


# Localization

Vladislav Goncharenko  
Materials by Oleg Shipitko  
MIPT, 2022




# Outline



1. Localization problem definition
2. Probabilistic form of localization problem
  - a. Recursive Bayesian estimation
3. Kalman filter
4. Particle filter


# (SIMPLIFIED) CONTROL SCHEME OF MODERN MOBILE ROBOT

---



# (SIMPLIFIED) CONTROL SCHEME OF MODERN MOBILE ROBOT

---



# Localization problem definition



---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**01**


# (SIMPLIFIED) CONTROL SCHEME OF MODERN MOBILE ROBOT

**Localization** (in robotics) stands for the process of tracking of robot pose (position and orientation) in space (some fixed reference frame)<sup>1,2</sup>.



\* Ziegler, Julius; Henning Lategahn; Markus Schreiber; Christoph G Keller; Carsten Knoppel; Jochen Hipp; Martin Hauéis; and Christoph Stiller. 2014. "Video based localization for bertha." In Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Proceedings, 2014 IEEE, 1231–1238.

# WHY LOCALIZATION MATTERS?



Knowing precise pose is a prerequisite for accurate motion planning and execution.

# FORMAL LOCALIZATION PROBLEM DEFINITION

**Given:**

$\mathbf{X}_{1:t-1}$  – all previous states (poses)


$\mathbf{u}_{1:t}$  – history of the control signals

$\mathbf{z}_{1:t}$  – sensors measurements

$map$  – map

**Find:**

$\mathbf{X}_t$  – current robot pose






# MARKOV PROCESSES

**Markov process** — model of stochastic process which:



- ❑ after any given time moment  $t$  does not depend on the evolution preceding  $t$ , given that the state of the process at this moment is fixed
- ❑ «future» of the process does not depend on «past» given the known «present»
- ❑ satisfies the **Markov property**




**Markov property** (for the discrete case):

$$p(X_n = \mathbf{x}_n | X_{n-1} = \mathbf{x}_{n-1}, X_{n-2} = \mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \dots, X_0 = \mathbf{x}_0) = p(X_n = \mathbf{x}_n | X_{n-1} = \mathbf{x}_{n-1})$$

# HIDDEN MARKOV PROCESSES




— observable process



— hidden process

# LOCALIZATION AS HIDDEN MARKOV PROCESSES



# Probabilistic form of localization problem

---


**girafe**  
**ai**

**02**

# PROBABILISTIC FORM OF LOCALIZATION


$$p(\mathbf{x}_t | map, \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = ?$$

Probability Density  
Function (PDF)



Robot pose


$$\mathbf{x}_t = (x_t, y_t, \theta_t)$$



Map



Measurement



Control signals vector

$$\mathbf{u}_t = (\Delta x_t, \Delta y_t, \Delta \theta_t)$$

$\Delta x_t, \Delta y_t, \Delta \theta_t$  – pose change for the current time increment

# PROBABILISTIC FORM OF LOCALIZATION

---

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}_t | map, \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t})$$

# PROBABILISTIC FORM OF LOCALIZATION

---

Localization problem can be formulated as follows: given the vector of all successive sensors measurements  $\mathbf{z}_{1:t} = \mathbf{z}_0 \dots \mathbf{z}_t$ , and control signals  $\mathbf{u}_{1:t} = \mathbf{u}_0 \dots \mathbf{u}_t$ , it is needed to recover a posterior probability density function of robot pose  $\mathbf{x}_t$  at any given moment of time  $t$ .

