⑤ ChatGPT

ERP Transition Evaluation for PROMED: NAF 6.0 → Oracle NetSuite

Introduction

PROMED S.A. is a leading provider of medical devices and specialized services in Central America and the Caribbean [1] . The company operates across multiple countries (Panamá, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Guatemala, Nicaragua, Dominican Republic) [2] , serving both public and private sector clients under diverse regulatory regimes. Currently, PROMED relies on a legacy on-premises ERP (NAF 6.0) that supports many core functions (finance, inventory, payables/receivables, purchasing, service, regulatory, etc.) [3] , but the system is heavily **fragmented** – supplemented by numerous separate tools (e.g. Shopify e-commerce, Odoo, multiple WMS systems, Google Sheets, etc.) [4] . This fragmentation has led to *manual processes*, lack of **automation**, poor **traceability** of transactions, and siloed data, making it difficult to get a unified view of operations. Given a **limited IT team** and recent financial constraints, PROMED is considering a major digital transformation: replacing NAF with **Oracle NetSuite**, a modern cloud-based ERP solution. The goal, as stated in PROMED's RFP, is to adopt a **scalable cloud ERP** to improve efficiency, centralize multi-country operations, and gain better visibility and analytics [5] .

This report evaluates whether the **long-term and short-term benefits** of migrating to NetSuite would outweigh the costs and risks for PROMED. We examine: (1) the historical context of ERP transitions (especially cloud ERP in Latin American healthcare/complex service firms), (2) a cost-benefit analysis of switching from NAF to NetSuite (covering licenses, implementation, training, maintenance vs. expected improvements), and (3) *less obvious* risks and challenges such a project entails (data migration complexity, organizational change management, multi-jurisdiction compliance pitfalls, vendor lock-in, etc.). The aim is to provide PROMED with a structured decision framework and practical guidance, supported by recent examples and reliable sources from the past 2–3 years.

Historical Perspective: ERP Transitions & Cloud Adoption in LATAM Health Services

Over the past decade, enterprises worldwide – including in Latin America – have been steadily **modernizing legacy ERPs** and moving toward cloud-based systems. In fact, roughly *50% of companies globally are in the process of acquiring or upgrading ERP systems*, chiefly to **replace outdated legacy systems** and **consolidate disparate applications** with newer technology [6] . Latin American organizations, in particular, face unique operational challenges that cloud ERPs seek to address. These include **disconnected systems across regional subsidiaries**, **complex local tax and compliance requirements**, limited visibility into overall performance, and heavy reliance on **manual workflows** that hinder scale [7] . Historically, many firms in the region have used bespoke or localized on-premise solutions (like PROMED's NAF) that struggle to keep up with multi-country regulatory changes and real-time reporting needs. As a result, cloud ERP adoption in LATAM has accelerated – it's now seen "not just a trend but the future," offering real-time access to data, lower IT infrastructure costs, automatic updates for changing regulations, and easier collaboration across countries [8] [9] . Industry data confirms this momentum: the **healthcare and life sciences sector** (along with manufacturing and

tech) is driving a significant portion of ERP market growth [10] , and the Latin American cloud-ERP market overall is projected to more than double in the coming decade [11] [12] .

That said, history also teaches that **ERP transitions are challenging**. Major implementations have a high failure rate if not managed properly – Gartner research finds roughly **55% to 75% of ERP projects fail to meet their objectives** [13] . Common pitfalls have included underestimating project scope/ complexity, inadequate data cleanup, poor change management, and choosing an ill-fitting solution or partner. The healthcare domain adds complexity due to strict regulatory compliance (e.g. traceability of medical devices, electronic invoicing mandates, patient data privacy) and mission-critical processes that tolerate little downtime. There have been both cautionary tales and success stories in the region. On the success side, consider *Laboratorios Eufar* – a Colombian manufacturer of dental and bio-safety products. They modernized from a legacy system to **NetSuite OneWorld** (the multi-entity cloud version) and saw transformative gains: the new ERP enabled them to launch an e-commerce channel and expand from 400 to 2,000 customers across Colombia, while providing **real-time financial reports** for operations in 13 countries (each with different regulations and currencies) [14] [15] . This improved their profitability and gave management end-to-end visibility into orders and inventory across the region. Such cases illustrate that, with the right approach, **cloud ERP can empower growth and compliance** even for complex multi-country healthcare businesses. However, for every success like Eufar's, there are projects that ran over-budget or were abandoned due to unforeseen hurdles. PROMED should learn from these precedents: the drive to replace NAF with NetSuite aligns with global and regional trends (modernizing legacy, unifying siloed systems), but it must be executed with meticulous planning to avoid becoming part of the failure statistics.

