C++ Proposal: Extensions of Scoped Enumerations

Philip Ginsbach
philip.ginsbach@ed.ac.uk
October 15, 2018

Introduction

Scoped enumerations ("enum classes") provide a type safe alternative to C-style enums. It can be desirable to have enumerations that share a subset of their enumerators and there is a natural correspondence between subtyping and adding enumerators to enums. This proposal is for a simple, sound and practically useful extension to the core language standard to provide a mechanism for subtyping scoped enumerations.

Motivation

Consider the task of parsing simple arithmetic expressions of the following form.

```
term ::= <term><op><term>|<integer>
```

Implementing a lexer for such a language would typically involve an enum definition.

```
enum class TokenType { constant, binary_op };
struct Token {
    TokenType type;
    // ...
};
```

It is then quite natural to describe a simple syntax tree structure as below. The leaves of the syntax tree correspond to individual tokens and TokenType is naturally a subtype of SyntaxType. There is currently no way to express this relationship in C++.

```
enum class SyntaxType { constant, binary_op, binary_exp };
struct Syntax {
    SyntaxType     type;
    vector<Syntax> children;
    // ...
};
```

This causes real problems: In a parser, each token will be converted to a syntax tree leaf. The only safe way (without relying on the underlying integer values) to do this is with a switch statement or equivalent if-else branches.

```
switch(token.type) {
    case TokenType::constant: stack.emplace_back(SyntaxType::constant);
    case TokenType::binary_op: stack.emplace_back(SyntaxType::binary_op);
}
```

Notice how this problem is only introduced by the use of scoped enumerations and is not present when using, for example, typedefs.

Proposed Solution

I propose the use of already existing syntax for the extension of scoped enumerations.

```
enum struct|class name : name { enumerator, ... };
```

The standard currently allows this syntax to specify the underlying integer type, instead it should also be allowed to use an enum class (the "base enumeration") to the right of the colon. This would have the following effect:

- 1. The underlying integer type is adopted from the base enumeration.
- 2. All enumerators from the base enumeration are available in the new enumeration, the underlying integer values are guaranteed to be identical.
- 3. Values of the base enumeration can be safely and implicitly cast to values of the extended enumeration type.

Note that the last property is essentially inverse to the effects of class inheritance.

Implementation

The feature is simple to implement, as it uses no new syntax and only simple semantics. An implementation of the proposed feature in clang is available on github at https://github.com/ginsbach/CppProposal. It requires the release_70 branch of llvm to build, you can obtain the source code with the commands below. For build instructions please consult the llvm project documentation https://llvm.org/docs/CMake.html.

```
git clone https://github.com/ginsbach/CppProposal clang
git clone https://github.com/llvm-mirror/llvm --branch release_70
git -C llvm checkout 65ce2e56889af84e8be8e311f484a4dfe4b62d7a
ln -s clang llvm/tools/clang
```

Example

Consider the example below based on the motivation section. It can be readily compiled with the previously mentioned, extended fork of clang.

```
// Tokens can either be constants or binary operators. The numeric values are
// intentionally arbitrary.
enum class TokenType { constant=211, binary_op=223 };
struct Token {
    TokenType type;
    string
            value;
};
// A node in the parse tree can either be a leaf (i.e. a token) or a binary
// expression. We can elegantly express this with Extended Scoped Enumerations.
// Without this feature, we'd have to duplicate the entries in TokenType and
// we'd have no guaratees when casting from TokenType to SyntaxType, which can
// be done implicitly and safely with Extended Scoped Enumerations.
enum class SyntaxType : TokenType { binary_exp /* = 224 */ };
struct Syntax {
    SyntaxType
                   type;
    vector < Syntax > children;
    string
                  value;
};
Syntax parse(vector < Token > tokens)
    vector < Syntax > stack;
    for(Token token : tokens)
        // We can construct an instance of Syntax with token.type, although it
        // is actually of type TokenType, because it is implicitly cast.
        // Without Extended Scoped Enumerations, we'd either need a big switch
        // statement or we'd have to use an unsafe cast, relying on the
        // underlying numeric values for elements in TokenType to be the same as
        // in SyntaxType. That would make obsolete the use of enum class,
        // as the advantage over C-style enums is supposedly type safety.
        stack.push_back({token.type, {}, token.value});
        while(stack.size() >=3 &&
              stack[stack.size()-1].type != SyntaxType::binary_op &&
              stack[stack.size()-2].type == SyntaxType::binary_op &&
              stack[stack.size()-3].type != SyntaxType::binary_op)
        {
            stack[stack.size()-3] = Syntax{SyntaxType::binary_exp,
                                           {stack[stack.size()-3],
                                           stack[stack.size()-2],
                                           stack[stack.size()-1]}};
            stack.resize(stack.size() - 2);
        }
    }
    if(stack.size() == 1)
        return stack[0];
    throw;
}
```

```
double evaluate(Syntax syntax)
    switch(syntax.type) {
    case SyntaxType::constant:
       return atof(syntax.value.c_str());
    case SyntaxType::binary_exp:
        double left = evaluate(syntax.children[0]);
        double right = evaluate(syntax.children[2]);
        if(syntax.children[1].value == "+") return left+right;
        if(syntax.children[1].value == "-") return left-right;
        if(syntax.children[1].value == "*") return left*right;
        if(syntax.children[1].value == "/") return left/right;
   }
        throw;
}
int main()
    vector < Token > tokens {{ TokenType::constant, "4.0"},
                         {TokenType::binary_op, "*"},
                         {TokenType::constant, "2"},
                         {TokenType::binary_op, "+"},
                         {TokenType::constant, "1.5"}};
    Syntax syntax = parse(tokens);
    cout << "4.0 * 2 + 1.5 = "<< evaluate(syntax) << "\n";
   return 0;
}
```