rigore Roşu and Traian Florin Şerbănuţă ({grosu,tserban2}@illinois.edu) niversity of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign  Abstract	
This is the K definition of the static semantics of the typed SIMPLE language, or in other words, a type system for the typed IMPLE language in K. We do not re-discuss the various features of the SIMPLE language here. The reader is referred to the ntyped version of the language for such discussions. We here only focus on the new and interesting problems raised by the ddition of type declarations, and what it takes to devise a type system/checker for the language.  When designing a type system for a language, no matter in what paradigm, we have to decide upon the intended typing policy. It is that we can have multiple type systems for the same language, one for each typing policy. For example, should we complete the programs which don't have a main function? Or should we allow functions that do not return explicitly? Or should we llow functions whose type expects them to return a value (say an int) to use a plain "return;" statement, which returns o value, like in C? And so on and so forth. Typically, there are two opposite tensions when designing a type system. On the one hand, you want your type system to be as permissive as possible, that is, to accept as many programs that do not	
e one hand, you want your type system to be as permissive as possible, that is, to accept as many programs that do not a stuck when executed with the untyped semantics as possible; this will keep the programmers using your language happy. It the other hand, you want your type system to have a reasonable performance when implemented; this will keep both the organizers and the implementers of your language happy. For example, a type system for rejecting programs that could form division-by-zero is not expected to be feasible in general. A simple guideline when designing typing policies is to agine how the semantics of the untyped language may get stuck and try to prevent those situations from happening.  fore we give the K type system of SIMPLE formally, we discuss, informally, the intended typing policy:  • Each program should contain a main() function. Indeed, the untyped SIMPLE semantics will get stuck on any program which does not have a main function.	
<ul> <li>Each primitive value has its own type, which can be int bool, or string. There is also a type void for nonexistent values, for example for the result of a function meant to return no value (but only be used for its side effects, like a procedure).</li> <li>The syntax of untyped SIMPLE is extended to allow type declarations for all the variables, including array variables. This is done in a C/Java-style. For example, "int x;" or "int x=7, y=x+3;", or "int[][][] a[10,20];" (the latter defines a 10 × 20 matrix of arrays of integers). Recall from untyped SIMPLE that, unlike in C/Java, our multi-dimensional arrays use comma-separated arguments, although they have the array-of-array semantics.</li> <li>Functions are also typed in a C/Java style. However, since in SIMPLE we allow functions to be passed to and returned by other functions, we also need function types. We will use the conventional higher-order arrow-notation for function types, but will separate the argument types with commas. For example, a function returning an array of bool elements.</li> </ul>	
by other functions, we also need function types. We will use the conventional higher-order arrow-notation for function types, but will separate the argument types with commas. For example, a function returning an array of bool elements and taking as argument an array x of two-integer-argument functions returning an integer, is declared using a syntax of the form  bool[] f(((int,int)->int)[] x) { }  and has the type ((int,int)->int)[] -> bool[].  • We allow any variable declarations at the top level. Functions can only be declared at the top level. Each function can only access the other functions and variables declared at the top level, or its own locally declared variables. SIMPLE has static scoping.  • The various expression and statement constructs take only elements of the expected types.  • Increment and assignment can operate both on variables and on array elements. For example, if f has type int->int[][] and function g has the type int->int, then the increment expression ++f(7)[g(2),g(3)] is valid.  • Functions should only return values of their declared result type. To give the programmers more flexibility, we allow functions to use "return;" statements to terminate without returning an actual value, or to not explicitly use any return	
statement, regardless of their declared return type. This flexibility can be handy when writing programs using certain functions only for their side effects. Nevertheless, as the dynamic semantics shows, a return value is automatically generated when an explicit return statement is not encountered.  • For simplicity, we here limit exceptions to only throw and catch integer values. We let it as an exercise to the reader to extend the semantics to allow throwing and catching arbitrary-type exceptions. Like in programming languages like Java, one can go even further and define a semantics where thrown exceptions are propagated through try-catch statements until one of the corresponding type is found. We will do this when we define the KOOL language, not here. To keep the definition if SIMPLE simple, here we do not attempt to reject programs which throw uncaught exceptions.	
ke in untyped SIMPLE, some constructs can be desugared into a smaller set of basic constructs. In general, it should be car why a program does not type by looking at the top of the k cells in its stuck configuration.  LE SIMPLE-TYPED-STATIC-SYNTAX  Vontax  Le syntax of typed SIMPLE extends that of untyped SIMPLE with support for declaring types to variables and functions.	
TAX Id ::= main  nets  mitive, array and function types, as well as lists (or tuples) of types. The lists of types are useful for function arguments.  TAX Type ::= void	
NTAX Types ::= List{Type, ","}  **eclarations**  riable and function declarations have the expected syntax. For variables, we basically just replaced the var keyword of typed SIMPLE with a type. For functions, besides replacing the function keyword with a type, we also introduce a new intactic category for typed variables, *Param*, and lists over it.	