Cost-Benefit Analysis of Migrating from NAF 6.0 to NetSuite

Cost Considerations

Implementing NetSuite will involve **significant upfront and ongoing costs**. Key cost components include:

- **Licensing and Subscription Fees:** NetSuite is sold as a cloud subscription (Software-as-a-Service). PROMED would likely need the *NetSuite OneWorld (Mid-Market)* edition to handle multiple legal entities and countries. Base subscription for mid-sized multi-entity organizations starts around **$2,500 per month** [16] [17] . In addition, NetSuite uses a named-user licensing model: each full-access user license costs roughly **$129 per user per month** as of late 2025 [18] . With PROMED's workforce, this could mean on the order of dozens of full users (finance, supply chain, managers) and potentially hundreds of limited/self-service users (for basic functions like entering timesheets or expense reports). For example, PROMED has ~62 finance users and up to 150 service techs, among others, in the current system [19] – licensing a comparable count in NetSuite might cost tens of thousands of dollars per month. NetSuite OneWorld and other advanced modules (e.g. for inventory management, revenue recognition, etc.) also carry add-on fees, typically **$500–$900 per module per month** [20] [21] . Over a year, subscription costs could easily be in the **high five to low six figures (USD)**, which is likely higher than PROMED's spend on the legacy NAF (especially if NAF is a sunk-cost or a lower-cost local solution). It's crucial to obtain a detailed license quote based on required modules and user counts to forecast this accurately.

- **Implementation Services:** The migration project will require a **NetSuite implementation partner** (as indicated by PROMED's RFP) [22] . Implementation costs often *rival or exceed the first year of software fees*. These costs cover project management, process design, system

configuration, data migration, customizations, integrations, testing, and training. For a company of PROMED's size and complexity (7+ subsidiaries, many modules, many integrations), a full implementation could take anywhere from 6 to 12+ months and cost a substantial sum in consulting hours. Recent industry surveys show most large ERP projects take 6–18 months [23] , and using experienced consultants is linked to higher success rates (85% success when a skilled firm is hired) [24] . PROMED's RFP explicitly asks vendors to detail phased project plans (process analysis, configuration/customization, data migration, UAT, training, go-live, support) [25] , so one can expect a phased billing. While exact figures vary, mid-market ERP implementations often run into **hundreds of thousands of dollars**. Additionally, if PROMED wants minimal internal IT hiring, the partner's role (and cost) will be larger. This is a **one-time (or project-duration) cost**, but any budget overruns would directly impact the company's finances, which is a concern given the recent cash crunch.

- **Training and Change Management:** A frequently underestimated cost is the effort to **train staff and manage organizational change**. PROMED will need to invest in comprehensive training so that end-users (from finance clerks to service technicians) can effectively use NetSuite's new interface and workflows. This might involve on-site training sessions, creating new procedure documents, and potentially some "double-running" period where old and new systems overlap. There is an **opportunity cost** here as well: employees will spend time in training and initial productivity may dip as they learn the new system. The RFP's scope includes *"Capacitación y Gestión del Cambio"* (training and change management) [26] , showing PROMED acknowledges this need. Still, it will cost both **money** (if the implementation partner provides formal training, often billed separately or included in the package) and **time/effort** from the business. Poor training can lead to low user adoption, which is a known cause of ERP failure [27] . Thus, budget should be allocated for a robust change management program – perhaps 10-15% of the project effort – to ensure smooth adoption.

- **Data Migration and Cleansing:** While perhaps not a direct dollar line item like licenses or services, the **effort to migrate 5+ years of historical data** [28] from NAF to NetSuite is a significant cost driver. PROMED has a large volume of transactional data (e.g. 35k+ invoices annually, 90k+ inventory movements, etc. in 2024 alone) [29] and master records (8,000+ customers, 3,900+ suppliers, ~314k item records) [30] . Extracting, cleansing, and importing this data will consume consulting and internal hours. If data quality in NAF is poor or inconsistent (common in legacy systems), extra work may be needed to deduplicate or enrich the data. Complex data migration might also incur costs for temporary storage, scripts, or even potential third-party tools to facilitate the transfer. All of this adds to the *implementation cost*, but it's worth highlighting as a separate consideration because underestimating data migration complexity can lead to budget overruns.

- **Ongoing Maintenance and Support:** Post go-live, NetSuite will require **annual renewal fees** (for the subscription) and possibly a support contract. The good news is that as a cloud solution, technical maintenance (infrastructure, patches, backups) is handled by Oracle NetSuite and included in the subscription. This could reduce burden on PROMED's small IT team compared to maintaining on-prem servers. However, PROMED may still need to budget for **post-implementation support** in terms of either retaining the partner for a period, or hiring a NetSuite administrator/analyst in-house. The RFP asks for costs of post-implementation support and hourly rates for ongoing work [31] [32] – indicating the company expects to need vendor assistance beyond go-live. Additionally, as business needs change, PROMED might require periodic customizations or new modules, which come at a cost. Over the long term, NetSuite's **total cost of ownership** will include these subscription renewals (which can increase over time) and the cost of adapting the system as the business evolves. In terms of pure subscription,

NetSuite is often more expensive annually than the licensing and maintenance fees of older ERPs; however, it *outsources* a lot of IT overhead and brings new capabilities that may **save costs elsewhere** (for example, reducing manual labor or legacy system maintenance fees).

In summary, the **short-term costs** of transitioning to NetSuite are high. PROMED will be looking at a **major capital project** with upfront implementation fees and a substantial increase in **recurring software expenses**. It is vital to perform a detailed ROI analysis: the company must ensure that the efficiency gains and other benefits from NetSuite will, over a reasonable horizon, offset these costs.

