file_size
FROM network_logs
WHERE dest_ip = '192.168.200.50' AND dest_domain = 'shadydrive.com';
```

# Findings:

- Upload of multiple files: payroll\_2025.xlsx, employee\_benefits.docx, HR\_Audit.pdf.
- Cumulative upload size: ~500 MB.

# 2. Investigate Host Activity

# • Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR):

- Active process: Unauthorised file-sharing application (shadydrive uploader.exe).
- o File path: C:\Users\Mary\Downloads\shadydrive\_uploader.exe.

# 3. Investigate User Actions

## HR Access Logs:

- Review logs for sensitive file access by izzmier:
  - Files accessed: Payroll data, employee benefits and audit records in the last 24 hours.
- Unusual Behavior: User accessed these files at odd hours (midnight).

# 4. Validate Indicators of Compromise (IoCs)

# • loCs Identified:

- Domain: shadydrive.com.
- Application: shadydrive\_uploader.exe.
- o Files: Sensitive HR documents.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

### 1. Disable User Account

 Temporarily disable izzmier@company.com to prevent further unauthorised access.

#### 2. Terminate Malicious Process

Kill the shadydrive\_uploader.exe process using EDR.

# 3. Remove Unauthorised Application

Delete the application and associated files from the host.

# 4. Forensic Analysis

- Create a snapshot of the system for further forensic analysis.
- Hash the uploaded files for future tracking and investigation.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Reporting**

# **Incident Report Summary**

**Incident Type:** Unauthorised Cloud Storage Usage (Shadow IT) for Data Exfiltration.

Affected Asset: HR-LAPTOP-024.

Compromised User: izzmier@company.com.

**Impact:** Potential exposure of payroll and employee data.

- 1. Blocked outbound traffic to unauthorised cloud storage service.
- 2. Isolated the affected laptop.
- 3. Disabled the user account.
- 4. Removed unauthorised file-sharing application.

# ADVANCED PERSISTENT THREAT (APT) ACTIVITY DETECTED - UNAUTHORISED DOMAIN FRONTING

# **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) Activity Detected Unauthorised Domain Fronting
- Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes
- Generated by: IDS + SIEM + Threat Intelligence Platform
- **Source:** Inbound/Outbound traffic utilising a legitimate CDN (Content Delivery Network) for malicious communication.
- **Affected Host:** DEV-SRV-101 (Development Server hosting proprietary applications).
- Time of Detection: 13:25

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

## 1. Review Alert Details

# • Description:

- IDS flagged anomalous encrypted communication from DEV-SRV-101 to a benign-looking domain cdn-legitimate[.]com, associated with APT campaigns.
- High entropy in traffic suggests potential tunneling or encrypted commandand-control (C2) communication.

# Key Indicators of Suspicion:

- Domain (cdn-legitimate[.]com) flagged by Threat Intelligence for domain fronting activities linked to known APT groups.
- Traffic volume and patterns mimic beaconing behavior (e.g., periodic short bursts).

#### 2. Correlate Asset and Context

## Host Details:

 DEV-SRV-101: A critical asset with access to proprietary application source code and development tools.

# Potential Impact:

 Exfiltration of intellectual property or introduction of malicious code into the development pipeline.

# **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

### 1. Escalate Incident to IR Team

 Notify SOC Manager and escalate to the Incident Response Team due to APT-level threat indicators.

#### 2. Immediate Containment Actions

#### Block Communication:

o Use firewall and IDS to block outbound traffic to cdn-legitimate[.]com.

# Isolate Host:

Quarantine DEV-SRV-101 using EDR to halt any ongoing communication.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

# 1. Analyse Network Traffic

# • Traffic Analysis via SIEM:

Query traffic logs:

```
sql
SELECT timestamp, source_ip, dest_ip, dest_port, protocol, data_size
FROM network_traffic
WHERE source_ip = '10.10.20.101'
AND dest_domain = 'cdn-legitimate.com';
```

# Findings:

- Outbound traffic every 15 seconds over port 443.
- Data packets with high entropy indicating encrypted payloads.

# 2. Investigate Host Activity

# EDR Investigation:

- Active process: svchost.exe running under an unusual directory (C:\Temp\) and spawning periodic outbound connections.