NTAX Params ::= Type Id  NTAX Params ::= List{Param, ", "}  NTAX Decl ::= Type Exps;    Type Id(Params)Block	
The syntax of expressions is identical to that in untyped SIMPLE, except for the logical conjunction and disjunction which are different strictness attributes, because they now have different evaluation strategies.   YNTAX	
Exp = Exp [strict( strict())]   $  Exp <= Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp > Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp >= Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp == Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp != Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp [strict( strict())]  $ $  Exp && Exp [strict( str$	
Note that spawn has not been declared strict. This may seem unexpected, because the child thread shares the same environment with the parent thread, so from a typing perspective the spawned statement makes the same sense in a child thread as it makes in the parent thread. The reason for not declaring it strict is because we want to disallow programs where the spawned thread calls the return statement, because those programs would get stuck in the dynamic semantics. The type semantics of spawn below will reject such programs.  We still need lists of expressions, defined below, but note that we do not need lists of identifiers anymore. They have been	
eplaced by the lists of parameters.  YNTAX $Exps := List\{Exp, ", "\}$ [strict( strict())]  Attatements  The statements have the same syntax as in untyped SIMPLE, except for the exceptions, which now type their parameter. Note that, unlike in untyped SIMPLE, all statement constructs which have arguments and are not desugared are strict, including the conditional and the while. Indeed, from a typing perspective, they are all strict: first type their arguments and then type the	
CINTAX Block ::= {}	
<pre>if (Exp)Block [strict( strict())]</pre>	
release Exp; [strict(strict())]   rendezvous Exp; [strict(strict())]     Note that the sequential composition is now sequentially strict, because, unlike in the dynamic semantics where statements dissolved, they now reduce to the stmt type, which is a result.    Stmts Stmts [seqstrict(seqstrict())]	
Desugaring macros  We use the same desugaring macros like in untyped SIMPLE, but, of course, including the types of the involved variables.  LE $\frac{\text{if }(E)S}{\text{if }(E)S \text{ else }\{\}}$ LE $\frac{\text{for }(Start\ Cond\ ;\ Step)\{S:Stmts\}}{\{Start\ while\ (Cond)\{S,\ Sten\ :\ \}\}}$	
A ; X = E ;  MODULE  TLE SIMPLE-TYPED-STATIC   tatic semantics  ere we define the type system of SIMPLE. Like concrete semantics, type systems defined in K are also executable. However, type systems turn into type checkers instead of interpreters when executed.  the typing process is done in two (overlapping) phases. In the first phase the global environment is built, which contains	
pe bindings for all the globally declared variables and functions. For functions, the declared types will be "trusted" during e first phase and simply bound to their corresponding function names and placed in the global type environment. At the me time, type-checking tasks that the function bodies indeed respect their claimed types are generated. All these tasks are oncurrently) verified during the second phase. This way, all the global variable and function declarations are available in e global type environment and can be used in order to type-check each function code. This is consistent with the semantics autyped SIMPLE, where functions can access all the global variables and can call any other function declared in the same rogram. The two phases may overlap because of the K concurrent semantics. For example, a function task can be started hile the first phase is still running; moreover, it may even complete before the first phase does, namely when all the global uriables and functions that it needs have already been processed and made available in the global environment by the first	
Extended syntax and results  The idea is to start with a configuration holding the program to type in one of its cells, then apply rewrite rules on it mixing ypes and language syntax, and eventually obtain a type instead of the original program. In other words, the program reduces o its type using the K rules giving the type system of the language. In doing so, additional typing tasks for function bodies are generated and solved the same way. If this rewriting process gets stuck, then we say that the program is not well-typed. Otherwise the program is well-typed (by definition). We did not need types for statements and for blocks as part of the typed SIMPLE syntax, because programmers are not allowed to use such types explicitly. However, we are going to need them in	
SIMPLE syntax, because programmers are not allowed to use such types explicitly. However, we are going to need them in the type system, because blocks and statements reduce to them.  We start by allowing types to be used inside expressions and statements in our language. This way, types can be used together with language syntax in subsequent $\mathbb{K}$ rules without any parsing errors. Like in the type system of IMP++ in the $\mathbb{K}$ tutorial, we prefer to group the block and statement types under one syntactic sub-category of types, because this allows us to more compactly state that certain terms can be either blocks or statements. Also, since programs and fragments of program will educe to their types, in order for the strictness and context declarations to be executable we state that types are results (same like we did in the IMP++ tutorial).	
