Preregistration:

1. Have any data been collected for this study already?

We will use the French open source corpus ParlaMint 2.0 and extract our sample from Sketch Engine with a semi-automatic approach (CQL and manual filtering). We have already extracted a sample of all 1,193 "se faire" constructions present in the corpus via the following CQL expression:

([lemma="se"] [lemma="faire"] []{0,3} [tag="V.N.\*"] | [lemma="je"] []{0,3} [lemma="me"] [lemma="faire"] []{0,3} [tag="V.N.\*"] | [lemma="tu"] []{0,3} [lemma="te"] [lemma="faire"] []{0,3} [tag="V.N.\*"] | [lemma="vous"] [tag!="Fc"]{0,3} [lemma="vous"] [lemma="faire"] []{0,3} [tag="V.N.\*"] | [lemma="nous"] [tag!="Fc"]{0,3} [lemma="nous"] [lemma="faire"] []{0,3} [tag="V.N.\*"])

→ We have filtered these constructions manually. After excluding …: XXX occurences.

We exclude the following cases from the analysis through manual filtering:

* Erroneously tagged constructions that are not *se faire* + Vinf expressions.
* Expressions that do not allow for a paraphrase with the être passive, either because they are ungrammatical or fundamentally differ in meaning. This includes:
  + Fixed idiomatic expressions with a figurative meaning
  + Expressions that cannot be converted into a correct active sentence (i.e., the direct object of the active sentence is not the subject of the passive sentence). (ditransitive, intransitive verbs ?)
  + Expressions containing verbs classified as "idiomes lexicalisés" (lexicalized idioms) according to Raineri (2010: 163). These verbs neither carry a true causative nor passive meaning and cannot occur with a complément d’agent: *entendre, sentir, attendre, désirer* (Raineri 2010: 164)
  + (Expressions with a highly causative meaning → maybe not necessary if covered by the other criteria?)

1. What’s the main question being asked or hypothesis being tested in this study?

* What factors influence the “se faire” vs. “être” passive alternation in spoken French (specifically: parliamentary debates)?
* In other words: what factors influence speakers’ choice between the “se faire” and the “être” passive construction?
* Operationalized as: the probability that the “se faire” passive construction is used

1. Describe the key dependent variable(s) specifying how they will be measured.

* The dependent variable is the type of passive construction (“se faire”/“être”)
* Values: 0 = “être” construction; 1 = “se faire” construction
* Criteria - Constructions will only be included if:
  + the construction can be realized with both “se faire” and “être” passive
  + “se faire” construction has a passive (and not an exclusively causative) reading
  + the verb is a transitive verb
  + “se faire” + Inf -> exclude main verb “faire”? (“se fait”/”s’est fait” without Inf meaning “is made”)
  + the “être” construction is not an adjectival construction (e.g. *la porte est ouverte*)
  + ~~no modal verbs? (could be a factor but complex, potential interactions with subject responsibility, and might be very few constructions) ?~~
  + Complément d’agent only with *par* and not with *de*

1. How many and which conditions will participants be assigned to?

* This is a corpus study, so there will be no participants but rather texts.
* Factors for the logistic regression:
  + Subject
    - Animacy (inanimate/animate)
    - Person ()
    - Number (singular/plural)
    - Gender ()
  + Verb
    - TAM (tense, aspect, mode)
    - Main verb semantic group (clusters): (e.g. dynamic/stative, cognition, movement, perception, telic/atelic…)
    - Adversativity of the verb (non-adversative/adversative) or (positive/ neutral/ negative)
  + ~~[Modality? (spoken/ written) → probably not, see 5)]~~
  + ~~[Register? (informal/formal) → probably not]~~
  + Complément d’agent (absent/present) (if enough occurrences in data)
  + ~~[Periphrase constructions (present/absent)→ probably too few in data]~~
  + Negation (present/absent) (if enough occurrences for negated constructions in the date)
* Interactions tested:
  + Animacy of subject and Complément d‘agent: if the subject is inanimate, it more likely requires an external agent to clarify who/ what performs the action; conversely if the subject is animate, such an external agent is less needed.   
    🡪 être passives may be more common with inanimate subjects when a complement d’agent is present. Se faire passive tends to favour animate subjects without a Complément d’agent.
  + Animacy and verb clusters: not all verbs can occur with animate subjects (Which ones?)
  + subject animacy and verb adversativity: Negative consequences of actions, expressed by adversative verbs, may affect animate subjects more than inanimate subjects   
    🡪 Adversative verbs strengthen the preference for se faire passives whenthe subject is animate.

1. Specify exactly which analyses you will conduct to examine the main question/hypothesis.

* We will use a mixed-effects logistic regression model. The model will calculate the probability that a given construction is realized with „se faire“.
* The predictor variables will be normalized (log of the odds)
* Random effects (to avoid individual effects):
  + lemma of the verb (only of dataset is large enough; if lemma as random effect then no cluster)
  + corpus
  + speaker (metadata)
* p-value 0.05
* First, we will build a maximal model that includes all the factors, interactions and random effects described in 4). For this, the glmer( ) function in R will be used.

passive\_variant ~ subj\_animacy + subj\_person + subj\_number + subj\_gender + tense + cluster + adversativity + complement + subj\_animacy: adversativity +cluster: tense + subj\_animacy: agent\_pp … + (1| lemma) + (1|speaker)

If there are any, we will then remove insignificant interactions to improve R2. Then, we will manually remove variables one by one to find the model with highest R2 (i.e. the model that explains most of the variance).

The significant factors and interactions will be visualised and analysed in terms of our research question (see 2)).

1. Describe exactly how outliers will be defined and handled, and your precise rule(s) for excluding observations.

* If feature turns out to be irrelevant ort there’s not enough data for each of the levels: merge or drop?
* If we come across constructions which are erroneously included because they slipped past our manual filtering, these will be excluded.

1. How many observations will be collected or what will determine sample size?

The sample size will be determined by the amount of “se faire” passive constructions, which are very few in proportion to the “être” passive constructions. We have extracted all “se faire” passive constructions present in the corpus (see 1)) and filtered them manually to exclude false positives: After this, we ended up with xxx constructions, and this amount will be matched by the être constructions.

We will select an equal number of occurrences of the “être” passive by making a list of the lemmas and using this list to take a random sample of “être” constructions with the same lemmas. If there are not enough occurrences of these lemmas in the “être” passive constructions in the corpus, we will take a random sample of “être” passive constructions. The exact sampling process is explained in 8).

1. Anything else you would like to pre-register?

As the data are very unbalanced, we plan to take some steps to minimise bias and ensure reproducibility.

After extracting the se faire constructions we will make a list of all lemmas found in the “se faire” constructions. For the être passive constructions, we will search constructions using a CQL expression in SketchEngine. We will then filter the concordances using the list of lemmas we have previously collected from the “se faire” constructions. All filtered instances will be downloaded and stored in a .csv file. For reproducibility, we will then set a seed and use this to randomly sample the data. The seed will be determined by the lottery numbers on Wednesday, 5th March 2025 as provided on the Website <https://www.lotto.de/lotto-6aus49/lottozahlen> using the function set.seed() in R. Using this, we will take a random sample from the concordance lines that is equal in size to the manually filtered amount of se faire constructions (i.e. xxx)

Both of us will annotate all constructions manually for features listed in 4). The Inter-Annotator-Agreement will be calculated.