<div align="center">

Proyecto 02 - Top2Vec: Distributed representations of topics
Giovanni Gamaliel López Padilla

</div>

a

1. Referencias

[1] Angelov D. Top2Vec: Distributed Representations of Topics. CoRR. 2020;abs/2008.09470. Available from: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2008.09470.

[2] Blei D, Ng A, Jordan M. Latent Dirichlet Allocation. In: Dietterich T, Becker S, Ghahramani Z, editors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 14. MIT Press; 2001. p. 993–1022. Available from: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2001/file/296472c9542ad4d4788d543508116cbc-Paper.pdf.

[3] Hofmann T, et al. Proceedings of the 22nd annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval. ACM. 1999;p. 50–57.

[4] Boyd-graber J, Blei D. Syntactic Topic Models. In: Koller D, Schuurmans D, Bengio Y, Bottou L, editors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 21. Curran Associates, Inc.; 2008. p. 185–192. Available from: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2008/file/8d3bba7425e7c98c50f52ca1b52d3735-Paper.pdf.

[5] Hinton GE, et al. Learning distributed representations of concepts. In: Proceedings of the eighth annual conference of the cognitive science society. vol. 1. Amherst, MA; 1986. p. 12.

[6] Widdowson H. J.R. Firth, 1957, Papers in Linguistics 1934–51. Int J Applied Linguistics. 2007 nov;17(3):402–413. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111%2Fj.1473-4192.2007.00164.x.

[7] Mikolov T, Chen K, Corrado G, Dean J. Efficient Estimation of Word Representations in Vector Space. arXiv; 2013. Available from: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1301.3781.

[8] Mikolov T, Sutskever I, Chen K, Corrado GS, Dean J. Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality. In: Burges CJ, Bottou L, Welling M, Ghahramani Z, Weinberger KQ, editors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 26. Curran Associates, Inc.; 2013. p. 3111–3119. Available from: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2013/file/9aa42b31882ec039965f3c4923ce901b-Paper.pdf.

[9] Baroni M, Dinu G, Kruszewski G. Don't count, predict! a systematic comparison of context-counting vs. context-predicting semantic vectors. In: Proceedings of the 52nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers); 2014. p. 238–247. Available from: http://aclweb.org/anthology/P/P14/P14-1023.pdf.

[10] Bairong Z, Wenbo W, Zhiyu L, Chonghui Z, Shinozaki T. Comparative analysis of word embedding methods for DSTC6 end-to-end conversation modeling track. In: Proceedings of the 6th Dialog System Technology Challenges (DSTC6) Workshop; 2017. Available from: http://workshop.colips.org/dstc6/papers/track2_paper18_zhuang.pdf.

[11] Levy O, Goldberg Y. Neural Word Embedding as Implicit Matrix Factorization. In: Ghahramani Z, Welling M, Cortes C, Lawrence N, Weinberger KQ, editors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 27. Curran Associates, Inc.; 2014. p. 2177–2185. Available from: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2014/file/feab05aa91085b7a8012516bc3533958-Paper.pdf.

[12] Pennington J, Socher R, Manning CD. Glove: Global vectors for word representation. In: Proceedings of the 2014 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP); 2014. p. 1532–1543. Available from: https://aclanthology.org/D14-1162.pdf.

[13] Bengio Y, Ducharme R, Vincent P. A Neural Probabilistic Language Model. In: Leen T, Dietterich T, Tresp V, editors. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. vol. 13. MIT Press; 2000. Available from: https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2000/file/728f206c2a01bf572b5940d7d9a8fa4c-Paper.pdf.

[14] Mikolov T, Yih Wt, Zweig G. Linguistic regularities in continuous space word representations. In: Proceedings of the 2013 conference of the north american chapter of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies; 2013. p. 746–751. Available from: https://aclanthology.org/N13-1090.pdf.

[15] Levy O, Goldberg Y, Dagan I. Improving distributional similarity with lessons learned from word embeddings. Transactions of the association for computational linguistics. 2015;3:211–225. Available from: https://direct.mit.edu/tacl/article-abstract/doi/10.1162/tacl_a_00134/43264.

[16] Le Q, Mikolov T. Distributed representations of sentences and documents. In: International conference on machine learning. PMLR; 2014. p. 1188–1196. Available from: http://proceedings.mlr.press/v32/le14.html?ref=https://githubhelp.com.

[17] Griffiths TL, Steyvers M, Tenenbaum JB. Topics in semantic representation. Psychological review. 2007;114(2):211. Available from: https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2007-05396-001.

[18] Řehůřek R, Sojka P. Software Framework for Topic Modelling with Large Corpora. In: Proceedings of LREC 2010 workshop New Challenges for NLP Frameworks. Valletta, Malta: University of Malta; 2010. p. 46–50. Available from: http://www.fi.muni.cz/usr/sojka/presentations/lrec2010-poster-rehurek-sojka.pdf.

[19] Campello RJ, Moulavi D, Sander J. Density-based clustering based on hierarchical density estimates. In: Pacific-Asia conference on knowledge discovery and data mining. Springer; 2013. p. 160–172. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-37456-2_14.

[20] McInnes L, Healy J. Accelerated hierarchical density based clustering. In: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW). IEEE; 2017. p. 33–42. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/8215642/.

[21] McInnes L, Healy J, Astels S. hdbscan: Hierarchical density based clustering. J Open Source Softw. 2017;2(11):205. Available from: https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00205.

[22] McInnes L, Healy J, Melville J. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:180203426. 2018;Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03426.