C^* -ALGEBRAS, AND THE GELFAND-NAIMARK THEOREM

LUKE ARMITAGE

Todo List

state and prove
prove
tidy up proof
tidy up proof
does the following theory carry from a unital C*alg to any non-
unital ideal? a remark
norm preservation theorem here?
is this right? what of $\rho(1) = 1$?
normal(?), the weak* topology(?), cauchy-schwarz
example of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ at end of section to retro-motivate notation 5
example of $C(X)$?
to include all representation theory, including GNS, CGN and GN 6
find correct name - Gelfand Representation theorem? 6
remark about nonunital commutative 6
why?
sentence
start sentence properly
cont of what?
cont of what?
references!!!!

1. Preliminaries

we will assume knowledge on... (algebra homos map 1 to 1, hausdorff/compact spaces) $\,$

brief(er than asst 3) history

most texts start from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to justify the whole thing. we're algebraists, who don't need no justification. we jump right in at the deep (abstract) end.

write this!

2. Definitions

•

Definition 1. A Banach algebra is a complex Banach space $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ which forms an algebra, such that

$$||ab|| \le ||a|| ||b||$$
 for all $a, b \in A$.

A *-algebra is an algebra A with an involution map $a \mapsto a^*$ on A such that, for all $a, b \in A$ and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

(i)
$$a^{**} = (a^*)^* = a$$
,

(ii)
$$(\alpha a + b)^* = \overline{\alpha}a^* + b^*$$
,

$$(iii) (ab)^* = b^*a^*.$$

The element a^* is referred to as the adjoint of a.

A C^* -algebra is a Banach algebra $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ with involution map $a \mapsto a^*$, with the condition

$$||a^*a|| = ||a||^2$$
 for all $a \in A$.

state and prove

This condition is known as the C^* axiom. There is a weaker, but ultimately equivalent, axiom called the B^* axiom.

Here we consider complex C^* -algebras. The theory of real C^* -algebras has advanced....

remark on work in real C^* -algebras

prove

Unless specified otherwise, by an *ideal* of a Banach algebra, we mean a two-sided ideal. A *-ideal in a Banach *-algebra is a *-closed ideal. For C^* -algebras, it turns out that any ideal is automatically a *-ideal.

2.1. **Unitization.** If a C^* -algebra A contains an identity element $\mathbbm{1}$ such that $a \cdot \mathbbm{1} = a = \mathbbm{1} \cdot a$ for all $a \in A$, call $\mathbbm{1}$ the *unit* in A, and A is then a *unital* C^* -algebra.

Proposition 1. Any non-unital C^* -algebra A can be isometrically embedded in a unital C^* -algebra \tilde{A} as a maximal ideal.

tidy up proof

Proof. Let $\tilde{A} = A \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with pointwise addition, and define

$$(a, \lambda)(b, \mu) := (ab + \lambda b + \mu a, \lambda \mu),$$

 $(a, \lambda)^* := (a^*, \overline{\lambda}),$
 $\|(a, \lambda)\| := \sup_{\|b\|=1} \|ab + \lambda b\|.$

Then \tilde{A} is a *-algebra. The norm $\|(a,\lambda)\|$ is the norm in $\mathcal{B}(A)$ of left-multiplication by a on something iunno??? Thus \tilde{A} is a Banach *-algebra with unit (0,1). By design, A is a maximal ideal of codimension

1. The embedding $a \mapsto (a, \lambda)$ is isometric as

$$\|a\| = \|a \cdot \frac{a}{\|a\|}\| \le \|(a,0)\| \le \sup_{\|b\|=1} \|ab\| \le \|a\|.$$