*(Sebastian Thrun 2002)*

# **Recursive Bayesian estimation**

---

**girafe  
ai**

**03**



$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

# BAYES' RULE (THEOREM)

$$p(a|b) = \frac{p(b|a)p(a)}{p(b)}$$

## Posterior probability

How probable, that our hypothesis  $a$  is true given the evidence  $b$

## Likelihood

How probable is outcome  $b$ , given that our hypothesis  $a$  is true

## Prior probability

How probable our hypothesis  $a$  was before obtaining the evidence  $b$

## Marginal probability

Probability of  $b$ , which does not depend on  $a$

# LAW OF TOTAL PROBABILITY

---

$$p(b) = \sum_{i=1}^N p(a_i)p(b|a_i)$$

# BAYES' RULE. EXAMPLE

One of the three shooters is called into the firing line and fires two shots. The probability of hitting the target with one shot for the first shooter is **0.3**, for the second - **0.5**, for the third - **0.8**. The target is not hit. Find the probability that the shots were fired by the first shooter.

$A_1$  — the first shooter is called to the firing line

$A_2$  — the second shooter is called to the firing line

$A_3$  — the third shooter is called to the firing line

Since the call to the firing line of any shooter is equally possible, then

$$p(A_1) = p(A_2) = p(A_3) = \frac{1}{3}$$

# BAYES' RULE: EXAMPLE

As a result of the experiment, event **B** was observed — after the shots fired, the target was not hit. The conditional probabilities of this event under the hypotheses made are:

$$p(B|A_1) = (1 - 0.3) * (1 - 0.3) = 0.49$$

$$p(B|A_2) = (1 - 0.5) * (1 - 0.5) = 0.25$$

$$p(B|A_3) = (1 - 0.8) * (1 - 0.8) = 0.04$$

Using Bayes' rule, we find the probability of hypothesis  $A_1$  after the experiment:

$$p(A_1|B) = \frac{0.49 * 1/3}{0.49 * 1/3 + 0.25 * 1/3 + 0.4 * 1/3} = 0.628$$

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) = p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t})$$

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) &= p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ \text{Bayes' rule} \quad &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) \end{aligned}$$

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) &= p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ \text{Markov property} \quad &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t})\end{aligned}$$



# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) &= p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}\end{aligned}$$

Law of Total Probability

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) &= p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \\ \text{Markov property} \quad &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}\end{aligned}$$

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) &= p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \\ \text{Markov property} \quad &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}\end{aligned}$$

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

$$\begin{aligned}\text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_t) &= p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \\ &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_t) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | \mathbf{z}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{1:t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1} = \\ \text{Recursive member} &= \eta p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t) \int p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_t) \text{bel}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}\end{aligned}$$

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN POSE ESTIMATION

---

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t | map, \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = C \cdot p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, map) \int_S p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | map, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$$

$C$  — normalization coefficient

$S$  — the probabilistic space of robot poses

$p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, map)$  — observation (measurement) model

$p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$  — motion model

$p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | map, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1})$  — previous system state (robot pose)

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN POSE ESTIMATION

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t | map, \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = C \cdot p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, map) \int_S p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | map, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$$

$C$  — normalization coefficient

$S$  — the probabilistic space of robot poses

$p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, map)$  — observation (measurement) model

$p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$  — motion model

$p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | map, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1})$  — previous system state (robot pose)

# RECURSIVE BAYESIAN POSE ESTIMATION

$$p(\mathbf{x}_t | map, \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{u}_t) = C \cdot p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, map) \int_S p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | map, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1}) d\mathbf{x}_{t-1}$$

$C$  — normalization coefficient

$S$  — the probabilistic space of robot poses

Correction

Prediction


$p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t, map)$  — observation (measurement) model

$p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1})$  — motion model

$p(\mathbf{x}_{t-1} | map, \mathbf{z}_{t-1}, \mathbf{u}_{t-1})$  — previous system state (robot pose)

# IMPLEMENTATION OF RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION


---

- ❑ Recursive Bayesian estimation is a **framework**
  - ❑ There are many algorithms realizing this framework:
    - ❑ Linear and nonlinear motion and observation models
    - ❑ Normal and arbitrary (multimodal) error distributions
    - ❑ Parametric and nonparametric algorithms
    - ❑ ....
- 
- A diagram consisting of a large right-facing curly bracket grouping a list of five items. An arrow points from the word 'framework' in the first bullet point to the middle of the bracket.
- ❑ Kalman filter
  - ❑ Information filter
  - ❑ Histogram filter
  - ❑ Particle filter
  - ❑ ....



