Matrix factorizations

Vladislav Goncharenko

Video recommendations
team lead, Dzen

girafe
ai

MSU & MIPT,
fall 2023

Types of problem statements recommendations

In general, we want to recommend items (music/movie/article/product)

to the user so that the user is happy (we work on long-term metrics)

A typical simplification of a user's happiness is whether a recommendation is liked at the moment.

Or even a bigger simplification —do you like the item as a whole or any item rating

for the user

The user himself can also be represented in different ways

1. As an unordered set of items that the user interacted with

2. As an unordered set of items with ratings

3. As a sequence of items with ratings

4. As a sequence of interactions taking into account the context

Revise

girafe
ai

01

Basically, we will represent the user as an unordered set of items with ratings and try to predict the user's rating on other items

Evaluation matrix

Basically, we will represent the user as an unordered set of items with ratings and try to predict the user's rating on other items

Movie

Users

	Sherlock	House of Cards	Avengers	Arrested Development	Breaking Bad	Walking Dead
	2		2	4	5	
	5		4			1
			5		2	
		1		5		4
			4			2
	4	5		1		

Types of ratings (feedback)

It's ok

I love it

I like it

I hate it!

I don't like it

Explicit (from 1 to 5)

Implicit (have you watched this movie)

Item- and User-based approaches

girafe
ai

02

Idea

User2User

Item2Item

A few formulas

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \frac{\sum_j s(i,j)\left(r_{uj} - r_u\right)}{\sum_j |s(i,j)|} + r_u$$

Explicit: $$\text{corr}(i,j) = \frac{\sum_u (r_{ui} - r_i)(r_{uj} - r_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_u (r_{ui} - r_i)^2 \sum_u (r_{uj} - r_j)^2}}$$

$s(i,j)$

Implicit: $$J(A,B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$

Choosing between Item2Item and User2User

It strongly depends on the number of ratings per user and per item – the more, the more reliable the similarity.

This also leads to the possibility of pre-calculation: if there are a lot of estimates, then adding a pair of estimates will not change anything. Then you can update once in a while.

The similarities themselves can be used for other tasks (candidate generation, contextual recommendations).

A bit of linear algebra

girafe
ai

Recall the main things about

- **PCA**
- **SVD**
- **Truncated SVD**

PCA – staging

$$\sum_i dist(x_i, L_k) \to \min$$

M x N ←→ M x k

PCA – connection with matrix decompositions

$$r_{ij} = \bar{u}_i^T \bar{v}_j + b_i$$

SVD

$$R = U\Sigma V^T$$
$$UU^T = U^TU = I_M$$
$$VV^T = V^TV = I_N$$

M x N	=	M x M	×	M x N	×	N x N
R		U		Σ		V^T

$$r_{ij} = \overline{u}_i{}^T \Sigma \overline{v}_j$$

SVD compact

$$R = U\Sigma V^T$$
$$l = rank\{R\}$$
$$U^T U = V^T V = I_l$$

R   U Σ V^T

M x N   M x l   l x l   l x N

$$r_{ij} = \overline{u_i}^T \Sigma \overline{v_j}$$

Truncated SVD

$$R \approx U\Sigma V^{T}$$
$$k \ll l = \text{rank}\{R\}$$
$$U^{T}U = V^{T}V = I_{k}$$

$$r_{ij} = \overline{u}_i^{\,T}\Sigma\overline{v}_j$$

Truncated SVD as optimization

$$R \approx U\Sigma V^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$U^T U = V^T V = I_k$$

$$U\Sigma V^T = XY^T$$
$$X^T X \neq I_k, Y^T Y \neq I_k$$

$$\min_{\substack{U,V,\Sigma \\ U^T U = V^T V = I_k \\ \sigma_{ij}=0, i \neq j \\ \sigma_{ii} > \sigma_{jj}, i < j}} \sum_{\forall i,j} \left(r_{ij} - u_i^T \Sigma v_j \right)^2 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \min_{X,Y} \sum_{\forall i,j} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j \right)^2$$

$$\min_{X,Y} \sum_{\forall i,j} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j$$

$$C_i = \frac{\left| \{ j | r_{ij} > 0 \} \right|^\alpha |\{i\}|}{\sum_i \left| \{ j | r_{ij} > 0 \} \right|^\alpha}$$