It remains to verify the C^* -axiom:

$$||(a,\lambda)||^{2} = \sup_{\|b\|=1} ||ab + \lambda b||^{2}$$

$$= \sup_{\|b\|=1} ||b^{*}a^{*}ab + \lambda b^{*}a^{*}b + \overline{\lambda}b^{*}ab + |\lambda|^{2}b^{*}b$$

$$\leq \sup_{\|b\|=1} ||a^{*}ab + \lambda a^{*}b + \overline{\lambda}ab + |\lambda|^{2}b||$$

$$= ||(a^{*}a + \lambda a^{*} + \overline{\lambda}a, |\lambda|^{2})$$

$$= ||(a,\lambda)^{*}(a,\lambda)||$$

$$\leq ||(a,\lambda)^{*}|||(a,\lambda)||.$$

By symmetry of *, $\|(a,\lambda)^*\| = \|(a,\lambda)\|$. Hence, the above inequality becomes equality and we have that

$$||(a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)|| = ||(a, \lambda)||^2.$$

In light of this result, we take all C^* -algebras from here to be unital. For the results we will consider, we can simply consider the unital case. However, there are important circumstances in which we need to relax the unital condition,

does the following theory carry from a unital C*alg to any non-unital ideal? a remark

2.2. **the spectrum.** Given an element $a \in A$ of a C^* -algebra, define its spectrum $\operatorname{sp}(a)$:

$$\operatorname{spec}(a) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid a - \lambda \mathbb{1} \text{ is not invertible in } A \}.$$

- 2.3. more definitions. An element $a \in A$ of a C^* -algebra is called
 - self-adjoint if $a^* = a$;
 - unitary if $aa^* = a^*a = 1$;
 - positive if it is self-adjoint and $\operatorname{sp}(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$.

Denote the set of positive elements in A by A^+ .

Given Banach *-algebras A and B, a map $\varphi: A \to B$ is a *-homomorphism if it is an algebra homomorphism for which $\varphi(a^*) = \varphi(a)^*$ for all $a \in A$. If a *-homomorphism φ is one-to-one, call it a *-isomorphism.

norm preservation theorem here?

A linear functional on a C^* -algebra A is a linear operator $\rho: A \to \mathbb{C}$. A state on A is a linear functional ρ such that $\|\rho\| = 1$ and $\rho(a) \geq 0$ for all positive elements $a \in A^+$.

is this right? what of $\rho(1) = 1$?

normal(?), the weak* topology(?), cauchy-schwarz

2.4. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ - an example. This section concerns the fundamental example of a C^* -algebra - the set $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Here we will demonstrate that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a C^* -algebra and give some basic results.

Claim. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a C^* -algebra with the operator norm

$$||T|| := \sup_{||x||=1} ||Tx||$$

and involution taking T to its adjoint map T^* . The identity map $I: x \mapsto x$ is a unit for $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

Proof. $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\{T_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then for any positive ϵ , there is a positive integer N such that

$$||T_m - T_n|| < \epsilon \text{ for all } m, n \ge N.$$

Applying $T_m - T_n$ to $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$||T_m x - T_n x|| \le ||T_m - T_n|| ||x|| < \epsilon ||x||,$$

so $\{T_n x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{H} , converging to an element in \mathcal{H} . Define a linear operator $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by

$$Tx := \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n x \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Taking limits as m tends to infinity in equation (1), we obtain

$$||Tx - T_n x|| < \epsilon ||x|| \text{ for all } n \ge N,$$

and so we have that $T-T_n$ (and hence $T=(T-T_n)+T_n$) is a bounded operator and

$$||T - T_n|| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \ge N.$$

We conclude that $T_n \to T$, and so $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is complete.

Since boundedness is equivalent to continuity on \mathcal{H} , given $S,T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the operator $ST : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}; x \mapsto (S \circ T)(x)$ is bounded on \mathcal{H} . Given $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$((\lambda S)T)(x) = ((\lambda S) \circ T)(x)$$

$$= \lambda S(Tx)$$

$$= \lambda (S \circ T)(x)$$

$$= \lambda ST(x),$$

so that $(\lambda S)T = \lambda ST$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, whence $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is an algebra. We have

$$||ST|| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||STx||$$

$$= \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||S(Tx)||$$

$$\leq ||S|| \sup_{\|x\|=1} ||Tx||$$

$$= ||S|| ||T||.$$

To see that * is an involution, use the fact that the adjoint operator is unique for each operator and the following equalities.

