MD Simulations with OpenMM



Toni Giorgino

National Research Council of Italy

toni.giorgino@cnr.it

www.giorginolab.it



Thesis projects available

University of Padova c/o Prof. Fuxreiter May 16, 2023

https://github.com/giorginolab/MD-Tutorial-Data

This class

- Molecular dynamics is a powerful tool for studying molecular systems
- OpenMM is a software library that allows for efficient and customizable MD simulations
- It's exemplary of a modern well-maintained opensource library:
 - Cl infrastructure, developed on GitHub
 - C++ w/ Python bindings
- We'll use the latter, testing live on Google Colab.

Molecular Dynamics

What is MD?


- Attempt the most detailed description of a system which is
 - I. atomistic
 - 2. classical
- Model the internal forces...
- ...in order to integrate the motion
- Hope in convergent sampling


$$\vec{F}_i(\mathbf{x}) = m_i \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_i$$

Assumptions


- In this tutorial we shall deal with **unbiased** sampling approaches with **explicit** solvent, i.e.
 - no added forces except the "physical" ones in your system;
 - all of the system (including water molecules) have atomic resolution.
- Also, current classical MD does not address, by design, the following:
 - Chemical reactions, e.g. catalysis, phosphorylation, ubiquitination etc.
 - Protonation changes
- Finally, small molecules pose distinct challenges and need a separate, expensive parameterization step.

Alanine "dipeptide"






The forcefield is a database of interatomic parameters




7 nm



- \rightarrow O(10⁵) atoms
- Unbiased dynamics
- Update every 10⁻¹⁵ s (1 fs)





MD is entirely about timescales

- Your ability to obtain quantitative results is severely limited by the sampling ability you have. You will only be able to reach phenomena occurring on the sampled timescales, or shorter.
 - Sidechain rearrangements, diffusion-limited processes: usually possible *
 - Local flexibility: usually possible *
 - Membrane environments: ok-ish
 - Binding: hard but not impossible
 - Folding: very hard but not impossible
 - [*] Unless there are significant barriers.

Patience and limits

- The following factors affect the running speed (usually expressed in ns per simulation day, ns/day)
 - System size. Reasonable is 100 AA ~ 30,000 atoms.
 - Computer speed. Forget laptops.
 - Definitely use GPUs.
 - Software.

OpenMM

.org

- OpenMM is a molecular dynamics simulation toolkit that allows for high-performance simulations of biomolecules.
- Allows for simulation of a variety of molecular systems, including proteins, nucleic acids, and small molecules
- OpenMM supports a wide range of force fields and integrators and can run on CPUs and GPUs.
- Open source, written in C++ with Python and other language bindings available

Basic Workflow (object-oriented)

- I. Download, complete and edit the structure:
 - Topology (i.e. the identity of atoms, bonds, etc)
 - Positions (i.e. the starting coordinates)
- 2. Create the **system** object.
- 3. Create the **integrator** object.

- 4. Create and add custom **forces** to system if needed.
- 5. Define the **simulation** object.
- 6. Set the initial positions and velocities.
- 7. Minimize.
- 8. Run the simulation.
- 9. (Analyze the results.)

Integrators

- ...are algorithms that solve the equations of motion for a system
- OpenMM includes several integrators, e.g. Langevin dynamics, Verlet integrator, and Monte Carlo barostat
- Different integrators are appropriate for different types of simulations and conditions (e.g.: NPT vs NVT)

Simulating a system


- Once a system has been defined and the force field and integrator selected, it can be simulated
- The simulation (run) involves running a series of steps, where each step involves calculating the forces on each atom, integrating the equations of motion, and updating the system's coordinates
- After the simulation, data analysis can be performed to obtain information about the system's behavior and properties

Let's pick a test system



6HIF: Gelsolin G2+nanobody





Giorgino T, Mattioni D, Hassan A, Milani M, Mastrangelo E, Barbiroli A, et al. Nanobody interaction unveils structure, dynamics and proteotoxicity of the Finnish-type amyloidogenic gelsolin variant. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Basis of Disease. 2019 Mar 1;1865(3):648–60.

Journal link. Preprint.

