



**UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI TRIESTE**

Chess Endgames Solver

A Reinforcement Learning Approach

Valeria De Stasio, Christian Faccio, Giovanni Lucarelli

August 25, 2025

Project Overview

- * goal of the Project
- * used algorithms
- * metrics overview ?

Chess Programming Background

Brief History of Chess Programming

Endgame Phase

- * what is an Endgame
- * usual approach to solve it in modern chess programming (syzygy and tb)

Simple Endgame: (our) Problem Definition

Simple Endgames that we want to solve:

- * winning for white
- * kings and one (or more) heavy piece for white
- * the number of states is already huge

Rules that we use:

- * piece movement
- * no 3 repetition

Assessment Metrics Overview

* DTM, DTZ etc * success, top1 etc

MDP Formulation

- * States \mathcal{S} : all legal endgame positions, augmented with side-to-move (no turn number, no 50 moves rule)
- * Terminal State $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$: checkmate or draw (insufficient material), once reached the game ends.

* Actions: legal moves for the current player.

MDP: Transition

* Transition function: deterministic update given current state and chosen action, followed by the opponent's (black) deterministic reply

Instead of storing \mathcal{P} , chess engines implement functions that *define* \mathcal{P} procedurally:

* $legal_{moves}(s)$ — generates valid actions in state s

* $apply_{move}(s, a)$ — returns the next state s'

* $is_{terminal}(s')$ — checks if game is over

* Rewards (Chess): +1 for win, -1 for loss, 0 otherwise.

Rewards (simple endgames): -2 per ply, -1000 for draw

RL Algorithms

Results

Policy interpretability through human chess principles

Video Animation of optimal ply vs our policy

maybe for a mate in 5 or more

Thank You!