Expected Benefits and ROI

Switching to a modern, cloud-based ERP like Oracle NetSuite can deliver a wide array of **benefits** for PROMED, both tangible (financial) and intangible (operational improvements). Key expected benefits include:

- **Process Automation & Efficiency Gains:** NetSuite could streamline many of PROMED's currently manual or fragmented processes. For example, approvals and workflows can be digitized, data entry efforts reduced (through integrations or AI tools), and reports generated automatically. By unifying functions (financials, inventory, sales, service etc.) in one system, PROMED can eliminate duplicate data entry across systems and manual reconciliation tasks. Studies have shown ERP implementations often result in substantial productivity improvements – e.g. companies report ~25% increases in operational efficiency and significant cuts in administrative overhead after fully adopting modern ERPs [33] [34] . In PROMED's case, areas like invoice processing, purchase order handling, and inventory tracking are likely to speed up. For instance, a NetSuite solution could include **AP invoice automation** (as seen in a similar NetSuite project for a pharma company) to reduce the time staff spend on data entry [35] . Over time, these efficiency gains translate to labor cost savings or at least the ability to handle more volume with the same headcount.

- **Unified Data & Better Visibility:** One of the core advantages of ERP is having a **single source of truth** for the business. NetSuite will centralize data from all departments and countries, providing management with real-time dashboards and analytics across PROMED's entire operation. Currently, because NAF and other tools are separate, assembling consolidated reports (e.g. a regional financial statement or a group-wide inventory status) is cumbersome and prone to errors. NetSuite OneWorld is designed for multi-subsidiary consolidation and can handle multi-currency financials seamlessly [36] [37] . PROMED's executives would gain instant insight into key KPIs like sales by country, inventory turnover, service requests status, and cash flow, without waiting for manual reports. This improved **traceability** and insight enables faster decision-making. For example, after implementing NetSuite, Laboratorios Eufar was able to get *real-time accounting reports* for 13 different regulatory jurisdictions from the single system, whereas previously reporting was slower and segmented [38] . Such visibility can help identify issues (e.g. a compliance gap or an inventory shortfall in one country) early and improve strategic planning.

- **Scalability and New Capabilities:** NetSuite's cloud platform would position PROMED for **future growth** in a way NAF likely cannot. As PROMED adds new product lines or even enters new markets, NetSuite can scale by simply enabling new modules or adding subsidiaries within the OneWorld architecture. The system natively supports multi-currency and multi-language operations and has localizations (often via partners like LatamReady) for tax compliance in many LATAM countries [39] . This means PROMED can more confidently expand services or adapt to new regulations. Additionally, NetSuite offers modules that PROMED's current environment

might lack – for example, integrated CRM for sales, e-commerce (SuiteCommerce) to enhance online sales channels, or advanced inventory management for tracking medical device lots/ serials (crucial for regulatory traceability and recalls). By adopting NetSuite, PROMED could also improve its **customer service** and responsiveness; all customer orders, support cases, and billing info can be accessible in one system, potentially improving turnaround times for clients. In short, the company gets a modern platform that **won't be the bottleneck** to growth – this is a long-term benefit that is hard to quantify but very significant.

- **Improved Compliance & Auditability:** Operating in healthcare and in multiple countries, PROMED faces stringent compliance requirements – from **local tax laws** (e.g. electronic invoicing mandates, VAT/tax withholding rules) to **medical device regulations** (e.g. lot tracking, service quality reporting) and internal controls. NetSuite, combined with region-specific add-ons, can greatly assist in compliance. It can enforce standardized processes across all branches (for instance, ensuring every sales order follows an approval workflow and every inventory movement is logged). The system provides **audit trails** and role-based security, which is essential for traceability and segregation of duties [40]. Many regulatory tasks that NAF might not automate can be built into NetSuite – for example, automatic tax calculation per country or generation of compliance reports in required formats. PROMED's RFP explicitly lists requirements like *fiscal compliance for Panama, Costa Rica, etc.*, electronic invoicing integration, and lot traceability for devices [41] [42] – these are areas where NetSuite has proven solutions (often via SuiteApps). By centralizing compliance in one system, PROMED would **reduce the risk of errors or penalties**. For instance, an ERP can automatically apply the correct sales tax or retention for each jurisdiction, which a user might forget to do manually in a spreadsheet. Moreover, during audits (financial or regulatory), having all data in one well-structured system makes it easier to demonstrate compliance. This risk mitigation is a key benefit – while it might not directly show up as revenue, avoiding fines or reputational damage due to compliance failures is invaluable. (Of note, Oracle's cloud has robust security certifications and data protection measures, which is an upgrade from what a small IT team could maintain on their own servers.)

- **Reduction of IT Maintenance Burden:** With NetSuite's SaaS model, PROMED's limited IT personnel could redirect their focus from maintaining old ERP infrastructure to more value-added activities. Currently, if NAF 6.0 is on-premise, tasks like server upkeep, software patches, backups, and troubleshooting fall on IT (or incur third-party support costs). NetSuite would offload most of that to Oracle's cloud operations. Automatic upgrades mean PROMED always runs a supported, up-to-date version (contrasting with the current situation, where NAF might be several versions behind modern standards). This also means no expensive future **upgrade projects** – in legacy ERPs, major upgrades can be as costly as implementations, whereas NetSuite upgrades are incremental and included. Over the long term, this could be a **cost saver** and reduce dependence on a large internal IT team. PROMED might avoid hiring additional IT staff that would have been needed to support a growing, fragmented systems landscape. Instead, the company can function with a lean team plus the cloud vendor support. Given PROMED's caution around hiring due to financial constraints, this benefit aligns with keeping fixed payroll costs lower while leveraging external service.

