

## Assignment 2

### Comparison of the exponential and running mean for random walk model

Performance –Thursday, October 3, 2019

Due to submit a performance report – Monday, October 7, 2019, 23:59 p.m.

The objective of this laboratory work is to compare the errors of exponential and running mean to choose the most effective quasi-optimal estimation method in conditions of uncertainty. Additional important outcome of this exercise is the solution of identification problem of noise statistics that is crucial for reliable estimation.

This laboratory work is performed in the class by students as in teams of 3-4 on October 3, 2019 and the team will submit one document reporting about the performance till Monday, October 7, 2019. Within your group, you may discuss all issues openly, and discuss and debate until you reach a consensus.

This laboratory work consists of two parts:

- I. Determination of optimal smoothing constant in exponential mean.
- II. Comparison of methodical errors of exponential and running mean.

***Here is the recommended procedure for part I:***

***Determination of optimal smoothing constant in exponential mean***

1. Please conduct a simulation experiment. First generate a true trajectory, then generate measurements of this true trajectory.

1.1. Generate a true trajectory  $X_i$  using the random walk model

$$X_i = X_{i-1} + w_i \quad (1)$$

$w_i$  –normally distributed random noise with zero mathematical expectation and variance  $\sigma_w^2$ .

Group 1:  $\sigma_w^2 = 8$ ;

Group 2:  $\sigma_w^2 = 9$ ;

Group 3:  $\sigma_w^2 = 10$ ;

Group 4:  $\sigma_w^2 = 11$ ;

Group 5:  $\sigma_w^2 = 12$ ;

Group 6:  $\sigma_w^2 = 13$ ;

Group 7:  $\sigma_w^2 = 14$ ;

Group 8:  $\sigma_w^2 = 15$ ;

Group 9:  $\sigma_w^2 = 16$ ;

Group 10:  $\sigma_w^2 = 17$ ;

Group 11:  $\sigma_w^2 = 18$ ;

Group 12:  $\sigma_w^2 = 19$ ;

Group 13:  $\sigma_w^2 = 20$ ;

Size of trajectory is

1) 3000 points

2) 300 points

To generate true trajectory use initial condition  $X_1 = 10$ .

1.2. Generate measurements  $z_i$  of the process  $X_i$

$$z_i = X_i + \eta_i \quad (2)$$

$\eta_i$  – normally distributed random noise with zero mathematical expectation and variance  $\sigma_\eta^2$ .

Group 1:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 16$ ;

Group 2:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 12$ ;

Group 3:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 13$ ;

Group 4:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 10$ ;

Group 5:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 9$ ;

Group 6:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 8$ ;

Group 7:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 10$ ;

Group 8:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 9$ ;

Group 9:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 11$ ;

Group 10:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 10$ ;

Group 11:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 12$ ;

Group 12:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 10$ ;

Group 13:  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 15$ ;

2. Identify  $\sigma_w^2$  and  $\sigma_\eta^2$  using identification method presented on slide 55 (Topic\_2\_Quasi-optimal approximation under uncertainty.pdf). Perform identification for different size of trajectory (3000 and 300). Compare estimation results with true values of  $\sigma_w^2$  and  $\sigma_\eta^2$ . Compare the accuracy of estimation.

3. Determine optimal smoothing coefficient in exponential smoothing

$$\alpha = \frac{-\chi + \sqrt{\chi^2 + 4\chi}}{2} \quad (3)$$

$$\chi = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sigma_\eta^2}$$

4. Perform exponential smoothing with the determined smoothing coefficient. Plot results. For the comparison add measurements, true values of process and exponentially smoothed data.

***Here is the recommended procedure for part II:***

***Comparison of methodical errors of exponential and running mean.***

1. Generate a true trajectory  $X_i$  using the random walk model (1).  
Size of trajectory is 300 points.  
Initial condition  $X_1 = 10$ .  
Variance of noise  $w_i$ ,  $\sigma_w^2 = 28^2$
2. Generate measurements  $z_i$  of the process  $X_i$  using equation (2)  
Variance of noise measurement noise  $\eta_i$ ,  $\sigma_\eta^2 = 97^2$
3. Determine optimal smoothing coefficient  $\alpha$  using equation (3).  
(There is no need to identify it again, just use equation for  $\alpha$  from part I).
4. The component of full error that is related to measurement errors is determined as  
(from slide 37, Topic\_2\_Quasi-optimal approximation under uncertainty.pdf)

Running mean (RM):

$$\sigma_{RM}^2 = \frac{\sigma_\eta^2}{M} \quad (4)$$

Exponential smoothing (ES):

$$\sigma_{ES}^2 = \sigma_{\eta}^2 \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} \quad (5)$$

Determine the window size  $M$  (use round values) that provides equality of  $\sigma_{RM}^2$  and  $\sigma_{ES}^2$  using determined smoothing constant  $\alpha$

$$\sigma_{ES}^2 = \sigma_{RM}^2$$

5. Apply running mean using determined window size  $M$  and exponential mean (see, for instance, page 30, Topic\_2\_Quasi-optimal approximation under uncertainty.pdf) using determined smoothing constant  $\alpha$  to measurements  $z_i$ . Plot true trajectory  $X_i$ , measurements  $z_i$ , running and exponential mean.
6. Make visual comparison of results. Make conclusions which methods give greater methodical error in conditions of equal errors conditioned by measurement errors for this particular generated trajectory.
7. Make conclusions to the Assignment.  
Conclusions should be done in a form of a learning log. **A learning log** is a journal which evidences your **own learning and skills development**. It is not just a diary or record of **“What you have done”** but a record of **what you have learnt, tried and critically reflected upon**.
8. Prepare performance report and submit to Canvas:  
Performance report should include 2 documents:
  - 1) A report (PDF) with performance of all the items listed above
  - 2) Code (PDF)

**Notes:**

- PDF report should contain the names of team members, number of the assignment
- All questions of the assignment should be addressed
- All figures should have a caption, all axes should have labels, a legend to curves should be given, and short conclusions/discussions/results related to figures should be provided.
- The overall conclusion to the assignment should be provided in a form of a learning log.