Truncated SVD as optimization

$$\min_{X,Y} \sum_{\forall i,j} (r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j$$

$$r_{i,j} = x_i^T y_j$$

Truncated SVD on the example of images

400 x 600 image
240 000 values

400 x 1 / 1 x 1 / 1 x 600 matrices
1001 values

Truncated SVD on the example of images

400 x 600 image
240 000 values

400 x 2 / 2 x 2 / 2 x 600 matrices
2002 values

24

Truncated SVD on the example of images

400 x 600 image
240 000 values

400 x 9 / 9 x 9 / 9 x 600 matrices
9009 values

Matrix factorizations

girafe
ai

04

Funk SVD

$$\min_{X,Y} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j$$

SVD Interpretation

Fantasticality

Coordinates:
Comedy: 0.8
Fantasy: 0.4

Funk SVD placed the goods
in the space itself (k=2)

vector

Profile:
(0.8, 0.4)

Comedy

Profile: (- 1,
- 0.4)

Profile:
(0.4, - 0.4)

SVD Interpretation

SVD Interpretation

SVD Interpretation

Fantasticality

Comedy

By optimizing (SGD) for the user, we can find his profile!

Profile: (0.8, 0.4)

Profile: (- 1, - 0.4)

Profile: (0.4, - 0.4)

SVD Interpretation

Fantasticality

Comedy

Recomendation!

Profile: (0.8, 0.4)

Profile: (- 1, - 0.4)

Profile: (0.4, - 0.4)

SVD Results

Matrix factorizations (MF) do not read the similarity of goods directly (as in CF).

MF attempts to describe users and products with a small set of characteristics that explain the ratings.

These characteristics may not be interpreted, at least the winds of items and users are determined with precision to the turn.

Unfortunately, SGD is difficult to parallelize.

Alternating Least Squares

girafe
ai

ALS

$$\min_{X,Y} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j$$

Initialize X and Y with random values.

In the loop:

- We fix the matrix X (users)
- Find the optimal matrix Y (solve the ridge regression for each product)

User 1 profile	5
User 2 profile	x	Item profile	$\approx$	1
User 3 profile	4

- And vice versa

ALS – step by x_i

$$\underset{x_i}{\mathrm{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

ALS – step by x_i

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} x_i^T y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

ALS – step by x_i

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} x_i^T y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2 x_i^T \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot x_i^T y_j + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

ALS – step by x_i

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} x_i^T y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2x_i^T \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot x_i^T y_j + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2x_i^T \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot y_j^T x_i + \lambda C_i x_i^T x_i =$$

ALS – step by x_i

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} x_i^T y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} -2x_i^T \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot x_i^T y_j + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} -2x_i^T \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot y_j^T x_i + \lambda C_i x_i^T x_i =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} -2x_i^T \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j \right) + x_i^T \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in R} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i \right) x_i =$$

ALS – step by x_i

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} x_i^T y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} \left(x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2 x_i^T \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot x_i^T y_j + \lambda (x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2 x_i^T \sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j) \in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot y_j^T x_i + \lambda C_i x_i^T x_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2 x_i^T \left(\sum_{(i,j) \in R} r_{ij} y_j \right) + x_i^T \left(\sum_{(i,j) \in R} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i \right) x_i =$$

$$\underset{x_i}{\text{argmin}} -2 x_i^T B_i + x_i^T A_i x_i = A_i^{-1} B_i$$

ALS – step by x_i

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(r_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} x_i^T y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} \left(x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda(x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} -2 x_i^T \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot x_i^T y_j + \lambda(x_i, x_i) C_i =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} -2 x_i^T \sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j + \sum_{(i,j)\in R} x_i^T y_j \cdot y_j^T x_i + \lambda C_i x_i^T x_i =$$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} -2 x_i^T \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j\right) + x_i^T \left(\sum_{(i,j)\in R} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i\right) x_i =$$

$$\boxed{\left(\sum_{j|(i,j)\in R} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i I\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{j|(i,j)\in R} r_{ij} y_j\right)}$$

42

Implicit Alternating Least Squares

girafe
ai

06

IALS

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & r_{ij} > 0 \\ 0, & r_{ij} \leq 0 \; or \; r_{ij} - undefined \end{cases}$$

Did you like it?