# IMPLEMENTATION OF RECURSIVE BAYESIAN ESTIMATION

---

- ❑ Recursive Bayesian estimation is a **framework**
  - ❑ There are many algorithms realizing this framework:
    - ❑ Linear and nonlinear motion and observation models
    - ❑ Normal and arbitrary (multimodal) error distributions
    - ❑ Parametric and nonparametric algorithms
    - ❑ ....
- 
- A large right-facing curly bracket groups a list of five items. A thin grey arrow points from the word 'framework' in the first list item to the middle of this bracket.
- ❑ **Kalman filter**
  - ❑ Information filter
  - ❑ Histogram filter
  - ❑ **Particle filter**
  - ❑ ....

# Kalman filter

---



girafe  
ai

04

# KALMAN FILTER

Provably optimal:

- ❑ Linear motion and observation models
- ❑ Normally distributed motion and measurement errors



# LINEAR SYSTEM


System is called linear if:

- ❑ the response of the system to the sum of input signals is equal to the sum of responses to each signal

Necessary linearity conditions:

- ❑ **Homogeneity** — when the amplitude of the input signal changes by a factor of  $k$ , the amplitude of the output signal also changes by a factor of  $k$
- ❑ **Additivity** — when summing input signals, the resulting output signal will be equal to the sum of reactions from the original signals
- ❑ **Time invariance** — offsetting the input signal in time causes a similar offset in the output signal
- ❑ **Static linearity** — the basic laws in the system are described by linear equations
- ❑ **Harmonic fidelity** — if a sinusoidal signal is applied to the input of the system, then the output will be a signal of the same frequency

# KALMAN FILTER. EXAMPLE



# KALMAN FILTER. SYSTEM STATE SPACE





Our knowledge of the current system state is described by 2 elements:

1. Estimate of the current state vector:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Estimate of the covariance matrix:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_t = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{pp} & \sigma_{pv} \\ \sigma_{vp} & \sigma_{vv} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_p^2 & \sigma_{pv} \\ \sigma_{vp} & \sigma_v^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



# KALMAN FILTER. PREDICTION

Prediction of the system state at the next time moment is made based on the motion model:



$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1}$$

$$v_t = v_{t-1}$$

In matrix form:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}$$

$\mathbf{F}_t$  — the matrix of the process / system evolution (in our case, the motion model) from  $t-1$  to  $t$





# KALMAN FILTER. PREDICTION

$$\text{cov}(x) = \Sigma$$

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{A}x) = \mathbf{A}\Sigma\mathbf{A}^T$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \Sigma_{t-1} \mathbf{F}_t^T$$







# KALMAN FILTER. CONTROL SIGNALS

$$p_t = p_{t-1} + \Delta t v_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2} a \Delta t^2$$

$$v_t = v_{t-1} + a \Delta t$$

In matrix form:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} \\ \Delta t \end{bmatrix} a = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B}_t \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t$$



$\mathbf{B}_t$  — the matrix describing the change in control signals from  $t-1$  to  $t$




# KALMAN FILTER. MOTION UNCERTAINTY

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{u}_t$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \Sigma_{t-1} \mathbf{F}_t^T + \mathbf{Q}_t$$

$\mathbf{Q}_t$

— the covariance matrix, which describes the random nature of the system evolution





# KALMAN FILTER. CORRECTION

$$\vec{\mu}_{\text{expected}} = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$$

$$\Sigma_{\text{expected}} = \mathbf{H}_t \Sigma_t \mathbf{H}_t^T$$

$\mathbf{H}_t$  — the matrix describing a measurement model: how to get a measurement  $\mathbf{z}_t$  from a state  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$






