



Building a Convolutional Network for Brain Tumor Segmentation

Intelligent systems for pattern recognition (760AA)

Giovanni Scognamiglio



What we did

- **Research**
 - understanding convolutional architectures
 - identifying lightweight module innovations
- **Model design and implementation**
 - choosing the right architecture and modules for the task at hand
- **Test the final model**



Introduction

- **What is brain tumor segmentation?**
 - brain tumor and MRIs
 - benefits of automatically segmenting tumor area in MRIs
- **Why are neural network ideal for identifying brain tumor?**
 - fuzzy borders, hard to distinguish
 - no priors about location, shape or contrast



Introduction

- **The dataset we used**
 - created by researchers to investigate statistical relationship between tumor shape and genomic data
 - available on kaggle with 200+ notebooks with deep CNN (90% implementations are U-Net)
- **Limitations and opportunities**
 - Sporadic access to Colab GPU meant we had to take a more theoretical approach for model selection



Research - segmentation models

- Understanding U-Net popularity
 - what is U-Net
 - the pillars of U-Net
 - 3x3 conv
 - encoder - decoder structure
 - convolutional transpose
 - why are U-Net modules/architecture effective for medical image segmentation
 - what came after U-Net



Research - segmentation models

- **Understanding DeepLab: U-Net's antagonist**
 - is encoding actually necessary for image segmentation?
 - what is “muti-scale contextual learning”?
 - the pillars of DeepLab:
 - dilated convolutions (a double edged sword)
 - Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP)
- a note on dilated convolutions: current limitations and future possibilities



Research - lightweight models

- Why researching lighter models?
- Our focus: parameter-efficient models.
 - reduction in # of parameters and multiplications-additions (mult.adds)
- How?
 - Factorizing convolutions
 - reducing redundancy



Research - lightweight models

- **Factorizing convolutions**
 - Xception by F. Chollet
 - MobileNet family
- **Reducing redundancy**
 - Res-Net
 - DenseNet
 - CondenseNet



Model implementation: MobileNetv3

- Why we chose MobileNetv3
 - 1: research and papers
 - 2: code and documentation
 - 3: pre-designed segmentation head



Implementation and Results

- Model selection for two models:
 - MobileNetV3
 - MobileNetV3 with extra skip connections

2*Models	2*# of trainable parameters	Hyperparpameters					DICE coef	
		opt	lr	mom	nest	bn	DICE VAL	DICE TEST
MobileNetv3 + LR-ASPP	310 thousands	SGD	0.09	0.95	Yes	Yes	83.49%	84.76%
MobileNetv3 + LR-ASPP with extra skip connections	312 thousands	SGD	0.09	0.95	Yes	Yes	84.01%	85.26%
U-Net (pre-trained)	7 milion	-	-	-	-	-	71.12%	74.12%
U-Net (claimed)	7 milion	-	-	-	-	-	-	82%



Conclusion

1. Consider lightweight models before trying U-Net as default go to
2. A need for general-purpose lightweight architectures
3. MobileNetv3 proved it self as a solid lightweight general purpose architecture