- **Intangible Benefits (Agility and Innovation):** Beyond the immediate operational improvements, moving to NetSuite can foster a more data-driven, agile culture at PROMED. Employees will have more modern tools (including mobile access to ERP, dashboards, etc.), which can improve morale and productivity. The company could integrate NetSuite with other modern platforms (e.g. its Shopify web store, or field service management apps) more easily via APIs, enabling true end-to-end digital workflows. In essence, NetSuite can act as a backbone for **digital transformation**. Companies that have undergone similar transformations in LATAM

report being able to launch new business models faster and respond to market changes more swiftly [43] . For PROMED, this might mean the ability to introduce subscription-based services, manage consumable medical supplies on a consignment basis, or other innovative offerings that would be hard to support on the old system. While hard to measure in dollars, this *strategic flexibility* is a big plus in the rapidly evolving healthcare market.

In financial terms, the benefit side of the equation would ideally be quantified through an ROI analysis: e.g. estimating how much process improvements save money (through headcount optimization or avoiding future hires), how better inventory management might reduce carrying costs or wastage, how faster billing could improve cash flow, etc. Some organizations see direct savings like a reduction in Days Sales Outstanding (DSO) after ERP, leading to better cash positions. Others see a reduction in stock-outs or excess inventory due to better planning. PROMED can look at specific pain points in NAF (perhaps frequent stock discrepancies or slow financial closes) and target improvements. For example, many companies find that after ERP implementation they can close their books much faster – NetSuite users in LATAM have reported **faster financial close cycles and improved accuracy** in data, which frees up finance teams for analysis work [33] . If PROMED's finance team currently struggles to close monthly books due to scattered data, NetSuite could cut that time significantly (thus reducing overtime or consultant costs for financial reporting).

In summary, the **long-term benefits** of switching to NetSuite are compelling: a modern unified system can *unlock efficiency, ensure compliance, and enable growth* in ways the old NAF environment cannot. These benefits are the reason so many firms are replacing legacy ERPs despite the high costs [44] . PROMED stands to gain in both quantitative metrics (cost savings, revenue growth from better customer service, etc.) and qualitative aspects (better decision-making, ability to adapt quickly, lower risk). The **key question** is whether these gains outweigh the upfront investment and short-term disruption. That balance often depends on execution – which brings us to the risks and challenges that must be managed to actually realize the projected benefits.

Key Risks and Challenges of the Transition

Adopting NetSuite is not without risks. Some are common to any large ERP project, while others relate to PROMED's specific context (multi-country healthcare operations, a small IT team, budget sensitivity). Here we outline several **non-obvious** or frequently overlooked risks, and why they matter:

- **Data Migration Complexity:** Migrating from NAF 6.0 (a system likely tailored to PROMED's workflows over years) into NetSuite's data structures will be complex. The risk is that **data quality issues or mapping difficulties** could derail the project. For example, PROMED's item master of 313k products [30] might contain duplicates, obsolete items, or inconsistent codes across countries. Customer and supplier records may not have a one-to-one mapping in NetSuite without cleaning (e.g. addressing naming inconsistencies or missing fields). Transactional data for five years must be extracted and transformed to NetSuite's format – any errors here could lead to *loss of historical traceability* or incorrect opening balances. If the legacy data is unreliable, there's also a question of how much to migrate (all five years vs. summary balances). The **risk** is twofold: (1) underestimating the time and effort for migration, which can cause project delays and cost overruns, and (2) potential disruption at go-live if some data doesn't migrate correctly (leading to operational hiccups or needing parallel reference to the old system). Mitigation involves extensive data cleansing ahead of time and possibly reducing scope (migrate essential history only, keep NAF accessible read-only for older records). PROMED should also budget ample time for data reconciliation in the plan. This area often hides nasty surprises – for instance, if custom workflows in NAF stored data in unconventional ways, writing scripts to

export those to NetSuite will require deep analysis. A *dry run* of data migration during testing is advisable to uncover issues early. In summary, data migration is a **high-risk phase** due to PROMED's large, complex datasets; failure to properly handle it can jeopardize the integrity of the new system from day one.