(i)
$$\langle (\alpha T + S)^* x, y \rangle = \langle x, \alpha T + Sy \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\alpha} \langle x, Ty \rangle + \langle x, Sy \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\alpha} \langle T^* x, y \rangle + \langle S^* x, y \rangle$$

$$= \langle (\overline{\alpha} T^* + S^*) x, y \rangle.$$
(ii)
$$\langle (T^*)^* x, y \rangle = \langle x, T^* y \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\langle T^* y, x \rangle}$$

$$= \overline{\langle Y, Tx \rangle}$$

$$= \langle Tx, y \rangle.$$
(iii)
$$\langle (ST)^* x, y \rangle = \langle x, STy \rangle$$

$$= \langle S^* x, Ty \rangle$$

$$= \langle T^* S^* x, y \rangle.$$

It remains to demonstrate the C^* -axiom on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$||Tx||^2 = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle \le ||T^*T|| ||x||^2,$$

so that

$$||T||^2 \le ||T^*T|| \le ||T^*|| ||T|| = ||T||^2.$$

It is clear that I is a unit. Hence, the claim.

example of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ at end of section to retro-motivate notation.

2.5. C(X) - another example. Given a locally compact Hausdorff space X, let C(X) be the algebra of continuous functions $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$, with addition and multiplication defined pointwise. Define $\|\cdot\|$ on C(X) by

$$||f|| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|,$$

that is the norm inherited from the Banach space $\ell^2(X,\mathbb{C})$.

example of C(X)?

3. Representations of C*-algebras

to include all representation theory, including GNS, CGN and GN

Definition 2. Given a C^* -algebra A, a representation of A on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a *-homomorphism $\varphi: A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An isomorphic representation is called faithful.

find correct name - Gelfand Representation theorem?

remark about nonunital commutative.

Theorem 1 (Gelfand-Naimark for commutative algebras). Every commutative C^* -algebra A is *-isomorphic to $C(\mathcal{P}(A))$, the continuous functions on the topological space of pure states on A.

From [subsection on C(X)], $C(\mathscr{P}(A))$ is unital if and only if $\mathscr{P}(A)$ is compact. In the case that $\mathscr{P}(A)$ is noncompact but locally compact, the unitization of $C(\mathscr{P}(A))$ corresponds to $C(\mathscr{P}(A)^{\dagger})$ where X^{\dagger} is the one-point compactification of the topological space X.

Theorem 2 (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal). If ρ is a state on a C^* -algebra A, then there exists a cyclic representation π_{ρ} of A on a Hilbert space H_{ρ} , with unit cyclic vector x_{ρ} , such that

$$\rho(a) = \langle \pi_{\rho}(a) x_{\rho}, x_{\rho} \rangle, \ \forall a \in A.$$

Proof. We will construct from ρ the space \mathcal{H}_{ρ} , representation π_{ρ} , and vector x_{ρ} , and demonstrate the required properties.

Consider the *left kernel* of ρ :

$$L_{\rho} := \{ t \in A \mid \rho(t^*t) = 0 \}.$$

For $a, b \in A$, define $\langle a, b \rangle_0 := \rho(b^*a)$. Then $L_\rho = \{t \in A \mid \langle t, t \rangle_0 = 0\}$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ satisfies

(i) Linearity in 1st argument: for $a, b \in A$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\langle \alpha a + \beta b, c \rangle_0 = \rho(c^*(\alpha a + \beta b))$$

$$= \rho(\alpha c^* a + \beta c^* b)$$

$$= \alpha \rho(c^* a) + \beta \rho(c^* b)$$

$$= \alpha \langle a, c \rangle_0 + \beta \langle b, c \rangle_0.$$

(ii) Conjugate symmetric: for $a, b \in A$:

$$\langle b, a \rangle_0 = \rho(a^*b)$$

$$= \rho((b^*a)^*)$$

$$= \overline{\rho(b^*a)}$$

$$= \overline{\langle a, b \rangle_0}.$$

why? (iii) Positive semi-definite.

