

Characterization of SuperNEMO demonstrator calorimeter timing performance Study of ^{208}Tl background rejection influence on the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay sensitivity

Thèse de doctorat de l'Université Paris-Saclay
préparée à l'Université Paris Saclay au sein du Laboratoire Irène-Joliot Curie
(anciennement Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire)

École doctorale n°576 Particles, Hadrons, Energy, Nuclei, Instrumentation,
Imaging, Cosmos et Simulation (PHENIICS)
Spécialité de doctorat : Physique des particules

Thèse présentée et soutenue à Orsay, le ***, par

CLOÉ GIRARD-CARILLO

Composition du Jury :

Alessandra Tonazzo
APC - Paris

Mark C. Chen
Queen's University

Christine Marquet
CENBG - Bordeaux-Gradignan

Laurent Simard
LAL - Orsay

Mathieu Bongrand
LAL - Orsay

Président

Rapporteur

Rapporteur

Examineur

Directeur de thèse

Co-directeur de thèse

Contents

Acknowledgement	3
Contents	5
Introduction	9
1 Phenomenology of particle physics	11
1.1 The Standard Model of particle physics	11
1.1.1 Bosons	11
1.1.2 Fermions	11
1.1.3 $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay	11
1.1.4 Where the Standard Model ends	11
1.2 Going beyond the Standard Model with neutrinos	11
1.2.1 Neutrino flavors and oscillations	11
1.2.2 Neutrino masses and nature	11
1.2.3 Neutrinoless double beta decay	11
1.2.4 Other searches beyond the Standard Model with neutrinos .	11
1.3 $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiment status	11
1.3.1 Experimental design criteria	11
1.3.2 $0\nu\beta\beta$ direct search experiments	13
1.3.3 Bolometers	14
1.3.4 Time projection chambers	14
1.3.5 Scintillators	15
1.3.6 Tracking calorimeters	17
2 The SuperNEMO demonstrator	19
2.1 The SuperNEMO technology	20
2.1.1 Detection principle	20
2.1.2 The source foils	22
2.1.3 The tracker	25
2.1.4 The calorimeter	29
2.1.5 The magnetic coil and the shieldings	34
2.1.6 Calibration strategy	36

2.1.7	Detector cabling	37
2.1.8	Electronics	39
2.1.9	Detector gas tightness	42
2.2	Backgrounds	43
2.2.1	Internal background	44
2.2.2	External background	46
2.2.3	Radon background	47
2.2.4	Background reduction	48
2.3	The SuperNEMO software	48
2.3.1	Simulation	49
2.3.2	Reconstruction pipeline	49
2.3.3	Analysis tools	50
2.4	Conclusion	53
3	Sensitivity of the SuperNEMO demonstrator to the $0\nu\beta\beta$	55
3.1	The $0\nu\beta\beta$ signal and background model	55
3.1.1	The $0\nu\beta\beta$ signal	56
3.1.2	Inside detector backgrounds	56
3.1.3	External backgrounds	57
3.1.4	Expected number of decays	58
3.2	Event selection	59
3.2.1	Electron definition	59
3.2.2	Total energy spectrum	59
3.3	Demonstrator sensitivity to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ^{82}Se	61
3.3.1	Sensitivity to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life	61
3.3.2	Limit on the effective neutrino mass	64
3.4	Impact of sources contamination levels on the sensitivity	65
3.4.1	Contamination levels	65
3.4.2	Optimisation of event selection	68
3.5	Impact of the magnetic field on the sensitivity	74
3.5.1	Simulations of the magnetic field inside the demonstrator and reconstructed track fit	74
3.5.2	Impact of the magnetic field on signal and background selections	75
3.5.3	Influence of the magnetic field on optical modules and reconstruction efficiency	77
3.5.4	Simulations with a non-uniform magnetic field	78
3.6	Searching for the Neodymium-150 $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay	80
3.6.1	Searching for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ of other isotopes	80
3.6.2	Sensitivity to the $0\nu\beta\beta$ of ^{150}Nd	80
3.7	The final detector sensitivity	82
3.8	Conclusion	83
4	Improvement of the internal Thallium-208 background rejection	87
4.1	Motivations	87
4.2	The internal ^{208}Tl background	88
4.2.1	The internal conversion process	89