- **Organizational Readiness & Change Friction:** Switching ERPs will impact nearly every PROMED employee's daily work. **User adoption risk** is very real – if staff are not prepared to change their routines, the new system might be underutilized or even actively resisted. PROMED has many users (e.g. 600 users who handle transactions in some capacity, per the RFP) [19], from salespeople to warehouse clerks to accountants. These users have likely grown accustomed to NAF's screens and perhaps certain workarounds (spreadsheets, etc.). Imposing NetSuite's way of doing things can cause fear or pushback, especially if employees worry the new system will expose mistakes or even threaten jobs through automation. A **common cause of ERP failure is lack of sufficient user training and buy-in**: employees may resist using the system or use it incorrectly if they don't understand its benefits [27]. Given PROMED's lean IT team, internal change management might be limited – that's a risk, because the project cannot be solely "IT-driven"; it needs business champions in each department. Also, the company's recent cash crunch and reluctance to hire may have impacted morale – a big system change could add stress. Without clear communication and support, key personnel might leave (if frustrated by the change), or productivity could plummet in the short term. There's also the risk that management expects immediate improvements, but users go through a learning curve that temporarily *reduces* efficiency post go-live, creating disappointment. To mitigate this, PROMED should invest in **organizational change management**: involve end-users early, use testing phases (UAT) to let them get hands-on experience, and clearly explain how NetSuite will make their jobs easier (e.g. less manual work, less firefighting). It's encouraging that the implementation plan includes training and change management [26]; however, this must be thorough. The company might consider appointing *"NetSuite ambassadors"* or super-users in each department who get extra training and can help their peers. Another facet is **process change**: NetSuite will enforce more standardized processes than a customized NAF. Some employees might view the new rigid processes as inflexible. PROMED's leadership will need to set the tone that adopting industry best practices (even if it means changing how tasks are done) is positive for the company's future. In essence, the risk is that *technology change outpaces the people's readiness* – controlling that pace and ensuring the organization is mentally prepared is as important as the technical work.

- **Multi-Jurisdiction Compliance Pitfalls:** PROMED's operations span multiple countries, each with distinct **financial regulations, tax rules, and reporting obligations**. Implementing NetSuite OneWorld will provide a framework for multi-company accounting, but there are many potential compliance pitfalls if the configuration is not done perfectly. For example, Latin American countries have stringent **electronic invoicing** regimes (e.g. DGI in Panama, CFDI in Mexico, e-invoices in Costa Rica) that require invoices to be reported to government systems in specific formats. NetSuite does not natively cover all local compliance out-of-the-box – it often requires **localized SuiteApps or customizations** (e.g. the LatamReady SuiteApp for tax compliance in 18+ countries) [39] [45]. The risk is that during implementation, certain local requirements might be overlooked or misunderstood by the implementation partner, leading to non-compliance issues later. For instance, something as simple as handling a withholding tax on supplier payments in, say, Guatemala might require a custom script or additional module – if not set up, PROMED could inadvertently miss a tax withholding and incur penalties. Likewise, payroll ("Planilla") and labor regulations differ by country; if PROMED intends to manage HR/payroll in NetSuite, that's another complex area to get right (though many companies keep payroll in specialized local systems due to complexity). Additionally, **regulatory reporting** (e.g. official

financial statements formats, or medical device traceability reports to health authorities) must be considered. There's a risk that NetSuite's standard reports won't meet a government's format, necessitating custom reports. If these needs are discovered late, they could delay go-live or, worse, go live and then scramble to fix when an issue arises. Compliance risk also extends to **data residency/privacy** – storing data in a global cloud might raise questions if any country requires data localization (not usually the case for general ERP data in these countries, but personal data might have protections). PROMED, dealing with health products, should also ensure that any patient-related data (if any in the system, e.g. service records linking to patients) is handled per privacy laws. The mitigation here is to leverage **experienced local NetSuite partners or consultants** who have done projects in each of PROMED's countries. The RFP specifically requests that bidders have 10+ years experience in health/medical device sector and in Latin America OneWorld implementations [46] – this is exactly to mitigate this risk. PROMED should verify that the chosen partner is prepared to configure tax codes, electronic invoice integrations, and any required customizations for *each jurisdiction*. Testing should include compliance scenarios (e.g. generating a tax report for Panama's fiscal authorities and verifying it). While NetSuite is capable of multi-country compliance (it's a selling point), the *devil is in the details* – a mis-configured setting could result in, say, an invoice that isn't legally valid in a given country. Thus, compliance is a risk if not treated as a top priority during design and testing.

- **Integration and Continuity Challenges:** PROMED today relies on various satellite systems (as listed in the RFP: Shopify for online sales, Kbox and Manhattan for warehouse management, SimpliRoute for logistics, Odoo for who-knows-what, Oracle EPM for financial planning, etc.) [47] . The intention is likely that NetSuite will integrate with or even replace some of these. **Integration risk** is significant: if the new ERP doesn't properly interface with an existing system that must remain, business continuity suffers. For example, if PROMED's Shopify webstore is not smoothly integrated, online orders might not flow into NetSuite sales orders, causing manual work or errors. Likewise, if the field service or inventory systems don't sync, inventory records could become inconsistent. Each integration point (there are at least 5-7 critical ones listed) is a potential failure point. Developing and testing these interfaces will be technically challenging – especially given that some systems (e.g. a legacy WMS or a custom Odoo module) might not have standard connectors. The risk is twofold: (1) **timeline risk** – building integrations can extend the project if difficulties arise, and (2) **post-go-live risk** – if an integration is flaky, it could disrupt operations (e.g. warehouse shipments not recorded in ERP). Additionally, if any of those peripheral systems are also being replaced or upgraded concurrently, that adds complexity (not explicitly stated, but something to clarify – e.g. will NetSuite's own inventory module replace the external WMS for some warehouses?). PROMED should approach this carefully: perhaps stagger some integrations or use middleware to simplify connections. The RFP asks for an "Integration Architecture" proposal [48] , indicating they are aware of the importance. Still, a common mistake is to underestimate how much custom development might be required to tie everything together. Ensuring that there is a solid plan for each interface – and fallback procedures if integration fails – is crucial. For instance, if the connection to Oracle EPM (planning system) goes down, how will data be transferred? Planning these contingencies lowers the risk of business interruption.

