

MASTER'S DEGREE IN PHYSICS

Academic Year 2019-2020

Introduction to Many Body Theory

Student: Giorgio Palermo

Student ID: 1238258

Date: April 7, 2019

HOMEWORK 1

First exercise

The generic Hamiltonian operator in the second quantization formalism can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} = \sum_{i,j} b_i^\dagger \langle i|T|j\rangle b_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \langle ij|V|kl\rangle b_l b_k$$

in the following steps we are going to show that the commutator $[\hat{N}, \hat{H}]$ is null. First of all we remember that the total number operator \hat{N} is defined as the sum of the single particle number operators $n_k = b_k^\dagger b_k$ where b^\dagger and b are the single particle creation and annihilation operators (we suppress the operator sign ' \wedge ' for simplicity): $\hat{N} = \sum_i b_i^\dagger b_i$. We start by looking at the kinetic term of the Hamiltonian; the commutator with \hat{N} looks like:

$$[\hat{N}, \hat{H}] = \sum_{k,i,j} [b_k^\dagger b_k, b_i^\dagger \langle i|T|j\rangle b_j] \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_{k,i,j} \langle i|T|j\rangle [b_k^\dagger b_k, b_i^\dagger b_j] \quad (2)$$

where in (2) we gathered the matrix element as a factor using the linearity of the commutator. We can show that this sum is null by showing that the commutator part of the expression vanishes:

$$[b_k^\dagger b_k, b_i^\dagger b_j] = b_k^\dagger b_k b_i^\dagger b_j - b_i^\dagger b_j b_k^\dagger b_k \quad (3)$$

$$= b_k^\dagger (\delta_{k,i} + b_i^\dagger b_k) b_j - b_i^\dagger (\delta_{j,k} + b_k^\dagger b_j) b_k \quad (4)$$

$$= b_k^\dagger b_j \delta_{k,i} - b_i^\dagger b_k \delta_{j,k} + b_k^\dagger b_i^\dagger b_k b_j - b_i^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_j b_k \quad (5)$$

$$= b_i^\dagger b_j - b_i^\dagger b_j \pm b_i^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_k b_j \mp b_i^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_k b_i = 0 \quad (6)$$

where in (6) we put plus and minus signs since we exchanged two creation/annihilation operators: the direct case $(+, -)$ is the bosonic one, while the inverse $(-, +)$ is the fermionic one, into which we recalled the anticommutation relation $\{b_i, b_j\} = 0$.

Following a similar reasoning, we can show that the sum describing the potential part of the commutator $[\hat{N}, \hat{V}]$ vanishes by showing that each term is equal to zero, namely:

$$[n_k, b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_m] = b_k^\dagger b_k b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_m b_k^\dagger b_k \quad (7)$$

$$= b_k^\dagger (\delta_{i,k} + b_i^\dagger b_k) b_j^\dagger b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l (\delta_{m,k} + b_k^\dagger b_m) b_k \quad (8)$$

$$= b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_m + b_k^\dagger b_i^\dagger b_k b_j^\dagger b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_k^\dagger b_m b_k \quad (9)$$

$$= b_k^\dagger b_i^\dagger (\delta_{k,j} + b_j^\dagger b_k) b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger (\delta_{l,k} + b_k^\dagger b_l) b_m b_k \quad (10)$$

$$= b_j^\dagger b_i^\dagger b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_m b_l + b_k^\dagger b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_k^\dagger b_m b_k \quad (11)$$

$$= b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_m b_l - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_m b_l + b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_k b_l b_m - b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_k^\dagger b_k b_l b_m = 0 \quad (12)$$

Note that from (11) to (12) no ' \pm ' signs arise because the terms in the two lines differ by an even number of exchanges in the operator order.

Second Exercise

The Hamiltonian of the 1D Jellium model can be expressed as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_b + \hat{H}_{el-b} + \hat{T}_{el} + \hat{V}_{el} \quad (13)$$

in the following paragraphs we are going to compute the expectation value E of this Hamiltonian for a given Fermi state $|F\rangle$ of N particles.

Background and electron - background terms

The contribution of the positive charges is described in the Jellium model as a uniform positive background of density $\rho_b(x) = en(x)$ where e is the elementary electric charge and $n(x) = n = N/V$ is the numeric density of ions that we consider as a constant. The interaction between the charges forming the positive background is coulombian and in the continuous approximation we follow the total energy contribution due to the interaction between the positive charges can be written as

$$E_b = \frac{e^2}{2} \int dx dx' \frac{n(x)n(x')}{|x - x'|} \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

where we considered the Coulomb interaction in the CGS system, where the $(4\pi\epsilon_0)^{-1}$ factor is equal to 1:

$$V(|x - x'|) = \frac{1}{|x - x'|}. \quad (16)$$

other calculations give:

$$E_b = \frac{e^2 n^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (17)$$

$$= e^2 \left(\frac{N}{L}\right)^2 L \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{e^2 N^2}{L} \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (19)$$

where we leave the last integral indicated as it is a divergent quantity.