- New file created: C2-agent.dll in C:\Temp\.

# 3. Analyse Threat Intelligence

## • Threat Feed Lookup for Domain:

- o cdn-legitimate[.]com confirmed as a C2 domain used by APT-29.
- Related IoCs include:
  - File hash: d2e5f55bfa8c9e3120efc2b51a089e77 (matches C2-agent.dll).

 Encrypted payload mimics known tunneling techniques (domain fronting).

# 4. Analyse Logs for Lateral Movement

- SIEM Analysis for Lateral Connections:
  - o Check for any RDP, SMB or other connections originating from DEV-SRV-101.
  - Findings:
    - Lateral connections detected to DEV-SRV-103 and DB-SRV-05.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

## 1. Disable Host Communication

 Ensure DEV-SRV-101 remains quarantined and unable to reach any internal or external systems.

# 2. Terminate Malicious Processes

• Use EDR to kill svchost.exe and delete associated malicious files (C2-agent.dll).

# 3. Investigate Lateral Impact

Quarantine DEV-SRV-103 and DB-SRV-05 for further analysis.

## 4. Enhance Network Rules

- Block all traffic to cdn-legitimate[.]com across the organisation.
- Deploy enhanced IDS rules to flag high-entropy traffic patterns.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

**Type:** APT Activity - Domain Fronting

**Affected Assets:** 

Primary: DEV-SRV-101

• Secondary: DEV-SRV-103, DB-SRV-05

# Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):

Domain: cdn-legitimate[.]com

File Hash: d2e5f55bfa8c9e3120efc2b51a089e77

• Malicious Process: C2-agent.dll executed by svchost.exe.

- 1. Blocked outbound communication to the malicious domain.
- 2. Quarantined affected systems (DEV-SRV-101, DEV-SRV-103, DB-SRV-05).
- 3. Terminated malicious processes and removed malicious files.

# DATA EXFILTRATION VIA COVERT CHANNEL DETECTED

## **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Data Exfiltration via Covert Channel Detected
- Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes
- Generated by: NDR (Network Detection and Response) + SIEM Correlation Rules
- Source: Rogue IoT device communicating with an unknown external IP over DNS.
- Affected Host: Unregistered IoT device on the corporate network (IoT-Unknown-37).
- Time of Detection: 14:15

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

## 1. Review Alert Details

# • Description:

- Unusual spike in DNS traffic from IoT-Unknown-37 (not part of the corporate asset inventory).
- NDR flagged repeated DNS queries with suspicious subdomain patterns indicative of data exfiltration.
- o Traffic directed to malicious[.]domain.

## 2. Context Analysis

## Device Profile:

- MAC address indicates a generic IoT sensor, likely connected to the guest or insecure VLAN.
- Device is bypassing established network segmentation policies.

# Potential Impact:

- Stealthy exfiltration of sensitive information.
- Use of DNS as a covert channel to avoid traditional monitoring tools.

# 3. Verify Business Relevance

# Action Taken:

- Cross-reference the MAC address in asset inventory and CMDB.
- o **Finding:** No record exists. The device is unauthorised.

# **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

## 1. Escalate Incident

 Notify SOC Manager and incident response stakeholders of a possible rogue IoT device being used for data theft.

## 2. Contain the Threat

## Action Taken:

- Quarantine the rogue device using NAC (Network Access Control) to block all network traffic from IoT-Unknown-37.
- Apply DNS sinkhole rules in the firewall to block access to malicious[.]domain.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

# 1. Investigate DNS Queries

DNS Query Patterns (SIEM Query):

```
SELECT timestamp, source_ip, query_name
FROM dns_logs
WHERE source_ip = '192.168.10.237';
```

- Findings:
  - High volume of DNS queries with dynamically generated subdomains:
    - abcd1234.malicious[.]domain
    - efgh5678.malicious[.]domain
  - Pattern suggests DNS tunneling using Base64-encoded data.

## 2. Decode DNS Payload

- Action Taken:
  - Extract subdomain values and decode them:

```
import base64
data = "abcd1234" # Example subdomain
decoded = base64.b64decode(data)
print(decoded.decode('utf-8'))
```

 Decoded Data: Partial document fragments containing internal IPs and login credentials.