- **Vendor Lock-In and Future Flexibility:** Moving to a comprehensive cloud suite like NetSuite does introduce a **vendor lock-in risk**. Once PROMED migrates all its data and processes into NetSuite, reversing course would be difficult. They will be reliant on Oracle NetSuite for the foreseeable future. This has a few implications: **pricing power** – Oracle could increase renewal fees, and PROMED would have limited leverage (migrating off again would be costly). Also, any customizations built (using NetSuite's SuiteScript or proprietary tools) are not easily portable to another platform. If in the future PROMED gets acquired or needs to merge systems, they might

find NetSuite's structure hard to export data out of in bulk (it's possible, but not trivial, to extract all transactional data). Essentially, PROMED is "all-in" on one vendor's ecosystem. This is not unlike being locked into NAF previously, but with cloud vendors the switching costs can be even higher once all modules are utilized. Additionally, NetSuite's **proprietary language** for customizations (SuiteScript) and its own ecosystem mean PROMED will either need to maintain a relationship with certified partners or hire/retain NetSuite-skilled developers for any changes. Their small IT team will have to either get trained in NetSuite development or budget for ongoing consulting. There is a **risk of post-implementation dependence** on external help if the staff can't handle everything – e.g. even minor report changes might require calling a consultant if no one in-house can do Saved Searches or SuiteAnalytics. Over time, this can become a bottleneck if not addressed (either through training or hiring). Also, **performance and downtime risks** are now in the vendor's hands – while NetSuite generally has good uptime, an outage in the cloud would impact all operations, and PROMED can't fix it internally (they'd have to wait for Oracle). To mitigate vendor lock-in concerns, PROMED should negotiate contract terms carefully (ensure pricing is locked for a period or capped, include clauses for service availability, etc.). They should also keep backups of critical data (NetSuite allows data export in various forms) in case of emergencies. Another approach is to train at least one internal admin or developer on NetSuite so the company isn't 100% dependent on external parties for every little change. While the benefits of NetSuite likely outweigh this lock-in downside (since any ERP comes with some lock-in), it's worth noting that **flexibility to customize** will now be within the bounds of NetSuite's platform. If PROMED had extremely unique workflows in NAF (which they did – many custom processes are mentioned in the RFP [49] [42]), they will have to either configure NetSuite to match or adapt their processes to NetSuite's best practices. There is a subtle risk that some niche functionality might not be fully replicated, and PROMED will have to change how it operates (which can be painful if not recognized early).

- **Budget Overrun and Timeline Creep:** Finally, an overarching risk to mention is the **project management risk** – ERP projects are notorious for exceeding budgets or timelines if not tightly controlled. PROMED has limited financial slack at the moment, so an overrun could be problematic (either requiring additional capital or forcing scope cuts). Many factors can cause overruns: underestimating complexity (as discussed), scope creep (adding "nice-to-have" features during the project), or insufficient initial planning. Gartner notes that *inadequate upfront planning and scope definition is a leading cause of ERP failure* [50] . If PROMED's requirements are not thoroughly vetted (the RFP is quite comprehensive, which is good), the project could hit change orders that increase cost. Timeline delays can also incur extra costs (consultants billing more hours, etc.). To mitigate this, PROMED should have a **strong project governance**: clear milestones, a realistic timeline (likely phased rollout rather than "big bang" for everything at once, which 50%+ of organizations prefer [51]), and active steering by senior leadership. Executive support is critical to overcome obstacles – in fact, 77% of companies say executive buy-in is the top success factor in ERP projects [52] . PROMED's leadership must stay engaged to make prompt decisions (e.g. if a customization is too costly, is it okay to drop that requirement or find a workaround?). Given PROMED's cautious approach to spending, there's also a risk of under-budgeting – trying to cut costs (say, by limiting training or not engaging change management experts) could backfire if those cuts hurt adoption or quality. So, balancing budget discipline with necessary investment in quality is key. Building a small **contingency** (both time and money) into the plan is wise, considering unexpected issues almost always arise in ERP projects.

In summary, while the **risks are considerable**, none are insurmountable. The key is awareness and proactive management. PROMED is right to be cautious – the stats on ERP challenges warrant it – but with careful partner selection, realistic planning, and strong change management, these risks can be mitigated. Many of the risk factors (data, user adoption, compliance) have been the downfall of other

projects that rushed or skimped on critical steps. PROMED can learn from those lessons to avoid the same fate.