BBA - Molecular Basis of Disease 1865 (2019) 648-660



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

BBA - Molecular Basis of Disease





Nanobody interaction unveils structure, dynamics and proteotoxicity of the Finnish-type amyloidogenic gelsolin variant



Toni Giorgino^{a,b}, Davide Mattioni^{a,c,1}, Amal Hassan^{b,1}, Mario Milani^{a,b}, Eloise Mastrangelo^{a,b}, Alberto Barbiroli^d, Adriaan Verhelle^e, Jan Gettemans^f, Maria Monica Barzago^c, Luisa Diomede^c, Matteo de Rosa^{a,b,*}

^a Istituto di Biofisica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Milano, Italy

^b Dipartimento di Bioscienze, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy

^c Department of Molecular Biochemistry and Pharmacology, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, 20156 Milan, Italy

^d Dipartimento di Scienze per gli Alimenti, la Nutrizione e l'Ambiente, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milano, Italy


e Department of Molecular Medicine, Department of Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience, Dorris Neuroscience Center, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA


^f Nanobody Lab, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium


Three puzzles!

WT:Nb11 complex compared to D187N:Nb11.

- I. WT and D187N
 are virtually
 identical*: same
 structure,
 different function
- 2. Nb11 binds far from the furin cleavage site...
- 3. ...and far from the Ca²⁺ ion








* Except Ca²⁺ binding


GSN ± NbII MD simulations

- Unbiased sampling @300 °K
- 100 mM NaCl
- Harmonic restraints:
 SS Nb1 @ 0.03 kcal/mol/Ų




CHARMM36

 \sim 3 µs tot. \sim 25k/43k atoms



MD results

Sample	Nb11	Ca ²⁺	Simulated time (ns)	C-terminal disorder onset
WT_{G2}	_	+	800	Not observed
WT_{G2}	+	+	750	Not observed
$\mathrm{D}187\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}2}$	_	_	748	After 83 ns
$\mathrm{D}187\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}2}$	+	_	512	After 40 ns



A matter of dynamics?


$$B = (8\pi^2/3) \,\mathrm{RMSF}^2$$

150



-Nanobody


+Nanobody



240

Residue

MD vs exp. B-factors \rightarrow




In practice


Using OpenMM on Google Colab

- We'll test OpenMM on Google Colab to run molecular dynamics simulations without the need for installing any software on your local machine.
- Google Colab is a free Jupyter environment that allows you to run Python code in the cloud. GPUs runtimes are available.
- To use OpenMM on Google Colab or locally, open the provided notebook (read the comments)




https://github.com/giorginolab/MD-Tutorial-Data









...when done...

Visualize

- After you have done the simulation, load the minimized PDB and output.dcd in PyMOL
- What about PBCs? Fix with: pbc_unwrap ...



Questions

- How many atoms?
- How many residues?
- Disulfide bridges?
- How many trajectory frames?
- Simulation length in actual time?

More questions

- Does density change? Should it?
- What is the box size? Is it appropriate?
- Relaxation time?
- Plot the log file

Conclusion

Conclusion

- OpenMM is a powerful tool for molecular dynamics simulations
- Good, if fragmented, documentation
- With its customizable force fields and integrators, it can be used to study a wide range of atomistic systems, e.g.
 - "toy" polymers
 - all-atom MD with major FFs
 - ANN potentials

Resources for learning OpenMM

- OpenMM.org website and documentation
- GitHub repository with examples and tutorials
- Community forums and mailing lists for support and discussion

- See also
 - OpenMMtools
 - https://openforcefield.org/
 - HTMD, ACEMD
 - https://github.com/openmm/pdbfixer
 - Charmm-GUI



RESEARCH ARTICLE

OpenMM 7: Rapid development of high performance algorithms for molecular dynamics

Peter Eastman¹*, Jason Swails², John D. Chodera³, Robert T. McGibbon¹, Yutong Zhao¹, Kyle A. Beauchamp³, Lee-Ping Wang⁴, Andrew C. Simmonett⁵, Matthew P. Harrigan¹, Chaya D. Stern^{3,6}, Rafal P. Wiewiora^{3,6}, Bernard R. Brooks⁵, Vijay S. Pande^{1,7}

- 1 Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of America,
- 2 Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology and BioMaPS Institute, Rutgers University, Piscataway,

End