# KALMAN FILTER. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

$$\vec{\mu}_{\text{measured}} = \vec{z}_t$$

$$\Sigma_{\text{measured}} = R_t$$

$R_t$  — the covariance matrix that describes the random nature of the measurements




# NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

$$\mathcal{N}(x, \mu_0, \sigma_0) \cdot \mathcal{N}(x, \mu_1, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{N}(x, \mu, \sigma)$$

$$\mu = \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma_0^2(\mu_1 - \mu_0)}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma_1^2}$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma_1^2}$$




# NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

$$\mathcal{N}(x, \mu_0, \sigma_0) \cdot \mathcal{N}(x, \mu_1, \sigma_1) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{N}(x, \mu, \sigma)$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \Sigma_0(\Sigma_0 + \Sigma_1)^{-1}$$

$$\vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}_0 + \mathbf{K}(\vec{\mu}_1 - \vec{\mu}_0)$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 - \mathbf{K}\Sigma_0$$



# KALMAN FILTER. PUTTING THIS ALL TOGETHER

$$\mathbf{K} = \Sigma_0(\Sigma_0 + \Sigma_1)^{-1}$$

$$\vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}_0 + \mathbf{K}(\vec{\mu}_1 - \vec{\mu}_0)$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 - \mathbf{K}\Sigma_0$$

$$(\mu_0, \Sigma_0) = (\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t, \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T)$$

$$(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) = (\vec{\mathbf{z}}_t, \mathbf{R}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}'_t = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{K}(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_t \Sigma'_t \mathbf{H}_t^T = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T - \mathbf{K} \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T$$

# KALMAN FILTER. PUTTING THIS ALL TOGETHER

$$\mathbf{K} = \Sigma_0(\Sigma_0 + \Sigma_1)^{-1}$$

$$\vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}_0 + \mathbf{K}(\vec{\mu}_1 - \vec{\mu}_0)$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 - \mathbf{K}\Sigma_0$$

$$(\mu_0, \Sigma_0) = (\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t, \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T)$$

$$(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) = (\vec{\mathbf{z}}_t, \mathbf{R}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T (\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T + \mathbf{R}_t)^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_t \mathbf{x}'_t = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{K}(\vec{\mathbf{z}}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_t \Sigma'_t \mathbf{H}_t^T = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T - \mathbf{K} \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T$$



# KALMAN FILTER. PUTTING THIS ALL TOGETHER

$$\mathbf{K} = \Sigma_0(\Sigma_0 + \Sigma_1)^{-1}$$

$$\vec{\mu} = \vec{\mu}_0 + \mathbf{K}(\vec{\mu}_1 - \vec{\mu}_0)$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_0 - \mathbf{K}\Sigma_0$$

$$(\mu_0, \Sigma_0) = (\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t, \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T)$$

$$(\mu_1, \Sigma_1) = (\vec{\mathbf{z}}_t, \mathbf{R}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{K}' = \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T (\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T + \mathbf{R}_t)^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{x}'_t = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{K}' (\vec{\mathbf{z}}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)$$

$$\Sigma'_t = \hat{\Sigma}_t - \mathbf{K}' \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t$$

# KALMAN FILTER

Prediction:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B}_t \mathbf{u}_t$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \Sigma_{t-1} \mathbf{F}_t^T + \mathbf{Q}_t$$


Correction:

$$\mathbf{K}' = \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T (\mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t \mathbf{H}_t^T + \mathbf{R}_t)^{-1}$$


$$\mathbf{x}'_t = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{K}' (\mathbf{z}_t - \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)$$

$$\Sigma'_t = \hat{\Sigma}_t - \mathbf{K}' \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t$$


## Kalman Filter Information Flow



# KALMAN FILTER



# KALMAN FILTER



# HOW TO FIGHT NONLINEARITY?

---

Imagine that now we also want to estimate the orientation of the robot:

- ❑ This will lead to the appearance of trigonometric functions in the system model
- ❑ The output of a nonlinear system is **no longer a normal distribution**
- ❑ Kalman filter **no longer applicable**