The interaction between the uniform background and the electrons treated in a similar way, introducing the electron density $\rho_{el}(x) = -en_{el}(x) = -e \sum_{i=1}^N \delta(x - r_i)$ where r_i is the position of the i -esim electron. The electron-background interaction energy contribution to the total Hamiltonian is then:

$$E_{el-b} = -e^2 \int dx dx' \frac{n_b(x)n_{el}(x')}{|x - x'|} \quad (20)$$

$$= -\frac{e^2 N}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\delta(x' - r_i)}{|x - x'|} \quad (21)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} -\frac{2e^2 N}{L} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{|x - r_i|} \quad (22)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{=} -\frac{2e^2 N}{L} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (23)$$

$$= -\frac{2e^2 N^2}{L} \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (24)$$

$$(25)$$

where in (a) we made the substitution $y = x - r_i$ and in (b) we considered the sum over i of N integrals as N identical contributions.

Electron kinetic term

To find the electronic part of the total Hamiltonian is useful to consider the electronic Hamiltonian in the second quantization form, choosing as interaction potential the one occurring between two different electrons at position x and x' .

To find the mean value of the energy for such an Hamiltonian it is useful to consider the electrons as plane waves, namely

$$\phi_{k,\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{ikx} \eta_\lambda = |k\lambda\rangle \quad \hat{p}^2 |k\lambda\rangle = \hbar^2 k^2 |k\lambda\rangle \quad (26)$$

which are eigenstates of the momentum operator \hat{p} ; η_λ is the spin function relative to the λ spin value.

The kinetic term of the Hamiltonian is therefore:

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{\substack{k\lambda \\ k'\lambda'}} \left\langle k\lambda \left| \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} \right| k'\lambda' \right\rangle a_{k\lambda}^\dagger a_{k'\lambda'} \quad (27)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k\lambda \\ k'\lambda'}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \delta_{kk'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} a_{k\lambda}^\dagger a_{k'\lambda'} \quad (28)$$

$$= \sum_{k\lambda} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \hat{n}_{k\lambda} \quad (29)$$

The total energy contribution to the Jellium Hamiltonian due to the motion of the electrons E_0^{el} is the mean value of \hat{T} over the Fermi ground state $|F\rangle$, which is characterized, according to the Pauli exclusion principle, by $N/2$ momentum eigenstates each one occupied by two particles with different spin number. The maximum momentum value p_F assumed by a particle in the system is called Fermi momentum; the corresponding wave vector is $k_F = p_F/\hbar$. The value of k_F is bounded to the number of particles by the relation

$$\sum_{|k| < k_F} 1 = \frac{N}{2} \quad \xrightarrow{L \rightarrow \infty} \quad \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk = \frac{N}{2} \quad (30)$$

which leads to

$$k_F = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{N}{L}. \quad (31)$$

Thanks to the aforementioned properties the action of the number operator on the state $|F\rangle$ (with $\langle F|F\rangle = 1$) is

$$\begin{cases} \hat{n}_{k,\lambda} |F\rangle = |F\rangle & k < k_F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

and the kinetic energy of the electrons is therefore

$$E_0^{el} = \sum_{\substack{|k| < k_F \\ \lambda}} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \langle F | \hat{n}_{k\lambda} | F \rangle \quad (33)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{|k| < k_F} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \quad (34)$$

$$\stackrel{L \rightarrow \infty}{\approx} 2 \frac{L}{2\pi} \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \quad (35)$$

$$(36)$$

from which is easy to compute the kinetic energy contribution E_0 :

$$E_0^{el} = \frac{2L}{3\pi} \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} k_F^3 = \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{E}_F N \quad (37)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_F = \hbar^2 k_F^2 / 2m$. Introducing the new dimensionless variable $r_s = r_0/a_0$ with $r_0 = L/N$ one can write the latter relation enhancing the dependence on N and r_s :

$$E_0^{el} = \frac{\pi^2}{24} \frac{e^2}{2a_0} \frac{N}{r_s^2}. \quad (38)$$

Electron potential term

The potential contribution \hat{V} due to the electron-electron interaction in the second quantization form can be written as follows:

$$\hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k\lambda, p\mu \\ k'\lambda', p'\mu'}} \langle k'\lambda', p'\mu' | V | k\lambda, p\mu \rangle a_{k'\lambda'}^\dagger a_{p'\mu'}^\dagger a_{p\mu} a_{k\lambda}. \quad (39)$$

