What's different about modeling finstab and macropru?

title-page

Jaromir Benes <u>jaromir.benes@gimm.institute</u>
Tomas Motl <u>tomas.motl@gimm.institute</u>

BCC-Banrep-GIMM Macroprudential Modeling Workshop Bogota, February 2023

Modeling at policy making institutions

- Both monetary and macroprudential frameworks/processes used at policy making institutions benefit greatly from a <u>core</u> model
- A core model ties up a large number of disaggregative and partial inputs/results/assumptions/judgmental calls with some consistency
- This presentation is about differences in modeling philosophy for building and operating core models

Financial cycles

Finstab & macropru

- **Longer** periodicities
- Often <u>asymmetric</u>

- Shorter periodicities
- Not so asymmetric

Model output sought

Finstab & macropru

- **Unlikely yet plausible** events
- Entire financial cycles
- Far away from "steady state"

- Most likely forecasts (baseline)
- Specific alternative scenarios
- Within a neighborhood of "steady state"

Economic relationships

Finstab & macropru

- **Stock-flow** relationships
- Gross positions, <u>risk</u>
- Longer-term cumulation processes

- Mostly flows, prices, expectations
- Stabilization business cycles
- Long-term assumptions exogenous

Nonlinearities

Finstab & macropru

- Nonlinearities essential...
- ...and the nonlinearities are global
- Examples: binding financial constraints, marginal borrowers, etc.

- Although nonlinearities exist...
 ...regular analysis is fine linearized
- Examples: Endogenous credibility, asymmetric nominal rigidities, etc.

Empirical validation and uncertainty

Finstab & macropru

- Estimating models with nonlinearities and stock-flow relationships is drastically more complicated
- We need much larger numbers of data points, but have very limited amount of them available
- Gigantic uncertainty in the "accuracy" of model mechanisms...
- Do not bother pretending they are accurate
- Use extensively smell tests

- Estimating linearized models (while still challenging) yields relatively more trustable results
- Monetary policy modeling can then have the flavor of forecasting

Mode of operation

Finstab & macropru

- Scenario analysis (what-if)
- Model = framework to make assumptions explicit
- Search for most critical parameters and inputs

- Closer to statistical forecasting
- Model = "probabilistic" description of reality
- Build parameters immune to policy actions

Idiosyncracies

Finstab & macropru

- Large amounts of accounting/reporting/regulatory specifics
- Need to be incorporated within the models to correctly intepret data

Monetary

 Macro concepts more <u>universal</u> across countries and time

How to formally describe the policy problem

Finstab & macropru

- Macropru is to prevent (or at least alleviate) major catastrophes by making the system more robust to tail-risk events
- This is a robust control problem:
 Minimize the cost of the worst events
- Macropru is not to (and must not be) thought as fine-tuning business or financial cycles
- Regulators do not impose buffers to reduce bank business but to create buffers for bad times

- Monetary (and fiscal) policies are often described as an optimal control problem
- Set up a reaction function to optimize some sort of objective function in the neighborhood of steady state

Policy reaction function

Finstab & macropru

- Impossible to create a simply/stylized reaction function that would work across a variety of scenarios
- Build-release based policies

Monetary

 The essence of monetary policy can be translated to a <u>simple reaction</u> <u>function</u>