## **Solution:**



- ❑ Local linearization of models
- ❑ Sigma points approximation (Unscented transform)

# HOW TO FIGHT NONLINEARITY?

Linear system

VS

Nonlinear system



# HOW TO FIGHT NONLINEARITY?

Linear system

**VS**

Nonlinear system

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B}_t \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t)$$

$$\vec{\mu}_{\text{expected}} = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$$

$$\vec{\mu}_{\text{expected}} = h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)$$

# HOW TO FIGHT NONLINEARITY?

Linear system

VS

Nonlinear system

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{F}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} + \mathbf{B}_t \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t = g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t)$$

$$\vec{\mu}_{\text{expected}} = \mathbf{H}_t \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$$

$$\vec{\mu}_{\text{expected}} = h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t)$$

Nonlinear functions



# EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER (EKF)

$$g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) \approx g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) + \frac{\partial g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{t-1}} (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \vec{\mu}_{t-1})$$

$$h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t) \approx h(\vec{\mu}_t) + \frac{\partial h(\vec{\mu}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_t} (\mathbf{x}_t - \vec{\mu}_t)$$

# EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER (EKF)

$$g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) \approx g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) + \frac{\partial g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{t-1}} (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \vec{\mu}_{t-1})$$

$$h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t) \approx h(\vec{\mu}_t) + \frac{\partial h(\vec{\mu}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_t} (\mathbf{x}_t - \vec{\mu}_t)$$

Jacobian matrices




# EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER (EKF)

Let a vector function be given:

$$g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x) \\ g_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ g_m(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the Jacobi matrix is defined as:

$$G_x = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$



Specifies the orientation of the plane normal to the vector function at a given point.

Generalizes the concept of the gradient of a scalar function to the multidimensional case.

# EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER (EKF)

$$g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) \approx g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) + \frac{\partial g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{t-1}} (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \vec{\mu}_{t-1})$$

$$h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t) \approx h(\vec{\mu}_t) + \frac{\partial h(\vec{\mu}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_t} (\mathbf{x}_t - \vec{\mu}_t)$$

Jacobian matrices



# EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER (EKF)




$$g(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) \approx g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t) + \frac{\partial g(\vec{\mu}_{t-1}, \vec{\mathbf{u}}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{t-1}} (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{t-1} - \vec{\mu}_{t-1})$$

$$h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t) \approx h(\vec{\mu}_t) + \frac{\partial h(\vec{\mu}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_t} (\mathbf{x}_t - \vec{\mu}_t)$$

**Linear functions!**



# EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER (EKF)




# **SIGMA-POINT KALMAN FILTER**

## **UNSCENTED KALMAN FILTER (UKF)**



# SIGMA-POINT KALMAN FILTER


## UNSCENTED KALMAN FILTER (UKF)






# SIGMA-POINT KALMAN FILTER

## UNSCENTED KALMAN FILTER (UKF)




# SIGMA-POINT KALMAN FILTER

## UNSCENTED KALMAN FILTER (UKF)




# SIGMA-POINT KALMAN FILTER

## UNSCENTED KALMAN FILTER (UKF)



# SIGMA-POINT KALMAN FILTER

## UNSCENTED KALMAN FILTER (UKF)



# Particle filter


---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**05**

# PARTICLE FILTER (MONTE CARLO LOCALIZATION)

- ❑ Works with any (even **nonlinear**) motion and observation **models**
- ❑ Can describe **multimodal** distribution
- ❑ Can solve **global localization** problem — localization without an initial approximation



