

# CSci 423 Homework 10

Due: 1:00 pm, Wednesday, 11/28

Eric Shih

1. (10 points) Prove that  $A = \{w_{2i} | w_{2i} \notin L(M_i)\}$  is not Turing-recognizable, where  $w_{2i}$  is the  $2i$ th string in the lexicographic order of binary strings and  $M_i$  is the TM whose binary code is  $w_i$ .

Assume that  $A$  is Turing Recognizable, such that  $\exists$  TM  $M$  such that  $A = L(M)$ .  $M + M_i$  with code  $w_i$  for some  $i$ .  $w_{2i} \in A_D$  if and only if  $w_{2i} \notin L(M_i)$  by definition of  $A$ .  $w_{2i} \in A$  if and only if  $w_{2i} \in L(M_1)$  by  $A = L(M_{2i})$ . This gives us a contradiction proving that  $A$  is not Turing Recognizable.

2. (10 points) Exercise 5.1 on page 211. (Hint: Reduction from  $ALL_{CFG}$  on page 197.)

\*reference [www.cs.uml.edu/~giam/91.304/Spring06/tf11](http://www.cs.uml.edu/~giam/91.304/Spring06/tf11)\*

Assume it is decidable. Then there exists a TM  $R$  that decides  $EQ_{CFG}$ . A construction of TM  $S$  that uses  $R$  to decide  $ALL_{CFG}$  follows.

$S =$  On input  $G$ , where  $G$  is a CFG:

1. Run  $R$  on input  $G$ ,  $G_1$  where  $G_1$  is a CFG that generates  $\epsilon$ .
2. If  $R$  accepts, accept; if  $R$  rejects, reject.

If  $R$  decides  $EQ_{CFG}$ ,  $S$  decides  $ALL_{CFG}$ . But  $ALL_{CFG}$  is undecidable, so  $EQ_{CFG}$  must also be undecidable.

3. (10 points) Problem 5.12 on page 211. (Hint: Reduction from  $A_{TM}$ .)

\*reference [www.cs.uml.edu/~giam/91.304/Spring06/tf11](http://www.cs.uml.edu/~giam/91.304/Spring06/tf11)\*

First modify  $M$  so that all of its transitions that WRITE a blank over a non blank are replaced by writing a new, different symbol. Then the modified  $M$  will accept exactly the same set of strings without ever writing a blank over a non-blank. The encompassing TM -  $S$  of the last problem must:

- a) change each  $M$  as indicated,
- b) run  $M$  and at all acceptances make sure that a blank is now written over a non-blank: you may have to move left one or more positions, but, since the initial input was NOT blank and you never overwrote a blank with a non-blank, there must be at least one non-blank for you to overwrite.

The submachine that carries out a) and b) is the desired machine  $R$ . Now run this machine through the  $T$  that is supposed to decide the blank/non-blank. Any machine  $R$  that writes a blank must have resulted from a modified  $M$  stopping; any machine  $R$  that is rejected (did not write a blank) must have come from an  $M$  that did NOT stop. So we have a decision for  $A_{TM}$ .

4. (10 points) Prove that  $ES_{TM} = \{\text{code of } M: \text{TM } M \text{ accepts the empty string}\}$  is undecidable. (Hint: Reduction from  $A_{TM}$ .)

We will show TM  $M$  to be undecidable by reducing  $A_{TM}$  to  $M$ . Assume that  $M$  can decide  $ES_{TM}$ . Then  $M$  will be used to construct TM  $M_A$  to show that  $A_{TM}$  is decidable.

$M_A =$  On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$

1. Construct a TM  $M_v$  that runs on an input string  $v$  such that:
  - (a) Replace every symbol with a blank symbol.
  - (b) Write  $w$  on its input tape.
  - (c) Run  $M$  on  $w$ . If  $M$  accepts  $w$ ,  $M$  accepts, otherwise  $M$  rejects.
2. Run  $M_v$  on  $M$ . If  $M$  accepts, accept, otherwise, reject.

This will decide  $A_{TM}$ , which is a contradiction because  $A_{TM}$  is undecidable, which proves  $ES_{TM}$  to be undecidable.