Note that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is not necessarily positive definite on $A - L_{\rho}$ is exactly where this fails.

sentence

 L_{ρ} is a linear subspace of A: Consider

$$L := \{t \in A \mid \langle t, a \rangle_0 = 0, \ \forall a \in A\} \subseteq L_{\rho}.$$

For $t \in L_{\rho}$, by Cauchy-Schwarz we have

$$|\langle t, a \rangle_0|^2 \le \langle t, t \rangle_0 \langle a, a \rangle_0, \ \forall a \in A;$$

that is,

$$\langle t, a \rangle_0 = 0, \ \forall a \in A,$$

so $t \in L$ and $L_{\rho} = L$.

Now, for $a, b \in L$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in A$:

$$\langle \alpha a + b, c \rangle_0 = \alpha \langle a, c \rangle_0 + \langle b, c \rangle_0 = 0,$$

so $\alpha a + b \in L$; also, $\langle 0, c \rangle_0 = 0$ so $0 \in L$. Hence, $L(=L_\rho)$ is a linear subspace of A.

For $s \in A$, $t \in L_{\rho}$, by the Cauchy Schwarz inequality [ref] we have

$$|\rho(s^*t)|^2 = |\langle t, s \rangle_0|^2$$

$$\leq \langle t, t \rangle_0 \cdot \langle s, s \rangle_0$$

$$= \rho(t^*t) \cdot \rho(s^*s)$$

$$= 0,$$

so $\rho(s^*t) = 0$. Letting $s = a^*at$ for $a \in A$, then

$$\rho((at)^*at) = \rho(at^*a^*at)$$

$$= \rho((a^*at)^*t)$$

$$= \rho(s^*t)$$

$$= 0,$$

so that $at \in L_{\rho}$, for all $a \in A$ and $t \in L_{\rho}$; we conclude that L_{ρ} is a left ideal in A. L_{ρ} is the preimage in A of $\{0\}$ under the continuous map $t \mapsto \rho(t^*t)$, so is closed.

start sentence properly

Consider now $V_{\rho} := A/L_{\rho}$, with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defined by

$$\langle a + L_{\rho}, b + L_{\rho} \rangle := \langle a, b \rangle_0$$
, for $a + L_{\rho}, b + L_{\rho} \in V_{\rho}$.

It follows from properties i), ii) and iii) of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$ that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on V_{ρ} – with

$$\langle a + L^{\rho}, a + L^{\rho} \rangle = 0 \iff \langle a, a \rangle = 0$$

 $\iff a \in L_{\rho}$
 $\iff a + L_{\rho} = 0 + L_{\rho}$

giving positive definiteness. The completion of V_{ρ} with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Hilbert space - this is the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{ρ} we're looking for.

Now we fix $a \in A$, and consider the map

$$\pi_a: V_\rho \to V_\rho; b + L_\rho \mapsto ab + L_\rho.$$

Let $b_1, b_2 \in A$ be such that $b_1 + L_\rho = b_2 + L_\rho$. Then:

$$\implies b_1 - b_2 \in L_{\rho}$$

$$\implies a(b_1 - b_2) \in L_{\rho}$$

$$\implies ab_1 - ab_2 \in L_{\rho}$$

$$\implies ab_1 + L_{\rho} = ab_2 + L_{\rho}$$

$$\implies \pi_a(b_1 + L_{\rho}) = \pi_a(b_2 + L_{\rho}).$$

Hence π_a defines a linear operator on V_{ρ} . For $b + L_{\rho} \in V_{\rho}$:

$$||a||^{2} \cdot ||b + L_{\rho}|| - ||\pi_{a}(b + L_{\rho})|| = ||a||^{2} \cdot ||b + L_{\rho}|| - ||ab + L_{\rho}||$$

$$= ||a||^{2} \cdot \langle b + L_{\rho}, b + L_{\rho} \rangle - \langle ab + L_{\rho}, ab + L_{\rho} \rangle$$

$$= ||a||^{2} \cdot \rho(b^{*}b) - \rho((ab)^{*}ab)$$

$$= \rho(||a||^{2}b^{*}b - b^{*}a^{*}ab)$$

$$= \rho(b^{*}(||a||^{2}\mathbb{1} - a^{*}a)b)$$

$$\geq 0.$$

cont of what?