# 3. Network Traffic Analysis

PCAP Review (NDR):

- Outbound DNS queries contain packet payloads larger than standard DNS requests.
- No legitimate traffic from the IoT device prior to the anomaly.

## Key Indicators:

 DNS queries match known tunneling toolkits used by threat actors (e.g., lodine or DNScat2).

# 4. Investigate Device Origin

# MAC Address Lookup:

- Manufacturer: Generic IoT vendor.
- Deployment in unauthorised areas, likely plugged in by an insider or unauthorised personnel.

#### 5. Cross-Check External Domain

## • Threat Intelligence Analysis:

- malicious[.]domain associated with known threat actors conducting IoTbased attacks.
- Domain registered less than 30 days ago.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

# 1. Remove Rogue IoT Device

Physically locate and disconnect IoT-Unknown-37 from the network.

# 2. Threat Neutralisation

Continue DNS sinkhole operation and monitor for residual traffic patterns.

# 3. Validate System Integrity

- Review logs for signs of lateral movement or additional compromised devices.
- Conduct vulnerability scans on the VLAN to identify potential risks.

# 4. Notify Affected Stakeholders

Inform asset owners and IT team to enforce stricter IoT access policies.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: Data Exfiltration via Rogue IoT Device.
- Affected Assets: None directly compromised, but potential insider negligence or malicious intent detected.

# **Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):**

- Domain: malicious[.]domain
- DNS Query Pattern: Dynamically generated subdomains (Base64 encoded).
- MAC Address: Unregistered IoT device.

- 1. Quarantined rogue device.
- 2. Blocked malicious domain at DNS level.
- 3. Physically removed unauthorised IoT device.

# **SQL INJECTION DETECTED IN CUSTOMER WEB PORTAL**

#### **Alert Details**

• Alert Name: SQL Injection Attempt Detected

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

• Generated by: WAF (Web Application Firewall) + SIEM Correlation Rules

• **Source IP:** 185.143.223.99

• Target URL: https://customer-portal.example.com/login

• Time of Detection: 15:00

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

# 1. Review Alert Details

# • Description:

- Multiple SQL injection attempts detected from a single source IP targeting the login endpoint.
- o Malicious payloads identified in the HTTP POST parameters.
- WAF blocked several requests with the signature: SQL Injection UNION SELECT.

# 2. Context Analysis

# Potential Impact:

 If successful, the attacker could access sensitive customer data, manipulate the database or execute administrative commands.

# 3. Verify Business Relevance

## Action Taken:

- Confirm the target is a live production web application handling customer data.
- o **Finding:** The web portal is critical to business operations and the database contains Personally Identifiable Information (PII).

## **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

## 1. Escalate Incident

Notify SOC Manager, application owner and database administrator (DBA).

## 2. Contain the Threat

### Action Taken:

- o Use WAF to temporarily block the offending IP address (185.143.223.99).
- o Enable enhanced SQL injection protection rules across the application.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

# 1. Review WAF Logs

WAF Logs (Sample):

[Time: 14:58] POST /login HTTP/1.1

User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0

Payload: username=admin'--&password=123456

Result: BLOCKED

[Time: 14:59] POST /login HTTP/1.1

Payload: username=admin' UNION SELECT 1,2,3--&password=123456

Result: BLOCKED

# Findings:

- Multiple SQL injection payloads targeting the username field.
- The attacker attempted common patterns, including UNION SELECT and comment-based SQL injection (--).

# 2. Database Logs

Query database logs for suspicious activity:

```
SELECT * FROM logs
WHERE query LIKE '%--%'
OR query LIKE '%UNION SELECT%'
OR query LIKE '%admin%';
```

## Findings:

- No successful malicious queries detected.
- WAF successfully blocked all attempts before they reached the database.

# 3. Correlate Threat Intelligence

- Search for the IP (185.143.223.99) in a threat intelligence database:
  - Finding:
    - The IP is linked to previous SQL injection campaigns targeting financial institutions.

# 4. Investigate Source IP

- Perform reverse DNS lookup and geo-location for 185.143.223.99:
  - Location: Known proxy service provider in Eastern Europe.
  - o **Risk:** High likelihood of being used by attackers for anonymisation.

# 5. Analyse Application Vulnerability

- Verify if the login endpoint has proper sanitisation and parameterised queries.