We now proceed computing $\langle k'\lambda', p'\mu' | V | k\lambda, p\mu \rangle$ using plane waves as single particle wavefunctions:

$$\langle k'\lambda', p'\mu' | V | k\lambda, p\mu \rangle = \int dx dx' \phi_{k'\lambda'}^\dagger(x) \phi_{p'\mu'}^\dagger(x') V(|x - x'|) \phi_{p\mu}(x') \phi_{k\lambda}(x) \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad (40)$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{L^2} \int dx dx' \frac{e^{i(k-k')x} e^{i(p-p')x'}}{|x - x'|} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad (41)$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{L^2} \int dy \frac{e^{i(k-k')y}}{|y|} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \int dx' e^{i(k-k'+p-p')x'} \quad (42)$$

where $y = x - x'$ and the latter integrand is an oscillating complex function, which gives a non null result only when the argument is null, namely when $k - k' = p' - p$; in such a situation the result of the integral over x' is L . The resulting matrix element is then

$$\langle k'\lambda', p'\mu' | V | k\lambda, p\mu \rangle = \frac{e^2}{L} \int dy \frac{e^{-iqy}}{|y|} \delta_{k-k', p'-p} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad (43)$$

where we set $k' - k = q$.

At this point, two situations must be distinguished: the one into which the two particles interact with themselves (exchanged momentum $q = 0$, direct term) and the one into which there is a non null exchange of momentum (exchange term). The two matrix elements for the direct and the exchange term are:

$$\langle k'\lambda', p'\mu' | V | k\lambda, p\mu \rangle_{dir} = \frac{e^2}{L} \left(\int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{p,p'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad (44)$$

$$\langle k'\lambda', p'\mu' | V | k\lambda, p\mu \rangle_{exch} = \frac{e^2}{L} \left(\int dy \frac{e^{-iqy}}{|y|} \right) \delta_{k-k', p'-p} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \quad (45)$$

Let's now concentrate over the direct term, computing the expectation value of \hat{V}_{dir} over the $|F\rangle$ state:

$$\langle F | \hat{T}_{dir} | F \rangle = \frac{e^2}{2L} \left(\int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \sum_{\substack{k,p \\ \lambda,\mu}} \langle F | a_{k\lambda}^\dagger a_{p\mu}^\dagger a_{p\mu} a_{k\lambda} | F \rangle \quad (46)$$

$$= \frac{e^2}{2L} \left(\int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \sum_{\substack{k,p \\ \lambda,\mu}} \langle F | \hat{n}_{k\lambda} \hat{n}_{p\mu} - \delta_{kp} \delta_{\mu\lambda} \hat{n}_{k\lambda} | F \rangle \quad (47)$$

$$= 2 \frac{e^2}{2L} \left(\int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \right) (N^2 - N). \quad (48)$$

We see immediately that the term proportional to N vanishes in the thermodynamic limit, and the final contribution from the direct term is:

$$E_{dir}^{el} = \lim_{\substack{N, L \rightarrow \infty \\ N=const}} \frac{\langle F | \hat{V}_{dir} | F \rangle}{N} = \left(\frac{e^2 N}{L} - \frac{1}{L} \right) \left(\int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \quad (49)$$

$$= \frac{e^2 N}{L} \left(\int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \right) \quad (50)$$

which is a divergent quantity; however this is not a problem since, as we will see later, cancellation occurs in the total Jellium Hamiltonian.

The exchange term contains an integral which is the Fourier transform of the Coulomb potential:

$$\int dy \frac{e^{-iqy}}{|y|} = -2(\gamma + \log |q|); \quad (51)$$

using this result we can compute the total energy due to the exchange term between electrons, which is:

$$E_1^{el} = \langle F | \hat{V}_{exch} | F \rangle \quad (52)$$

$$= -\frac{2e^2}{L} \sum_{\substack{k\lambda, p\mu \\ k'\lambda', p'\mu' \\ q \neq 0}} (\gamma - \log |q|) \delta_{k-k', p'-p} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{\mu\mu'} \langle F | a_{k',\lambda'}^\dagger a_{p',\mu'}^\dagger a_{p,\mu} a_{k,\lambda} | F \rangle \quad (53)$$

$$= -\frac{2e^2}{L} \sum_{\substack{k,p,q \\ \lambda,\mu \\ q \neq 0}} (\gamma - \log |q|) \langle F | a_{k+q,\lambda}^\dagger a_{p-q,\mu}^\dagger a_{p,\mu} a_{k,\lambda} | F \rangle \quad (54)$$