# PARTICLE FILTER

- ❑ The particle filter allows one to estimate the posterior distribution of the state vector of a system modeled by a hidden Markov process.
- ❑  $x_t$  — state of a Markov process at a time  $t$ .
- ❑  $x_t$  depends on the previous state  $x_{t-1}$  in accordance with the **motion model**  $p(x_t | u_t, x_{t-1})$ , where  $u_t$  is the vector of control signals applied at the moment  $t - 1$ .
- ❑  $x_t$  is described by the measurement vector  $z_t$  generated according to the observation model  $p(z_t | x_t)$ .
- ❑ **Particle filter idea** — approximation of an unknown posterior distribution by a set of hypotheses  $\{x_t^n\}$  and the corresponding weights  $\{w_t^n\}$ , where  $n = 1, \dots, N$  – number of hypotheses / particles.
- ❑ In the localization problem, each particle corresponds to the pose of the robot:  $x_t = (x_t, y_t, \theta_t)^T$ .

---


## Algorithm 1 Generic Monte-Carlo localization algorithm

---

```
1: procedure MCL( $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, m, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{z}_t$ )
2:    $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \emptyset$ 
3:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
4:     sample  $x_t^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^n)$ 
5:      $w_t^n = p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t^n, map)$ 
6:      $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} + \langle x_t^n, w_t^n \rangle$ 
7:   end for
8:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
9:     draw  $i$  with probability  $\widetilde{w}_t^i \propto w_t^i$ 
10:     $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} + \langle \widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^i}, \widetilde{w}_t^i \rangle$ 
11:  end for
12:  return  $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\}$ 
13: end procedure
```

---

# PARTICLE FILTER



$\mathbf{X}_{t-1}$

---


Algorithm 1 Generic Monte-Carlo localization algorithm

---

```
1: procedure MCL( $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, m, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{z}_t$ )
2:    $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \emptyset$ 
3:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
4:     sample  $x_t^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^n)$ 
5:      $w_t^n = p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t^n, map)$ 
6:      $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} + \langle x_t^n, w_t^n \rangle$ 
7:   end for
8:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
9:     draw  $i$  with probability  $\propto \widetilde{w}_t^i$ 
10:     $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} + \langle \widetilde{x}_t^i, \widetilde{w}_t^i \rangle$ 
11:  end for
12:  return  $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\}$ 
13: end procedure
```



# PARTICLE FILTER




---

## Algorithm 1 Generic Monte-Carlo localization algorithm

---

- 1: procedure MCL( $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, m, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{z}_t$ )
- 2:    $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \emptyset$
- 3:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
- 4:     sample  $x_t^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^n)$  Motion model
- 5:      $w_t^n = p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t^n, map)$
- 6:      $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} + \langle x_t^n, w_t^n \rangle$
- 7:   end for
- 8:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
- 9:     draw  $i$  with probability  $\widetilde{w}_t^i \propto w_t^i$
- 10:     $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} + \langle \widetilde{x}_t^i, \widetilde{w}_t^i \rangle$
- 11:   end for
- 12:   return  $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\}$
- 13: end procedure

# PARTICLE FILTER




---

## Algorithm 1 Generic Monte-Carlo localization algorithm

---


```

1: procedure MCL( $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, m, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{z}_t$ )
2:    $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \emptyset$ 
3:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
4:     sample  $x_t^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^n)$ 
5:      $w_t^n = p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t^n, \text{map})$ 
6:      $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} + \langle x_t^n, w_t^n \rangle$ 
7:   end for
8:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
9:     draw  $i$  with probability  $\widetilde{w}_t^i \propto w_t^i$ 
10:     $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} + \langle \widetilde{x}_t^i, \widetilde{w}_t^i \rangle$ 
11:  end for
12:  return  $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\}$ 
13: end procedure

```

Observation model

# PARTICLE FILTER




Algorithm 1 Generic Monte-Carlo localization algorithm

```

1: procedure MCL( $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, m, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{z}_t$ )
2:    $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \emptyset$ 
3:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
4:     sample  $x_t^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^n)$ 
5:      $w_t^n = p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t^n, \text{map})$ 
6:      $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}_t^n}\} = \{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} + \langle x_t^n, w_t^n \rangle$ 
7:   end for
8:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
9:     draw  $i$  with probability  $\propto \widetilde{w}_t^i$ 
10:     $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} + \langle \widetilde{x}_t^i, \widetilde{w}_t^i \rangle$ 
11:  end for
12:  return  $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\}$ 
13: end procedure
  