  - Action Taken: Conduct quick static code analysis:
    - **Finding:** The username field is not properly sanitised, making it vulnerable to injection.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

# 1. Patch the Vulnerability

- Collaborate with the development team to:
  - Implement parameterised queries in the affected endpoint.
  - o Add input validation to prevent malicious payloads.

# 2. Update WAF Rules

Enhance WAF rules to block specific SQL injection signatures more effectively.

# 3. Monitor and Validate

• Continue monitoring the application for further suspicious activity.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: SQL Injection Attempt.
- Affected Endpoint: https://customer-portal.example.com/login.
- **Source:** Malicious IP address (185.143.223.99).

# **Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):**

- IP Address: 185.143.223.99
- SQL Injection Payloads:
  - o admin'--
  - UNION SELECT 1,2,3--

- 1. Blocked malicious IP address via WAF.
- 2. Identified and patched the vulnerable endpoint.
- 3. Updated WAF rules for enhanced protection.

# **DISTRIBUTED DENIAL-OF-SERVICE (DDOS) ATTACK**

## **Alert Details**

• Alert Name: DDoS Attack Detected on Web Server

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

• Generated by: IDS/IPS + SIEM Correlation

• **Source IPs:** Multiple (suspected botnet traffic)

• Target: https://api.customer-service.example.com

• Time of Detection: 14:00

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

# 1. Review Alert Details

# • Description:

- Sudden spike in traffic targeting the /api/login endpoint on the customer service API server.
- o Traffic exceeds normal thresholds, with over 10,000 requests per second.

# 2. Context Analysis

# Potential Impact:

- o API unavailability for legitimate users.
- Degraded performance or a full system crash if unmitigated.

# 3. Verify Business Relevance

# Action Taken:

- o Confirm the API endpoint is business-critical for customer support.
- Finding: This API handles authentication for over 1 million daily users.

# **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

## 1. Escalate Incident

Notify SOC Manager, DevOps and network engineering teams.

#### 2. Contain the Threat

# • Immediate Actions Taken:

- Redirect suspicious traffic to a sinkhole.
- Apply rate limiting at the firewall and load balancer.

 Activate mitigation features in the DDoS protection system (e.g., Cloudflare, AWS Shield).

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

# 1. Traffic Analysis

- Inspect traffic patterns using SIEM and network monitoring tools:
  - Observations:
    - Requests are originating from over 500 IPs globally.
    - Common User-Agent strings used by bots.
    - Large volume of HTTP GET and POST requests targeting /api/login.

# 2. Threat Intelligence Correlation

- Query source IPs against threat intelligence databases:
  - Findings:
    - Many IPs are flagged as part of known botnets (e.g., Mirai).

# 3. Check for Amplification

- Identify if the attack is leveraging amplification techniques like DNS or NTP reflection:
  - Findings:
    - No amplification detected; attack uses direct botnet traffic.

# 4. System Performance Logs

- Review system performance logs:
  - Findings:
    - CPU utilisation at 95%.
    - API response times degraded significantly (from 300ms to 5 seconds).

# 5. Behavioral Indicators

- Analyse if legitimate users are affected:
  - Finding: Several customer complaints about timeouts and unresponsiveness.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

# 1. Fine-Tune Mitigation Controls

- Adjust rate-limiting thresholds to balance traffic and avoid blocking legitimate users.
- Deploy CAPTCHA challenges for suspicious traffic.

## 2. Divert Traffic

• Enable traffic redirection to an alternative data center.

# 3. Strengthen DDoS Protection

Enable advanced DDoS mitigation modes in the CDN and WAF.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: Distributed Denial-of-Service (DDoS) Attack.
- Affected Resource: https://api.customer-service.example.com.
- Source: Over 500 IPs globally, suspected botnet activity.

# Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):

- Source IPs: Various, flagged as botnet.
- User-Agent Strings: Common botnet headers (e.g., "curl/7.x").

- 1. Applied rate-limiting and sinkholing techniques.
- 2. Activated CDN-based DDoS mitigation.
- 3. Redirected traffic to a secondary data center.