<pre>YNTAX Exp ::= Type  YNTAX BlockOrStmtType ::= block</pre>	
Configuration  the configuration of our type system consists of a tasks cell holding various typing task cells, and a global type environment. ach task includes a k cell holding the code to type, a tenv cell holding the local type environment, and a return cell holding the return type of the currently checked function. The latter is needed in order to check whether return statements return values of the expected type. Initially, the program is placed in a k cell inside a task cell. Since the cells with multiplicity "?" are not included in the initial configuration, the task cell holding the original program in its k cell will contain no other subcells.	
NFIGURATION:  tasks  task*  k  tenv?  retum?  void	
Inly these two cases. The first case has two subcases: when the variable declaration is global (i.e., the task cell contains only ne k cell), in which case it is added to the global type environment checking at the same time that the variable has not been dready declared; and when the variable declaration is local (i.e., a tenv cell is available), in which case it is simply added to the local type environment, possibly shadowing previous homonymous variables. The third case reduces to the second, accrementally moving the array dimension into the type until the array becomes a simple variable.  The triangle of the task cell contains only discontinuous case it is simply added to the local type environment, possibly shadowing previous homonymous variables. The third case reduces to the second, accrementally moving the array dimension into the type until the array becomes a simple variable.  The task of the task cell contains only discontinuous case it is simply added to the local type environment, possibly shadowing previous homonymous variables. The third case reduces to the second, accrementally moving the array dimension into the type until the array becomes a simple variable.  The task of the task cell contains only discontinuous case it is simply added to the local type and the variable has not been treated to the vari	
$\begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \textbf{Stmt} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{Stmt} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{STEXT} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{STEXT} \\ \hline \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} \textbf{tenv} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \rho \\ \hline \\ [X < -T] \\ \hline \\ \end{bmatrix} ;$	
LE $\frac{T:Type\ E:Exp[\ int\ ,\ Ts:Types]\ ;}{T[\ E[Ts]\ ;}$ LE $\frac{T:Type\ E:Exp[\bullet_{Types}]\ ;}{T\ E\ ;}$ Function declarations  Functions are allowed to be declared only at the top level (the task cell holds only its k subcell). Each function declaration educes to a variable declaration (a binding of its name to its declared function type), but also adds a task into the tasks cell. The task consists of a typics of the statement declaring all the function parameters followed by the function body, together.	
The task consists of a typing of the statement declaring all the function parameters followed by the function body, together with the expected return type of the function. The getTypes and mkDecls functions, defined at the end of the file in the ection on auxiliary operations, extracts the list of types and makes a sequence of variable declarations from a list of function parameters, respectively. Note that, although in the dynamic semantics we include a terminating return statement at the end of the function body to eliminate from the analysis the case when the function does not provide an explicit return, we do not eed to include such a similar return statement here. That's because the return statements type to stmt anyway, and the ntire code of the function body needs to type anyway.	
The state of the	
Once the entire program is processed (generating appropriate tasks to type check its function bodies), we can dissolve the main task cell (the one holding only a k subcell). Since we want to enforce that programs include a main function, we also generate a function task executing main() to ensure that it types (remove this task creation if you do not want your type system to reject programs without a main function).	
Collecting the terminated tasks Similarly, once a non-main task (i.e., one which contains a tenv subcells) is completed using the subsequent rules (i.e., its k cell holds only the block or stmt type), we can dissolve its corresponding cell. Note that it is important to ensure that we	
only dissolve tasks containing a tenv cell with the rule below, because the main task should <i>not</i> dissolve this way! It should do what the above rule says. In the end, there should be no task cell left in the configuration when the program correctly type checks.  The program correctly type checks.  The program correctly type checks.	
Basic values  The first three rewrite rules below reduce the primitive values to their types, as we typically do when we define type systems in K.   JLE —:Int	
ULE —:Bool bool  ULE —:String  String  Variable lookup  There are three cases to distinguish for variable lookup: (1) if the variable is bound in the local type environment, then look	
its type up there; (2) if a local environment exists and the variable is not bound in it, then look its type up in the global environment; (3) finally, if there is no local environment, meaning that we are executing the top-level pass, then look the variable's type up in the global environment, too. $ \frac{k}{X:Id} \underbrace{X:Id}_{K} $	
LE $X:Id$ $\rho$ $X\mapsto T$ requires $\neg_{Bool}(X \text{ in keys }(\rho))$ LE $X:Id$ $\gamma$	
We want the increment operation to apply to any Ivalue, including array elements, not only to variables. For that reason, we define a special context extracting the type of the argument of the increment operation only if that argument is an Ivalue. Otherwise the rewriting process gets stuck. The operation ltype is defined at the end of this file, in the auxiliary operation ection. It essentially acts as a filter, getting stuck if its argument is not an Ivalue and letting it reduce otherwise. The type of the Ivalue is expected to be an integer in order to be allowed to be incremented, as seen in the rule "++ int => int" below.	