```

Resampling

# PARTICLE FILTER



## Algorithm 1 Generic Monte-Carlo localization algorithm

```

1: procedure MCL( $\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, m, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{z}_t$ )
2:    $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_t^n\} = \emptyset$ 
3:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
4:     sample  $x_t^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}_t | \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{x}_{t-1}^n)$  Motion model
5:      $w_t^n = p(\mathbf{z}_t | \mathbf{x}_t^n, \text{map})$  Observation model
6:      $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_t^n\} = \{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} + \langle x_t^n, w_t^n \rangle$ 
7:   end for
8:   for  $n = 1$  to  $N$  do
9:     draw  $i$  with probability  $\propto \widetilde{w}_t^i$  Resampling
10:     $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\} = \{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_t^n\} + \langle \widetilde{x}_t^i, \widetilde{w}_t^i \rangle$ 
11:  end for
12:  return  $\{\mathbf{x}_t^n\}$ 
13: end procedure
  
```


# **PARTICLE FILTER MOTION MODEL**

NEXT LECTURE


# **PARTICLE FILTER OBSERVATION MODEL**

## NEXT LECTURE

# PARTICLE FILTER RESAMPLING




# PARTICLE FILTER RESAMPLING







# PARTICLE FILTER RESAMPLING




# PARTICLE FILTER RESAMPLING




# PARTICLE FILTER RESAMPLING




# PARTICLE FILTER RESAMPLING



(a) Multinomial




(b) Stratified




(c) Systematic


# PARTICLE FILTER EXAMPLE



# GLOBAL LOCALIZATION AND KIDNAPPED ROBOT PROBLEM




# WHICH ONE TO CHOOSE?



	Kalman filter	Particle filter
Noise type	Gaussian (normal), unimodal	Arbitrary multimodal distribution
Solution	Optimal	Approximate
Implementation difficulty	Hard (derivation of physical model needed)	Simple
Robustness	Moderate	High
Global localization	No	Yes
Computational speed	Fast	Depends on implementation (usually, slower)


# WHICH ONE TO CHOOSE?



	Kalman filter	Particle filter
Noise type	Gaussian (normal), unimodal	Arbitrary multimodal distribution
Solution	Optimal	Approximate
Implementation difficulty	Hard (derivation of physical model needed)	Simple
Robustness	Moderate	High
Global localization	No	Yes
Computational speed	Fast	Depends on implementation (usually, slower)




# WHICH ONE TO CHOOSE?



	Kalman filter	Particle filter
<b>Noise type</b>	Gaussian (normal), unimodal	Arbitrary multimodal distribution
<b>Solution</b>	Optimal	Approximate
<b>Implementation difficulty</b>	Hard (derivation of physical model needed)	Simple
<b>Robustness</b>	Moderate	High
<b>Global localization</b>	No	Yes
<b>Computational speed</b>	Fast	Depends on implementation (usually, slower)

# WHICH ONE TO CHOOSE?



	<b>Extended Kalman filter</b>	<b>Particle filter</b>
<b>Noise type</b>	Arbitrary, unimodal	Arbitrary multimodal distribution
<b>Solution</b>	Approximate	Approximate
<b>Implementation difficulty</b>	Hard (derivation of physical model needed)	Simple
<b>Robustness</b>	Moderate	High
<b>Global localization</b>	No	Yes
<b>Computational speed</b>	Fast	Depends on implementation (usually, slower)

# ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

1. [How a Kalman filter works, in pictures](#)
2. [Probabilistic Robotics \(in PIAZZA\)](#).  
Chapters 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8.
3. [Cyrill Stachniss. SLAM -Course](#)



# Thanks for attention!

Questions? Additions? Welcome!

---

girafe  
ai