IALS

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & r_{ij} > 0 \\ 0, & r_{ij} \leq 0 \ or \ r_{ij} - undefined \end{cases}$$

$$c_{ij} = 1 + \alpha \left| r_{ij} \right|$$

Do you like it?

How confident are you in p_{ij}

IALS

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & r_{ij} > 0 \\ 0, & r_{ij} \leq 0 \ or \ r_{ij} - undefined \end{cases}$$

Do you like it?

$$c_{ij} = 1 + \alpha |r_{ij}|$$

How confident are you in p_{ij}

$$\min_{X,Y} \sum_{\forall i,j} c_{ij} \left(p_{ij} - x_i^T y_j \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j$$

$$C_i = \frac{\left(\sum_{j|(i,j)\in R} c_{ij} \right)^\alpha |\{i\}|}{\sum_i \left(\sum_{j|(i,j)\in R} c_{ij} \right)^\alpha}$$

IALS: How to optimize

$$\underset{x_i}{\mathrm{argmin}} \sum_{\forall i,j} c_{ij}\left(p_{ij} - x_i^T y_j\right)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\left(\sum_{\forall j} c_{ij} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i I\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\forall j} c_{ij} p_{ij} y_j\right) =$$

IALS: How to optimize

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{x_i} \sum_{\forall i,j} c_{ij}(p_{ij} - x_i^T y_j)^2 + \lambda \sum_i \|x_i\|^2 C_i + \lambda \sum_j \|y_j\|^2 C_j =$$

$$\left(\sum_{\forall j} c_{ij} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i I \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\forall j} c_{ij} p_{ij} y_j \right) =$$

$$\left(\sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}=0} c_{ij} y_j \cdot y_j^T + \sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} c_{ij} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i I \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} c_{ij} p_{ij} y_j \right) =$$

$$\left(\sum_{\forall j} y_j \cdot y_j^T - \sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} y_j \cdot y_j^T + \sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} c_{ij} y_j y_j^T + \lambda C_i I \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} c_{ij} p_{ij} y_j \right) =$$

$$\left(Y^T Y + \lambda C_i I + \sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} (c_{ij}-1) y_j y_j^T \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\forall j | p_{ij}\neq0} c_{ij} p_{ij} y_j \right)$$

IALS – generalizations

The target p_{ij} can be not only 1 where there is a signal
(we leave the implicit one equal to 0).

In confidence c_{ij} it is not necessary to use 1 as the default, any peer-to-peer matrix.three times.

The model can be slightly complicated by analogy with PCA:

$$r_{ij} \approx x_i y_j \quad \longrightarrow \quad r_{ij} \approx x_i y_j + b_i + b_j + \mu$$

You can combine several types of assessments with each other.

Other generalizations

ALS

$$r_{ij} \approx x_i y_j + b_i + b_j + \mu$$

SVD++

$$r_{ij} \approx \left(x_i + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\{s|p_{is} \neq 0\}|}} \sum_{\forall s|p_{is} \neq 0} \widehat{y_s} \right) y_j + b_i + b_j + \mu$$

timeSVD++

$$r_{ij}(t) \approx \left(x_i(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\{s|p_{is} \neq 0\}|}} \sum_{\forall s|p_{is} \neq 0} \widehat{y_s} \right) y_j + b_i(t) + b_j(t) + \mu$$

Other generalizations (at the next lecture)

- SLIM
- Factorization machine
- DSSM
- Other losses

ALS implementations

- https://github.com/lyst/lightfm
- https://github.com/benfred/implicit/
- https://github.com/NicolasHug/Surprise
- https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/api/python/reference/api/pyspark.ml.recommendation.ALS.html
  - https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/ml-collaborative-filtering.html

Thanks for attention!

Questions?

girafe
ai