# PHISHING CAMPAIGN DETECTED

#### **Alert Details**

• Alert Name: Targeted Phishing Campaign

Severity: CriticalSLA: 15 minutes

• Generated by: Email Gateway + SIEM Correlation

• Target Users: Finance Department (10 users)

• Phishing Domain: secure-finance-payments[.]com

• Time of Detection: 10:00

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

# 1. Review Alert Details

## • Description:

- An email impersonating the company CFO was sent to 10 users in the finance department.
- The email contains a malicious link to a phishing site mimicking a corporate payment system.

### 2. Context Analysis

# Potential Impact:

- Unauthorised access to financial accounts.
- o Data theft (e.g., login credentials, financial transactions).
- o Possible compromise of sensitive payment data.

### 3. Verify Business Relevance

#### Action Taken:

- Confirm that the email domain impersonates a legitimate payment system used by the organisation.
- Finding: Domain closely resembles the organisation's official vendor payment portal.

### **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

### 1. Escalate Incident

Notify SOC Manager, IT Security and finance department leadership.

#### 2. Contain the Threat

#### • Immediate Actions Taken:

- Block the phishing domain (secure-finance-payments[.]com) on email gateways and firewalls.
- Quarantine the phishing emails in affected users' mailboxes.
- Disable any links embedded in the phishing emails using URL re-write features.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

# 1. Email Header Analysis

# • Email Headers (Sample):

From: cfo@company.com

To: finance-team@company.com

Subject: Urgent: Payment Approval Required

SPF: Fail DKIM: Fail DMARC: Fail

## Findings:

- Sender address spoofed as the company CFO.
- Failed SPF, DKIM and DMARC validation indicate forgery.

#### 2. Phishing Link Analysis

- Extracted link: https://secure-finance-payments[.]com/login.
- Perform sandbox analysis:
  - Observations:
    - The page mimics the legitimate payment system's login portal.
    - JavaScript captures keystrokes (indicative of credential harvesting).

## 3. Threat Intelligence Correlation

- Search for the domain in threat intelligence feeds:
  - o Finding: Newly registered domain flagged as malicious in multiple sources.

### 4. User Activity Investigation

- Check if any users clicked on the link:
  - o Review SIEM and proxy logs for HTTP GET requests to the phishing domain.
  - Findings:
    - Two users accessed the phishing site but did not submit credentials.

## 5. Identify Additional Threat Indicators

- Look for related phishing domains or IPs:
  - Finding: The domain resolves to IP 192.168.45.33, part of a known malicious IP range.

## **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

#### 1. Block Additional Threats

Add the phishing IP and related domains to the organisation's blocklist.

#### 2. Protect Affected Users

- Contact the two users who accessed the site to verify no credentials were entered.
- Force password resets for these users as a precaution.

# 3. Strengthen Email Security

- Adjust email filtering rules to detect similar phishing patterns.
- Conduct an immediate review of email security policies (e.g., SPF, DKIM, DMARC enforcement).

### **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: Targeted Phishing Campaign.
- Affected Users: 10 users in the finance department.
- Phishing Domain: secure-finance-payments[.]com.
- Threat Vector: Email impersonation of CFO.

# Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):

- Phishing Domain: secure-finance-payments[.]com.
- Malicious IP: 192.168.45.33.

- 1. Quarantined phishing emails and blocked malicious domain/IP.
- 2. Prevented credential submission by affected users.
- 3. Enhanced email gateway rules for improved detection.

# **VULNERABILITY EXPLOITATION IN CLOUD ENVIRONMENT**

#### **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Suspicious Activity on Cloud Storage Bucket
- Severity: Critical
- SLA: 15 minutes
- **Generated by:** CSP Security Monitoring + SIEM Correlation
- Target: Cloud Storage Bucket sensitive-customer-data
- Indicators:
  - Publicly accessible storage bucket.
  - o Unusual access from an external IP (172.31.24.15).
  - Large-scale data download detected.
- Time of Detection: 13:00

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

### 1. Review Alert Details

### • Description:

- A publicly exposed cloud storage bucket containing sensitive customer information is being accessed from an external IP.
- o Large volumes of data have been downloaded.

#### 2. Context Analysis

### Potential Impact:

- o Data breach leading to loss of sensitive customer information.
- Non-compliance with regulations (e.g., GDPR, CCPA).