Thus π_a is a bounded operator, with $\|\pi_a\| \leq \|a\|$. By continuity, π_a extends to a bounded operator on \mathcal{H}_{ρ} – say $\pi_{\rho}(a) : \mathcal{H}_{\rho} \to \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$ such that

$$\pi_{\rho}(a)(v) = \pi_a(v)$$

for $v \in V_{\rho}$. Then $\pi_{\rho}(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\rho})$ for each $a \in A$, so π_{ρ} defines a map $A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\rho})$ such that $a \mapsto \pi_{\rho}(a)$. This will be our representation. Now, for $a, b \in A$, $c + L_{\rho} \in V_{\rho}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\pi_{\alpha a+b}(c+L_{\rho}) = (\alpha a+b)(c+L_{\rho})$$

$$= (\alpha ac+L_{\rho}) + (bc+L_{\rho})$$

$$= \alpha \pi_a(c+L_{\rho}) + \pi_b(c+L_{\rho}),$$

so that $\pi_{\alpha a+b} = \alpha \pi_a + \pi_b$ on V_{ρ} . For $a, b \in A$ and $c + L_{\rho} \in V_{\rho}$:

$$\pi_{ab}(c + L_{\rho}) = abc + L_{\rho}$$

$$= \pi_a(bc + L_{\rho})$$

$$= \pi_a(\pi_b(c + L_{\rho}))$$

$$= (\pi_a \cdot \pi_b)(c + L_{\rho}),$$

so that $\pi_{ab} = \pi_a \cdot \pi_b$ on V_{ρ} .

For
$$a \in A$$
 and $b + L_{\rho}$, $c + L_{\rho} \in V_{\rho}$:

$$\langle b + L_{\rho}, \pi_a^*(c + L_{\rho}) \rangle = \langle \pi_a(b + L_{\rho}), c + L_{\rho} \rangle$$

$$= \langle ab + Lr, c + L_{\rho} \rangle$$

$$= \rho(c^*ab)$$

$$= \rho((a^*c)^*b)$$

$$= \langle b + L_{\rho}, a^*c + L_{\rho} \rangle$$

$$= \langle b + L_{\rho}, \pi_{a^*}(c + L_{\rho}), a^*c + L_{\rho} \rangle$$

so that $\pi_a^* = \pi_{a^*}$ on V_{ρ} .

 $V_{\rho} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$ is a dense subset, so the three properties above hold on \mathcal{H}_{ρ} by continuity. Hence, $\pi_{\rho} : A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{\rho})$ is a representation of A. As to control of what? the unit vector, consider $x_{\rho} := \mathbb{1} + L_{\rho} \in V_{\rho}$. Then for $a \in A$,

$$\langle \pi_{\rho}(a)x_{\rho}, x_{\rho} \rangle = \langle \pi_{a}(\mathbb{1} + L_{\rho}), \mathbb{1} + L_{\rho} \rangle$$
$$= \langle a + L_{\rho}\mathbb{1} + L_{\rho} \rangle$$
$$= \rho(a);$$

in particular, $\langle x_{\rho}, x_{\rho} \rangle = \rho(1) = 1$, so x_{ρ} is a unit vector in \mathcal{H}_{ρ} .

Theorem 3 (Gelfand-Naimark). Every C^* -algebra has a faithful representation.

Proof. for this we just take the direct sum representation of the representations given from GNS by some set of states containing all pure states. \Box

references!!!!