CSci 423 Homework 9

Due: 1:00 pm, Wednesday, 11/14 Eric Shih

1. (7 points) Exercise 4.3 on page 183.

A decidable language is closed under the complement. TM $M_{\bar{L}}$ which decides \bar{L} will accept when TM $M_{\bar{L}}$ that decides L rejects, and reject when $M_{\bar{L}}$ accepts. It has been shown that E_{DFA} is decidable where $E_{DFA} = \{ \langle A \rangle \mid \text{is a DFA and } L(A) = \emptyset \}$.

On input $\langle A \rangle$ where A is a DFA:

- (a) Mark the start state of A.
- (b) Repeat until no new states are marked.
- (c) Mark states that has a transition coming in from any state that is already marked.
- (d) If no accept state is marked, accept. Otherwise, reject.

2. (7 points) Exercise 4.6 on page 183.

Proof by contradiction. Assume that B is countable. Infinate sequences over 0,1 can be represented by N = 1,2,3,... A f(n) can be mapped where $n \in N$. $f(n) = (w_{n1},w_{n2},w_{n3},...)$ where w_{ni} is the ith bit in the nth sequence. Using diagonalization, it is possible to find a sequence over 0,1 that is not in f(n), where the ith bit is the opposite of the ith sequence. This means that the sequences are $\notin B$, giving us a contradiction, proving B to be uncountable.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} n & f(n) \\ \hline 1 & (w_11, w_12, w_13, w_14, ...) \\ 2 & (w_21, w_22, w_23, w_24, ...) \\ 3 & (w_31, w_32, w_33, w_34, ...) \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{array}$$

3. (7 points) Exercise 4.7 on page 183.

reference www.cs.ucdavis.edu/ gusfield/cs120f11/HW6key.pdf

To prove that T is countable we provide a function f: T N. Given a triple (i, j, k) from T f is dened as follows: convert each number i, j, and k to their respective binary representation. We will compute the natural number n in its binary representation. For the ath bit of n, we divide a by 3. This will produce a quotient q and a remainder r. If r is 0 then we extract the qth bit from i, if r is 1 then we extra the qth bit from j, if r is 2 then we extract the qth bit from k. Note that if the qth bit of i, j, or k is not dened then use a 0. Note that f maps every i, j, k tuple to a natural number and every natural number can be converted to an i, j, k tuple (by the reverse process). Hence f is a one-to-one function and onto (aka a bijection). Therefore T is countable.

4. (7 points) Problem 4.19 on page 184.

test.scripts.psu.edu/users/a/y/.../Decidable%20Languages(2).docx

Let P = ADFA. We know this to be decidable.

We also know that regular languages are closed under the reverse operation. So, if there is a DFA D for w, there is a DFA D for w^R . We can then construct an NFA N by branching to D and D. Since we have an algorithm to convert NFAs to DFAs, we run it on < N > to get < M >. Now we have a

DFA M, and since ADFA is decidable, there is some S such that $S = \{ \langle M \rangle \}$. Since M is a DFA that accepts wR whenever it accepts w, $S = \{ \langle M \rangle | M \text{ is a DFA that accepts } w^R \text{ whenever it accepts } w \}$, and S is decidable.

5. (12 points) In class, we learned that A_D is non-TR, A_{TM} and $HALT_{TM}$ are TR but non-TD. What can you say about their complements? Are they non-TR, TR but non-TD, or TD? Justify your answers.

Both $\bar{A_{TM}}$ and $HA\bar{L}T_{TM}$ are not TR since A_{TM} and $HALT_{TM}$ are TR. If both sets were TR, then they would also be TD.

 $\bar{A_D}$ is not TD, according to the closure property, because A_D is not TD. $\bar{A_D}$ is, on the other hand, TR. In the case $A_D = \{w_i | w_i \notin L(M_i)\}$, its complement $\bar{A_D} = \{w_i | w_i \in L(M_i)\}$. A TM R can be constructed to take w_i as input, then use the string to code TM M_i . Both are then fed into $A_T M$, which will accept if it accepts. This shows that $\bar{A_D}$ is TR.

Reading Summary 4