## 3. Verify Business Relevance

### Action Taken:

- Check the bucket's intended permissions.
- Finding: The bucket is intended for internal use only and should not be public.

### **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

### 1. Escalate Incident

Notify the SOC Manager, Cloud Security Team and relevant stakeholders.

#### 2. Contain the Threat

### • Immediate Actions Taken:

- o Restrict public access to the bucket by updating permissions.
- Block the suspicious external IP address (172.31.24.15) via the CSP's firewall.
- o Rotate the access keys for any service accounts linked to the bucket.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

## 1. Investigate Access Logs

## Access Log Findings:

- External IP (172.31.24.15) accessed the bucket using a compromised API kev.
- Data transfer logs show a download of 5GB of sensitive customer data.

# 2. Threat Intelligence Correlation

- Query the external IP in threat intelligence feeds:
  - Finding: The IP is linked to known malicious activity (e.g., cryptojacking campaigns and data exfiltration).

## 3. Cloud Configuration Review

- Audit the bucket configuration using CSP tools:
  - Findings:
    - Bucket was misconfigured with public read access.
    - An API key with excessive privileges was not rotated for over 12 months.

### 4. Assess Data Sensitivity

- Identify data stored in the bucket:
  - Finding: The bucket contained PII (e.g., customer names, addresses and payment details).

### 5. Investigate Internal Activity

- Check for unauthorised actions by internal users:
  - **Finding:** No evidence of insider activity; the compromise likely occurred externally.

### **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

# 1. Implement Configuration Fixes

- Restrict access to sensitive buckets to internal IP ranges.
- Enable strict IAM policies with the principle of least privilege.

# 2. Enhance API Security

- · Rotate API keys and implement key usage monitoring.
- Enforce Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) for API access.

#### 3. Monitor for Further Threats

• Set up enhanced alerts for any future access attempts from the malicious IP.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: Vulnerability Exploitation in Cloud Storage.
- Affected Resource: Cloud Storage Bucket sensitive-customer-data.
- Threat Vector: Misconfigured public access combined with a compromised API key.

# Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):

- Malicious IP: 172.31.24.15.
- Unauthorised Access Times: 12:45 to 13:00.

- 1. Blocked public access and malicious IP.
- 2. Rotated API keys and enforced stricter IAM policies.
- 3. Enhanced cloud storage monitoring for abnormal activities.

### **EXPLOITATION OF THIRD-PARTY VULNERABILITY**

#### **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Unauthorised Activity via Third-Party Application
- Severity: Critical
- **SLA:** 15 minutes
- Generated by: SIEM Correlation + Endpoint Detection and Response (EDR) Tool
- Target Application: Employee Expense Management Tool (Cloud-based)
- Indicators:
  - Unauthorised access from external IP: 185.43.12.200.
  - o Use of an unpatched third-party application vulnerability (CVE-2025-XXXX).
  - Privilege escalation leading to data exfiltration attempts.
- Time of Detection: 14:00

## **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

### 1. Review Alert Details

### Description:

- Exploitation of a known vulnerability in a third-party expense management application used by employees.
- Unauthorised access detected with signs of privilege escalation.

#### 2. Context Analysis

### Potential Impact:

- Exposure of sensitive employee financial data.
- Lateral movement into the corporate environment via API integrations.
- o Regulatory non-compliance risks.

### 3. Verify Business Relevance

## Action Taken:

- o Confirm the application's role and integration points in the organisation.
- Finding: The application is used for expense approvals and is integrated with HR systems.

# **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

#### 1. Escalate Incident

• Notify SOC Manager, Application Security Team and the vendor's security contact.

#### 2. Contain the Threat

#### Immediate Actions Taken:

- Disable API keys associated with the application to halt integrations temporarily.
- Apply web application firewall (WAF) rules to block requests from the malicious IP (185.43.12.200).
- o Restrict access to the application for all users until further investigation.

## **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

### 1. Vulnerability Identification

- Known CVE: CVE-2025-XXXX.
  - Description: Unauthenticated remote code execution vulnerability in the third-party application.
  - Patch Status: Vendor released a patch two weeks ago; the organisation has not applied it.

# 2. Threat Intelligence Correlation

- Search for exploit activity related to CVE-2025-XXXX:
  - **Finding:** Exploits for this CVE are publicly available and active campaigns are targeting cloud applications.