The rules below are straightforward and self-explanatory:	
LE int + int int  LE string + string string  LE int - int int int	
LE <u>int * int</u> LE <u>int / int</u> LE <u>int % int</u> LE <u>int * int</u> LE <u>int * int</u> int	
<pre>int  int  int  int &lt; int   bool  JLE int &lt;= int   bool  JLE int &gt; int   bool </pre>	
LE $\frac{\text{int} >= \text{int}}{\text{bool}}$ LE $\frac{T:Type == T}{\text{bool}}$ LE $\frac{T:Type != T}{\text{bool}}$ LE $\frac{T:Type != T}{\text{bool}}$	
bool  LE bool    bool  bool  LE   bool  bool  rray access and size	
The array access requires each index to type to an integer, and the array type to be at least as deep as the number of indexes: $ \frac{(T[])[\inf, Ts: Types]}{T[Ts]} $ LE $\frac{T: Type[\bullet_{Types}]}{T}$ LizeOf only needs to check that its argument is an array:	
The size of $(T[])$ int integer, while print types to a statement provided that all its arguments type to tegers or strings.	
LE $\frac{\text{read }()}{\text{int}}$ LE $\text{print }(\underline{T:Type,Ts})$ ; requires $T=_K \text{ int } \vee_{Bool} T=_K \text{ string}$ LE $\frac{\text{print }(\bullet_{Types})}{\text{stmt}}$ ;	
respecial context and the rule for assignment below are similar to those for increment: the LHS of the assignment must be a livalue and, in that case, it must have the same type as the RHS, which then becomes the type of the assignment. $ \Box \Box \Box = \Box $ $ \Box \exists T: Type = T $	
unction application and return  unction application requires the type of the function and the types of the passed values to be compatible. Note that a special ase is needed to handle the no-argument case: $\frac{(Ts:Types \to T)(Ts)}{T}$ requires $Ts \neq_K \bullet_{Types}$	
LE $(\text{void} \rightarrow T)(\bullet_{Types})$ The returned value must have the same type as the declared function return type. If an empty return is encountered, than we nould check that we are in a function (and not a thread) context, that is, a return cell must be available:	
o avoid having to recover type environments after blocks, we prefer to start a new task for block body, making sure that e new task is passed the same type environment and return cells. The value returned by return statements must have the type as stated in the return cell. The print variadic function is allowed to only print integers and strings. The thrown sceptions can only have integer type.  LE {} block	
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & \\ \hline \\ & & \\ \\ & & \\ \hline \\ & & \\ \\ & & \\ \hline \\ & & \\ \\ & & \\ \hline \\ & & \\ \\ &$	
xpression statement  E —:Type; stmt  conditional and while loop	
DE if (bool) block else block stmt  Stmt  While (bool) block stmt	
Exceptions  We currently force the parameters of exceptions to only be integers. Moreover, for simplicity, we assume that integer exeptions can be thrown from anywhere, including from functions which do not define any try-catch block (with the currently nchecked—also for simplicity—expectation that the caller functions would catch those exceptions).  LE $\frac{\text{try block catch (int } X:Id)\{S\}}{\{\text{ int } X; S\}}$	
Concurrency Nothing special about typing the concurrency constructs, except that we do not want the spawned thread to return, so we do not include any return cell in the new task cell for the thread statement. Same like with the functions above, we do not check or thrown exceptions which are not caught.	
JLE join int; stmt  JLE acquire —:Type; stmt  JLE release —:Type; stmt  rendezvous —:Type; stmt	
Stmt  WLE —:BlockOrStmtType —:BlockOrStmtType Stmt  Auxiliary constructs  The function mkDecls turns a list of parameters into a list of variable declarations.	
YNTAX Stmts ::= mkDecls (Params) [function(), klabel( klabel('mkDecls))]  JLE mkDecls (T:Type X:Id, Ps:Params)  T X; mkDecls (Ps)  JLE mkDecls (Params)  {}	
The ltype context allows only expressions which have an Ivalue to evaluate.  YNTAX $LValue ::= Id$ LE $\underbrace{isLValue(-:\text{Exp}[-:\text{Exps}])}_{\text{true}}$ YNTAX $Exp ::= \text{ltype } (Exp) \text{ [klabel( klabel('ltype))]}$ NTEXT $\text{ltype } (\Box)$ requires $isLValue(\Box)$	
TEXT ltype ( $\Box$ ) requires $isLValue(\Box)$ The function getTypes is the same as in SIMPLE typed dynamic.  NTAX $Types ::= getTypes (Params) [function( function()), klabel( klabel('getTypes))]$ The getTypes ( $T:Type$ —:Id) $T$ , $\bullet_{Types}$	
<del></del>	