Conclusion & Recommendations

Should PROMED transition from NAF 6.0 to Oracle NetSuite? Based on the analysis, the answer leans toward **"Yes – provided the company commits to managing the costs and risks effectively."** The **strategic long-term benefits** of moving to NetSuite are compelling: PROMED would gain a modern, scalable platform aligning with its regional expansion and complex operational needs, addressing the very issues (lack of automation, fragmented systems, limited visibility) that hamper it today. This is supported by industry trends – many firms are replacing legacy ERPs to remain competitive [44] – and by success stories like a similar LATAM healthcare company that leveraged NetSuite to boost efficiency and multi-country management [14] [38] . In the **big picture**, staying on an outdated system like NAF carries its own risks: increasingly inefficient processes, difficulty complying with evolving regulations, and the inability to support growth or new business models. Over time, those would translate into lost opportunities and possibly mounting technical debt. NetSuite, as a cloud solution, can future-proof PROMED's operations and likely provide a positive return on investment over several years, through cost savings and enabled growth.

However, the **short-term hurdles and costs are significant**. PROMED's leadership should go into this transition with eyes open. In the near term (the next 1-2 years), the company will incur high expenses – implementation fees, subscription costs, training time – and will experience substantial change that could temporarily disrupt business. The key determination is whether PROMED can financially and organizationally *withstand this transition period*. Given the recent cash crunch, a cautious approach might be to **phase the implementation** or ensure financing is secured (perhaps negotiate payment milestones with the vendor, as outlined in the RFP's proposed payment schedule [53] [54]). If cash flow is a serious issue, PROMED might consider deferring certain non-critical modules to later phases to spread out costs. It's also worth exploring if any legacy components of NAF can be kept for a bit (hybrid approach) to reduce immediate scope – though maintaining two ERPs longer than necessary can add complexity, so this must be balanced.

Recommendations:
1. **Perform a Detailed Business Case Calculation:** Before final commitment, PROMED should refine the cost-benefit analysis with actual vendor quotes and internal estimates. Include all one-time and recurring costs, and forecast the benefits (e.g. how many FTE hours saved, error reduction, faster cash collection, etc.). This will clarify the payback period. If the long-term benefits significantly outweigh costs (which is expected if done right), it strengthens the decision to proceed.

   1. **Choose the Right Implementation Partner:** As multiple sources emphasize, selecting an experienced implementation partner is *crucial* [55] [56] . PROMED should evaluate NetSuite partners not just on price, but on their track record in similar projects (medical industry, Latin America multi-country, systems integration). A partner that understands local compliance (or works with SuiteApps like LatamReady for those needs) will mitigate many risks. Also, consider the partner's post-go-live support offerings, since PROMED will need ongoing help. The RFP's evaluation criteria about experience and methodology [57] [58] should be applied stringently. In essence, PROMED needs a **strategic ally** in this project, not just a vendor – one who will be honest about challenges and help navigate them.

   2. **Invest in Data Cleansing Early:** Start cleaning and standardizing data *before* the ERP build is in full swing. For example, begin reconciling inventory across systems, purge or archive obsolete

records, and engage business users in validating data extracts. Early data work will reduce migration headaches later. If data is in better shape, the actual migration into NetSuite (when the time comes) will be smoother and less risky.

3. **Phased Implementation (if feasible):** Given the breadth of PROMED's requirements, a **phased rollout** might reduce risk. For instance, implement core financials and inventory first, then add more complex modules (projects, CRM, etc.) in subsequent phases. Or go live country by country (though a simultaneous multi-country go-live can be done with OneWorld, it's high pressure). A phased approach aligns with best practices and the fact that >50% of organizations avoid big-bang go-lives [51] . It allows learning and adjustments on a smaller scale before full deployment. If a phased plan is chosen, ensure the interim process between old and new systems is managed (to avoid chaos of partial data in each).

4. **Robust Change Management:** Treat change management as a first-class workstream. Communicate the vision of the new ERP to all levels of staff – *why* the change is happening and *what's in it for them*. Provide adequate training not just at go-live, but ongoing (some users might need refreshers or new hire training). Solicit feedback and address concerns – for example, if sales reps fear the new CRM module will micro-manage them, demonstrate how it actually helps them track leads and commissions better. Leadership should visibly back the project and celebrate small wins (like a successful pilot). The goal is to build user buy-in so that the workforce becomes an advocate for NetSuite's usage, not an obstacle. This will ensure PROMED actually realizes the efficiency gains (an ERP only adds value if people use it properly). Remember that **people and processes** make the ERP successful, not just technology.

5. **Plan for Post-Go-Live Support:** The moment of truth is after the system goes live – problems or confusion then must be resolved quickly to avoid operational downtime. PROMED should have either the implementation partner on standby for a few months of hypercare and/or an internal support plan. It might be wise to identify a few internal "power users" in each department who get extra training and can troubleshoot first-line issues. Additionally, setting expectations that some issues will arise and that the organization will learn and iterate can psychologically prepare the team. With cloud ERP, improvements can be continuous (small tweaks or added automation after go-live), so treat the go-live as the start of a stabilization period, not the end of the journey.

