

## ## Plain-English Summary

This report evaluates the \*\*adoption readiness\*\* and \*\*governance quality\*\* of a blockchain deployment scenario. The output is a standardized score that helps compare different governance designs and identify risk areas.

## ## Key Result

- \*\*B-GATE Index:\*\* 40.18/100
- \*\*Rating:\*\* RED (High governance/adoption risk)
- \*\*Penalty points:\*\* 10.0
- \*\*Penalty reasons:\*\* Missing dispute-resolution governance

## ## Score Breakdown

- \*\*ARS (Adoption Readiness):\*\* 39.55/100
- \*\*GQS (Governance Quality):\*\* 51.6/100
- \*\*TSS (Trustworthiness & Sustainability):\*\* 65.59/100

## ## Scenario Description (Benchmark Input)

- Domain: interbank\_settlement
- Governance model: permissioned\_raft
- Stakeholders: 13
- Trust asymmetry: 1 / 5
- Data sensitivity: 4 / 5
- Dispute frequency: 1 per month
- Regulatory pressure: 4 / 5
- Adoption stage: pilot

## ## Governance Evidence Flags (Binary)

- Incident response present: 1
- Upgrade policy present: 1
- Dispute process present: 0
- Decision rights clarity: 1 (0 unclear, 2 clear)

## ## Notes for Non-Technical Readers

- A high score means the scenario has \*\*clear rules\*\*, \*\*accountability\*\*, and \*\*operational readiness\*\*.
- Penalties occur when essential governance policies are missing (incident response, upgrades, dispute resolution).