# 3. Log Analysis

#### Application Logs:

- o Show access to sensitive HR data (employee salary and bank details).
- Unauthorised API requests from IP 185.43.12.200.

### SIEM Logs:

- Evidence of privilege escalation from regular user accounts to administrative access.
- Large data transfer logs flagged at 13:50.

# 4. Assess Scope of Compromise

### Impact Assessment:

- Data exfiltration confirmed for 200 employee records.
- No evidence of lateral movement beyond the application environment.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

#### 1. Apply Patches

• Deploy the vendor-released patch to remediate the CVE in the application.

#### 2. Secure Access

- Rotate API keys and reconfigure IAM policies to enforce the principle of least privilege.
- Require MFA for accessing the application.

### 3. Monitor for Further Activity

- Set up enhanced monitoring for access attempts targeting the application.
- Block known malicious IPs associated with campaigns targeting CVE-2025-XXXX.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

## **Incident Summary**

- **Type:** Exploitation of Third-Party Vulnerability.
- Affected Application: Employee Expense Management Tool.
- Threat Vector: Known vulnerability (CVE-2025-XXXX) exploited by an external IP.

# Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):

- Malicious IP: 185.43.12.200.
- CVE Exploited: CVE-2025-XXXX.
- Unauthorised Access Time: 13:50 to 14:00.

- 1. Disabled application access and API integrations.
- 2. Blocked malicious IP and applied firewall rules.
- 3. Deployed critical patch and rotated credentials.

# **IOT DEVICE COMPROMISE IN CORPORATE NETWORK**

#### **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Unauthorised IoT Device Activity Detected
- Severity: Critical
- SLA: 15 minutes
- Generated by: Network Behavior Analysis (NBA) Tool + SIEM Correlation
- Target Device: Smart Office Camera (IP: 10.10.5.12)
- Indicators:
  - Unauthorised outbound connections to an external IP: 204.45.77.19.
  - o Unusual traffic volume originating from the IoT device.
  - o Suspected command-and-control (C2) communication detected.
- Time of Detection: 10:30

# **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

### 1. Review Alert Details

# Description:

 An office IoT camera is exhibiting suspicious behavior, including initiating outbound connections to a known malicious IP.

# 2. Context Analysis

#### Potential Impact:

- Compromise of the IoT device for use in a botnet or exfiltration of video streams.
- o Potential lateral movement within the corporate network.

## 3. Verify Business Relevance

### Action Taken:

- Confirm the device type, ownership and function.
- Finding: The IoT camera is used for monitoring office spaces and is connected to the corporate network.

### **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

### 1. Escalate Incident

Notify the SOC Manager, IT Network Team and Physical Security Team.

#### 2. Contain the Threat

#### • Immediate Actions Taken:

- o Isolate the IoT camera (IP: 10.10.5.12) from the corporate network.
- Block outbound traffic to the malicious IP (204.45.77.19) at the network firewall.
- Disable the device's remote access features.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

### 1. Analyse Network Traffic

## Network Logs:

- Traffic analysis reveals the device communicating with 204.45.77.19 on port 8080, which is commonly used for C2 servers.
- A high volume of outbound traffic suggests potential data exfiltration or botnet activity.

## 2. Investigate Device Logs

# Device Findings:

- Logs indicate an unauthorised login from an external IP (194.32.56.21) using default credentials.
- o The device firmware is outdated, with known vulnerabilities.

# 3. Threat Intelligence Correlation

- Query malicious IP (204.45.77.19) in threat intelligence feeds:
  - o **Finding:** The IP is linked to a Mirai-like IoT botnet campaign.

# 4. Assess Impact and Scope

### Findings:

- No lateral movement detected into the corporate network.
- Device appears to have been hijacked for botnet participation.

## **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

### 1. Remediate Device Vulnerabilities

- Reset the device to factory settings and apply the latest firmware update.
- Change default credentials and enforce strong passwords.

# 2. Enhance Network Security

Segregate IoT devices into a dedicated VLAN.

• Implement strict firewall rules for IoT traffic, limiting outbound connections to approved destinations.

# 3. Monitor for Further Activity

- Continue monitoring network traffic for signs of other compromised devices.