6. **Mitigate Financial Strain:** If budget is a serious concern, PROMED could negotiate with Oracle/ partner for flexible payment terms (the RFP's staged payment plan suggests this is planned [53]). Also consider if any processes can be simplified to reduce customization (each customization has a cost; adopting NetSuite's standard process where acceptable can save time/money). Keep some contingency funds aside (e.g. ~15% of project budget) to handle unforeseen needs without panicking. Since PROMED is cautious about hiring, ensure the project team from the business side is still adequately staffed – backfill key roles if those employees will be tied up on the project, so daily operations don't suffer (which could cause financial issues). Basically, budget not just for the software/consultants, but also the internal effort and possible temporary labor to relieve workload during the transition.

In conclusion, **the long-term payoff of NetSuite appears to outweigh the costs** for PROMED, especially given the company's growth and complexity. Sticking with the status quo (NAF 6.0 and a tangle of separate tools) would likely hinder PROMED's efficiency and regional ambitions, and could end up costing more in hidden ways (inefficiencies, errors, compliance risks). A well-executed NetSuite implementation can unify the business and provide a platform for the next decade of growth. **However, success is not guaranteed** – PROMED must navigate the transition carefully. By learning from industry

best practices and the experiences of similar firms, and by proactively addressing the risks outlined, PROMED can significantly increase its chances of a smooth and successful ERP transformation.

Ultimately, if PROMED commits the necessary resources and attention to this project, the move to NetSuite can be a **catalyst for operational excellence**, enabling the company to serve its clients better, streamline its internal operations, and adapt quickly in the dynamic healthcare market of Latin America. The recommendation is therefore to proceed with the migration – with rigorous planning and risk mitigation – as the strategic benefits in both the short run (process improvements, better information) and long run (scalability, innovation, competitive edge) make it a worthwhile investment for PROMED's future.

Sources:

- PROMED RFP for ERP Migration (2025) – Company background, project objectives, current system scope [1] [5] [2], user counts and integrations [19], functional requirements [49] [42], RFP evaluation criteria [57].
- **NetSuite in LATAM & ERP Trends:** BringIT (2025) – Challenges in Latin American multi-country operations and how cloud ERP addresses them [7] [36]. LatamReady (2025) – NetSuite scalability and compliance localization in LATAM [59] [39]. Oracle NetSuite stats (2024) – Global ERP market trends and reasons for legacy system replacement [60], ERP adoption in healthcare [10], phased implementation preferences [51], critical success factors [52].
- **Case Examples:** NetSuite customer case – Laboratorios Eufar (2025) – benefits of NetSuite OneWorld for a LATAM medical products company (e-commerce expansion, customer growth, multi-country reporting) [14] [15]. Jade Global case (2023) – medicine company moving from fragmented systems to NetSuite for efficiency and compliance [61] [62].
- **Cost & ROI Data:** Kimberlite Partners (Oct 2025) – NetSuite pricing breakdown (editions, user license at $129/month) [63] [18] and module costs [20]. NetSuite ROI insights – benefits like faster closes, productivity gains [33].
- **ERP Implementation Risks:** Rand Group (2024) – ERP failure rates (55–75% fail to meet goals) [13] and common failure reasons (poor planning, user resistance) [50] [27]. Gartner via Rand – importance of right partner and planning [55]. These underscore the need for careful execution to realize the benefits.

---

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [19] [22] [25] [26] [28] [29] [30] [31] [32] [41] [42] [46] [47] [48] [49] [53] [54] [57] [58] RFP - Migración a Oracle Netsuite.docx.pdf
file://file_00000000ca54720ab3a5092c706f4afc

[6] [10] [23] [24] [44] [51] [52] [60] 60 Critical ERP Statistics: Market Trends, Data and Analysis | NetSuite
https://www.netsuite.com/portal/resource/articles/erp/erp-statistics.shtml

[7] [33] [36] [40] [43] Driving Digital Transformation in LATAM with NetSuite
https://www.bringitps.com/netsuite-digital-transformation-latam/

[8] [9] [11] [39] [59] NetSuite ERP: Unlock Success and Scale in Latin America | LatamReady
https://latamready.blog/netsuite-erp-unlock-success-and-scale-in-latin-america/

[12] Cloud ERP Industry Analysis in Latin America - Future Market Insights
https://www.futuremarketinsights.com/reports/cloud-erp-industry-analysis-in-latin-america

[13] [27] [50] [55] [56] What percentage of ERP implementations fail? | Rand Group
https://www.randgroup.com/insights/services/solution-implementation/what-percentage-of-erp-implementations-fail/

14 15 37 38 15 empresas que se benefician al usar ERP | NetSuite

https://www.netsuite.com.mx/portal/mx/resource/articles/erp/what-companies-use-erp-systems.shtml

16 17 18 20 21 63 NetSuite ERP Cost & Pricing Guide

https://www.kimberlitepartners.com/blog/netsuite-pricing

34 The Automation Revolution: How AI Workflow Tools Are ... - SuperAGI

https://superagi.com/the-automation-revolution-how-ai-workflow-tools-are-transforming-industries-and-redefining-productivity/

35 61 62 Case Study: NetSuite Implementation for Medicine Company | Jade

https://www.jadeglobal.com/resources/case-study/jade-globals-netsuite-solutions-medicine-company-success-story-enhanced

45 LatamReady Brazil Mexico Latin America Tax SuiteApp

https://www.suiteapp.com/Latam-Ready-Brazil-Mexico-Latin-America-Tax-Suite-App