4.2.2	Selection of ^{208}Tl disintegrations in the 2e channel	90
4.3	Rejection of ^{208}Tl with a time-of-flight criterion	91
4.3.1	The internal probability	91
4.3.2	The exponential probability for ^{208}Tl events	93
4.4	Event selection	95
4.4.1	Energy selection	96
4.4.2	Time-of-flight cut-off	96
4.4.3	Probability cut-off	97
4.4.4	Selection optimisation	101
4.5	Impact of ^{208}Tl rejection on the experiment's sensitivity	101
4.5.1	Influence of the calorimeter time resolution	101
4.6	Conclusion	103
5	Characterisation of the calorimeter time resolution	105
5.1	Interaction of particles in the SuperNEMO scintillators	106
5.1.1	Interaction of electrons	106
5.1.2	Interaction of photons	106
5.2	Measurement of the time resolution with a ^{60}Co source	107
5.2.1	Description of Cobalt 60 nucleus	108
5.2.2	Time response of optical modules	108
5.2.3	Final experimental design	111
5.2.4	Signal events selection	113
5.2.5	Background estimation	115
5.2.6	Detector efficiency	119
5.2.7	Determination of the individual timing resolution of each optical module	119
5.2.8	Conclusion	124
5.3	The Light Injection System	124
5.3.1	Light injection system commissioning	124
5.3.2	Time resolution of optical modules	124
6	Detector commissioning	127
6.1	Reflectometry analysis	127
6.1.1	Goal of the reflectometry analysis	127
6.1.2	Pulse timing: controlling cable lengths	128
6.1.3	Signal attenuation	132
6.1.4	Pulse shape analysis	134
6.1.5	Comparison with ^{60}Co	135
6.1.6	Conclusion	135
6.2	Calibrating the electronic boards	135
6.2.1	Principle	135
6.2.2	Measuring the time offset of front end boards	135
6.2.3	Results	135
6.3	Energy calibration of optical modules	135
6.4	Baseline studies	135
6.5	Light Injection System	135

CONTENTS

Conclusion	137
Résumé	139
Bibliography	141


Conclusion


The search for the neutrinoless double beta decay is one of the doorways to physics beyond the Standard Model. If the neutrino is a Majorana particle, in addition to providing an explanation for the matter/anti-matter asymmetry observed in the universe, the existence of this mechanism could explain the fact that neutrinos have a very low mass compared to other fermions, through the see-saw mechanism.

NEMO technology, which has already set limits on this mechanism for several isotopes, has given birth to the SuperNEMO detector. With 100 kg of ^{82}Se this detector based on the inique tracko-calo technology would achieve $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 5.4 \times 10^{25}$ years, corresponding to $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle = [0.079 - 0.15]$ eV, for 5 years of data acquisition. The SuperNEMO demonstrator, which is nearing the end of installation at the Modane Underground Laboratory with 6.23 kg of ^{82}Se , will complete its commissioning phase by the end of 2020 and will take data for slightly more than two years and a half. With the measurement of SuperNEMO source activities by BiPo-3 detector, we have determined that the demonstrator should achieve a sensitivity at $0\nu\beta\beta$ of $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 3.6 \times 10^{24}$ years, corresponding to $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle < [0.31 - 0.59]$ eV. The 25 Gauss magnetic field that will be applied in the detector will have very limited impact on the sensitivity, but it will be necessary to wait for simulations of external background before a more complete study can be carried out and a final conclusion can be drawn on the influence of this magnetic field.

A way to improve this sensitivity is to reject more efficiently the background coming from ^{208}Tl isotope decays in the sources. When this isotope performs a beta decay to an excited level of ^{208}Pb followed by internal conversion of the 2,615 MeV metastable level, the event can be rejected by measuring the times of flight of the two detected electrons. An X% improvement in sensitivity was achieved, making it possible to reach [range mass eff]. When the demonstrator starts taking data, these activities can be measured more accurately and sensitivity results will be updated. In particular, it is conceivable that the contamination of sources in ^{214}Bi is lower than the upper limit provided by BiPo-3. As this study is based on time-of-flight measurements, the uncertainty in the time measurement of the optical modules impacted the final result on sensitivity [cite result]. A mission was then conducted at Modane to determine this parameter using a ^{60}Co source whose two prompt gamma rays can be detected coincidentally by pairs of calorimeter blocks. Using simulations of the detector, this analysis revealed that the time resolution of optical modules [quote result].

During my PhD, I was also given the opportunity to participate in commissioning data collection and analysis, thus characterising the detector's performances. In particular, by sending electronic pulses through the signal cables of the calorimeter, the condition of each cable and connector could be checked and

corrected if necessary. A data base of the length of each cable was made available to the collaboration to improve the accuracy of the coincidence analyses. Data was also taken to calibrate the calorimeter front-end boards in time [donner chiffre du décalage moyen]. These two preliminary analyses will have to be completed by a more complete study aimed at characterising the entire signal transmission chain, from the calorimeter to the DAQ. 

The commissioning of the calorimeter is now complete, and the next step in characterising the detector will be to study the performance of the tracker. This step should be completed by the end of 2020. 