- Enhance alerting for suspicious IoT activity.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: IoT Device Compromise.
- Affected Device: Smart Office Camera (IP: 10.10.5.12).
- Threat Vector: Unauthorised access using default credentials, followed by botnet enlistment.

# **Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):**

- External IP: 204.45.77.19 (C2 server).
- Unauthorised login IP: 194.32.56.21.
- Ports: 8080.

- 1. Isolated the compromised IoT device.
- 2. Blocked malicious IPs and applied stricter network controls.
- 3. Updated firmware and secured the device with strong credentials.

## **SOCIAL ENGINEERING ATTACK**

#### **Alert Details**

- Alert Name: Potential Credential Harvesting via Spear Phishing
- Severity: Critical
- SLA: 15 minutes
- Generated by: Email Security Gateway + SIEM Correlation
- Indicators:
  - Phishing email sent to 50 employees from external sender: ceo@companyhr-secure.com.
  - Subject: "Mandatory HR Policy Update Immediate Action Required."
  - o Malicious link: http://hr-policy-update.com/login.
  - o 5 users clicked on the link and submitted credentials.
- Time of Detection: 11:15

### **Step 1: Alert Review and Initial Assessment**

#### 1. Review Alert Details

### • Description:

- A phishing email designed to impersonate HR communications has been sent to multiple employees.
- Link leads to a phishing site mimicking the company's single sign-on (SSO) login page.

### 2. Context Analysis

# Potential Impact:

- Compromise of corporate accounts, leading to unauthorised access to sensitive systems or data.
- Lateral movement within the corporate environment using harvested credentials.

# 3. Verify Business Relevance

### Action Taken:

- o Confirm the email domain company-hr-secure.com is not legitimate.
- o **Finding:** The domain is newly registered and unrelated to the organisation.

## **Step 2: Initial Containment Actions**

### 1. Escalate Incident

• Notify SOC Manager, IT Security Team and HR.

#### 2. Contain the Threat

#### • Immediate Actions Taken:

- Block the sender's email domain (company-hr-secure.com) via the email security gateway.
- Add the malicious link (http://hr-policy-update.com/login) to the organisation's URL blocklist in the web proxy and DNS firewall.
- Identify and temporarily suspend accounts of the 5 users who submitted credentials.

# **Step 3: Advanced Analysis and Investigation**

# 1. Analyse Email Metadata

#### Headers Review:

- o Sender IP: 203.0.113.45 (linked to known phishing campaigns).
- o SPF, DKIM and DMARC records: All fail, confirming spoofed domain.

## 2. Analyse SIEM Logs

### • Findings:

- Logs confirm 50 recipients received the phishing email.
- 5 users accessed the phishing site and submitted credentials between 11:05 and 11:10.

# 3. Threat Intelligence Correlation

#### Malicious Domain:

 Query in threat intelligence tools confirms hr-policy-update.com is associated with known phishing activity.

### 4. Assess Impact and Scope

#### Compromised Accounts:

- o Credentials of 5 employees are likely harvested.
- No evidence of unauthorised activity using those accounts yet.

# **Step 4: Mitigation and Recovery**

### 1. Secure Compromised Accounts

Force password reset for the affected accounts.

## 2. Enhance Email Security

- Configure stricter email security filters to identify similar phishing patterns.
- Conduct a retrospective search to ensure no additional malicious emails from the domain were received.

### 3. Educate Employees

- Send an immediate alert to all employees warning them about the phishing campaign.
- Remind them not to click links or share credentials from unsolicited emails.

# 4. Monitor for Further Activity

- Set up advanced monitoring for the compromised accounts to detect potential unauthorised access.
- Monitor for failed login attempts indicating brute force activity.

# **Step 5: Documentation and Post-Incident Actions**

# **Incident Summary**

- Type: Social Engineering (Spear Phishing).
- Attack Vector: Phishing email impersonating HR communications.
- Target: Employees across multiple departments.

### Indicators of Compromise (IoCs):

- Malicious email domain: company-hr-secure.com.
- Malicious URL: http://hr-policy-update.com/login.
- Sender IP: 203.0.113.45.

- 1. Blocked phishing domain and sender.
- 2. Disabled compromised accounts and reset their credentials.
- 3. Alerted employees and conducted awareness training.