

# Virtual Threads: Lightweight Concurrency for Everyone

## Virtual Threads - The Problem

### Traditional Threads

- Java threads = OS threads (1:1 mapping)
- OS threads = expensive (memory + context switching)
- Thread-per-request style = simple, but doesn't scale

 Result: **Throughput capped by OS thread limits**

## Virtual Threads - Workaround Before Virtual Threads

### Async / Reactive Programming

- Non-blocking APIs + callbacks (`CompletableFuture`, reactive frameworks)
- Better scalability but...
  - ✗ Harder to read (no loops, try/catch)
  - ✗ Debugging and profiling painful
  - ✗ Stack traces lose meaning

## Virtual Threads - Enter Virtual Threads

### What they are:

- Instances of `java.lang.Thread`
- **M:N scheduling**: Many (M) virtual threads mapped to fewer (N) OS threads
- Lightweight, cheap, and plentiful

 **Analogy**: Like OS “virtual memory”, but for threads

## Virtual Threads - Goals

- Keep the **thread-per-request** model
- Near-optimal hardware utilization
- Compatible with existing code (`ThreadLocal`, debugging, profiling)
- No new programming model to learn

## Virtual Threads - Using Virtual Threads (Example)

```
try (var executor = Executors.newVirtualThreadPerTaskExecutor()) {  
    IntStream.range(0, 10_000).forEach(i ->  
        executor.submit(() -> {  
            Thread.sleep(Duration.ofSeconds(1));  
            return i;  
        })  
    );  
}
```

✓ 10,000 concurrent tasks → runs fine

✗ 10,000 OS threads → likely crash

## Virtual Threads - When to Use?

Best for **high concurrency I/O-bound** tasks (HTTP calls, DB queries, etc.)

Not faster than platform threads → they give **scale, not speed**

Don't pool virtual threads — create one per task!

## Virtual Threads - Observability

Virtual threads = fully supported by tooling

Debuggers, profilers, **JFR**

New **thread dumps** grouped by task

JSON export via **jcmd**



## Virtual Threads - The Pinning Issue (Java 21 → 23)

- Virtual threads could get **pinned** (stuck to carrier OS thread):
  - Inside **synchronized** blocks
  - Native calls / FFM API

⚠ Pinned thread = carrier blocked → scalability suffers

Workarounds:

- Replace **synchronized** with **ReentrantLock**
- Monitor with **-Djdk.tracePinnedThreads** or **JFR events**

Virtual Threads - Java 24 Fix: JEP 491 🎉

## Synchronize Virtual Threads Without Pinning

- Virtual threads can now acquire/release monitors **independently of carriers**
- ✅ `synchronized` no longer causes pinning
- ✅ `ConcurrentHashMap` and many libraries safe
- 🗑️ `-Djdk.tracePinnedThreads` removed → not needed anymore
- Monitoring only needed for **native calls**

Virtual Threads - Forgive `synchronized` ❤️

In 2023: “Avoid `synchronized`, use locks!”

In 2025: “Use the best tool for the job”

JEP 491 officially says: choose between `synchronized` and `ReentrantLock`

based on semantics, **not pinning fears**

## Virtual Threads - Key Takeaways

Virtual threads = **simple + scalable** concurrency

Ideal for **server apps** (thread-per-request style preserved)

Tooling ready: debugging, JFR, thread dumps

Java 24: **pinning issue solved**

Java 25: Virtual threads are **mature and production-ready**