Bibliography

- [1] M. Agostini et al. Probing majorana neutrinos with double- β decay. *Science* 365, 1445, 2019.
- [2] S.I. Alvis et al. Search for neutrinoless double-beta decay in ^{76}Ge with 26 kg-yr of exposure from the majorana demonstrator. *Phys. Rev. C*, 100, 2019.
- [3] O. Azzolini et al. First result on the neutrinoless double- β decay of ^{82}Se with cupid-0. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120:232502, Jun 2018.
- [4] C. Alduino et al. First results from cuore: A search for lepton number violation via $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ^{130}Te . *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120:132501, Mar 2018.
- [5] J. B. Albert et al. Search for neutrinoless double-beta decay with the upgraded exo-200 detector. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 120:072701, Feb 2018.
- [6] A. Gando et al. Search for majorana neutrinos near the inverted mass hierarchy region with kamland-zen. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117:082503, Aug 2016.
- [7] Chopra A. C0 commissioning results. Internal presentation, 2015.
- [8] Cerna C. Tracker review conclusions. Internal presentation, 2014.
- [9] S. Clavez. *Development of reconstruction tools and sensitivity of the SuperNEMO demonstrator*. PhD thesis, Université Paris Sud, 2017.
- [10] Garrido X. Bongrand M. Hamamatsu 8" pmt test in magnetic shield. Internal presentation, 2014.
- [11] Loaiza P. Source foils measurement with bipo. Internal presentation, 2017.
- [12] Perrot F. Radiopurity measurements for 8" pmcs and preliminary budget for the sn demonstrator. Internal presentation, 2017.
- [13] et al Arnold R. Technical design and performance of the nemo3 detector. *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, pages 79–122, 2005.
- [14] Xin Ran Liu. Radon mitigation strategy and results for the supernemo experiment. IoP APP / HEPP Conference, 2018.

- [15] A. Huber. *Recherche de la nature du neutrino avec le détecteur SuperNEMO : Simulations optiques pour l'optimisation du calorimètre et performances attendues pour le ^{82}Se* . PhD thesis, Université Bordeaux, 2017.
- [16] R. Arnold et al. Probing new physics models of neutrinoless double beta decay with supernemo. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 2010.
- [17] Tretyak V.I. Ponkratenko O.A. and Zdesenko Yu.G. The event generator decay4 for simulation of doublebeta processes and decay of radioactive nuclei. *Phys. At. Nucl.*, 63:1282–1287, Jul 2000.
- [18] R. Arnold et al. Results of the search for neutrinoless double- β decay in ^{100}mo with the nemo-3 experiment. *Phys. Rev. D*, 2015.
- [19] Gomez-Cadenas et al. Physics case of supernemo with ^{82}se source. Internal presentation, 2008.
- [20] R. Arnold et al. Final results on ^{82}se double beta decay to the ground state of ^{82}kr from the nemo-3 experiment. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 2018.
- [21] Cousins D. Feldman G. A unified approach to the classical statistical analysis of small signals. *Phys.Rev.*, pages 3873–3889, 1999.
- [22] J. Kotila and F. Iachello. Phase-space factors for double- β decay. *Phys. Rev. C*, 85:034316, Mar 2012.
- [23] Dong-Liang Fang, Amand Faessler, Vadim Rodin, and Fedor Šimkovic. Neutrinoless double- β decay of deformed nuclei within quasiparticle random-phase approximation with a realistic interaction. *Phys. Rev. C*, 83:034320, Mar 2011.
- [24] A. Chapon. *Mesure des processus de double désintégration bêta du Mo vers l'état excité 0_1^+ du Ru dans l'expérience Nemo3, Programme de R&D SuperNEMO : mise au point d'un détecteur BiPo pour la mesure de très faibles contaminations de feuilles sources*. PhD thesis, Université Caen Basse-Normandie, 2011.
- [25] Snow S. A magnetic field map for the tracker. Internal presentation, 2015.
- [26] A. Pin. *Recherche de la nature du neutrino via la décroissance double bêta sans émission de neutrinos. Caractérisation et optimisation du calorimètre SuperNEMO et impact sur la recherche de la décroissance du ^{82}Se Développement du premier prototype LiquidO*. PhD thesis, Université Bordeaux-Gradignan, 2020.
- [27] A. H. Wapstra G. Audi. The 1995 update to the atomic mass evaluation. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 595:409–480, feb 1995.
- [28] R. Arnold et al. Measurement of the $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay half-life of ^{150}nd and a search for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay processes with the full exposure from the nemo-3 detector. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94, oct 2016.

- [29] Nucleid database.