At this point we make some observations taking advantage of some properties of Fermions. The first observation is that each particle created inside the system must have a momentum which modulus is below the Fermi level; the second is that the $\langle F | \hat{V}_{exch} | F \rangle$ matrix element can be non null only if the creation operators exactly fill the holes created by the destruction ones, namely we have two cases:

$$(a) = \begin{cases} k+q = k & \lambda = \lambda \\ p-q = p & \mu = \mu \end{cases} \quad (b) = \begin{cases} k+q = p & \lambda = \mu \\ p-q = k & \mu = \lambda \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

The first one refers to the direct term we already treated; the second one is of interest now. In particular we see that we must impose the equality of the spins of the created and destroyed particles. The expression we get for the energy is

$$E_1^{el} = -\frac{4e^2}{L} \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq k_F \\ |k+q| \leq k_F \\ q \neq 0}} (\gamma - \log |q|) \langle F | a_{k+q,\lambda}^\dagger a_{k,\lambda}^\dagger a_{k+q,\lambda} a_{k,\lambda} | F \rangle. \quad (56)$$

We now exploit the anticommutation relation for fermions, remembering that $q \neq 0$:

$$a_{k,\lambda}^\dagger a_{k+q,\lambda} = -a_{k+q,\lambda} a_{k,\lambda}^\dagger + \delta_{k,k+q} \quad (57)$$

which leads us to:

$$E_1^{el} = \frac{4e^2}{L} \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq k_F \\ |k+q| \leq k_F \\ q \neq 0}} (\gamma - \log |q|) \langle F | \hat{n}_{k+q,\lambda} \hat{n}_{k,\lambda} | F \rangle \quad (58)$$

$$= \frac{4e^2}{L} \sum_{\substack{|k| \leq k_F \\ |k+q| \leq k_F \\ q \neq 0}} (\gamma - \log |q|). \quad (59)$$

For the computation of the sum we take advantage of the large size limit, which allows us to approximate it as an integral; in this limit we can forget about the $q \neq 0$ condition since it has

null measure w.r. to the integral:

$$E_1^{el} = \frac{e^2 L}{\pi^2} \int dk dq (\gamma - \log |q|) \theta(k_F - |k|) \theta(k_F - |k + q|) \quad (60)$$

$$= \frac{e^2 L}{\pi^2} \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk \int_{-k_F-k}^{k_F-k} dq (\gamma - \log |q|) \quad (61)$$

$$= \frac{e^2 L}{\pi^2} 2\gamma k_F^2 + \frac{e^2 L}{\pi^2} \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk \int_{-k_F-k}^{k_F-k} dq \log |q| \quad (62)$$

$$= \frac{e^2 L}{\pi^2} 2\gamma k_F^2 + \frac{e^2 L}{\pi^2} \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} dk - 2k + (k_F + k) \log(k_F + k) + (k_F - k) \log(k_F - k) \quad (63)$$

$$= \frac{4e^2 L}{\pi^2} k_F^2 \left(\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + \log(2k_F) \right) \quad (64)$$

The same result can be rewritten in terms of the dimensionless variable r_s defined above:

$$E_1^{el} = \frac{e^2 N}{a_0 r_s} \left(\log \left(\frac{\pi}{a_0 r_s} \right) + \gamma - \frac{3}{2} \right) \quad (65)$$

Conclusion

In conclusion, the contributions we found for the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_b + \hat{H}_{el-b} + \hat{T}_{el} + \hat{V}_{el}$$

of the 1D Jellium model are:

$$E_b = \frac{e^2 N^2}{L} \int_0^\infty dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (66)$$

$$E_{el-b} = -\frac{2e^2 N^2}{L} \int_0^\infty dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (67)$$

$$E_0^{el} = \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{E}_F N \quad (68)$$

$$E_{dir}^{el} = \frac{e^2 N}{L} \int dy \frac{1}{|y|} \quad (69)$$

$$E_1^{el} = \frac{4e^2 L}{\pi^2} k_F^2 \left(\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + \log(2k_F) \right) \quad (70)$$

We see immediately that the divergent contributions (66), (67) and (69) cancel out, leaving a well defined expression for the total energy, which we report here in r_s units:

$$E = E_0^{el} + E_{dir}^{el} = \frac{e^2 N}{2a_0 r_s^2} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{24} + r_s \left(2 \log \left(\frac{\pi}{a_0 r_s} \right) + 2\gamma - 3 \right) \right]. \quad (71)$$

What we see in particular is that already in the first order of r_s expansion the energy goes as $E \sim r_s \log(r_s)$; this, as seen also for the second order expansion of the three dimensional Jellium model (pg. 70 of the notes), is a logarithmic divergence and therefore a case more complex than the simple expansion into power series.