
#### Lecture 19

#### **Introduction to Machine Learning**


Tyler Ransom ECON 6343, University of Oklahoma

#### Attribution

Today's material is based on the two main Statistical Learning textbooks:



James, Witten, Hastie, and Tibshirani (2013)



Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2009)

#### Plan for the Day

- 1. What is Machine Learning (ML)?
- 2. Broad overview
- 3. How to do ML in Julia

Next time, we'll discuss how ML is being used with econometrics

# Machine Learning and Artifical Intelligence (AI)

- Machine learning (ML): Allowing computers to learn for themselves without explicitly being programmed
  - USPS: Computer to read handwriting on envelopes
  - o Google: AlphaGo Zero, computer that defeated world champion Go player
  - Apple/Amazon/Microsoft: Siri, Alexa, Cortana voice assistants
- Artificial intelligence (AI): Constructing machines (robots, computers) to think and act like human beings
- ML is a subset of Al

#### Prediction vs. Inference

- Prediction and Inference are the two main reasons for analyzing data
- **Prediction:** We simply want to obtain  $\hat{Y}$  given the X's
- **Inference:** Understanding how changing the X's will change Y
- Three types of inference, according to **Andrew Gelman** 
  - 1. Generalizing from sample to population (statistical inference)
  - 2. Generalizing from control state to treatment state (causal inference)
  - 3. Generalizing from observed measurements to underlying constructs of interest
- Philosophically, these can each be framed as prediction problems

#### Inference as Prediction

- How can each type of inference be framed as a prediction problem?
- Statistical inference:
  - $\circ$  Predict what  $Y(\text{or }\beta)$  would be in a different sample
- Causal inference:
  - Predict what Y would be if we switched each person's treatment status
- Measurement quality:
  - Predict what Y would be if we could better measure it (or the X's)
  - e.g. personal rating in Harvard admissions (what does it measure?)

#### Vocabulary

Econometrics and Machine Learning use different words for the same objects

#### **Econometrics**

- Dependent variable
- Covariate
- Observation
- Objective function
- Estimation

#### **Machine Learning**

- Target variable
- Feature
- Example (Instance)
- Cost function (Loss function)
- Training (Learning)

## The goal of machine learning

Machine learning is all about automating two hand-in-hand processes:

- 1. Model selection
  - What should the specification be?
- 2. Model validation
  - Does the model generalize to other (similar) contexts?
- Want to automate these processes to maximize predictive accuracy (out of sample accuracy)
  - As defined by some cost function
- This is **different** than the goal of econometrics! (causal inference)

#### Training, validation and test data

- In econometrics, we typically use the entire data set for estimation
- In ML, we assess out-of-sample performance, so we should hold out some data
- Some held-out data is used for validating the model, and some to test the model
- Data used in estimation is referred to as **training data** (60%-70% of sample)
- Data we use to test performance is called test data (10%-20%)
- Data we use to cross-validate our model is called **validation data** (10%-20%)
- Division of training/validation/test sets should be **random**

#### Model complexity and the bias/variance tradeoff

There is a trade-off between bias and variance

- A model with high **bias** is a poor approximation of reality
- A model with high **variance** is one that does not generalize well to a new data set
- A model is **overfit** if it has low bias and high variance
- A model is **underfit** if it has high bias and low variance

#### Visualizing the bias/variance tradeoff




FIGURE 7.1. Behavior of test sample and training sample error as the model complexity is varied. The light blue curves show the training error  $\overline{\operatorname{err}}$ , while the light red curves show the conditional test error  $\operatorname{Err}_{\mathcal{T}}$  for 100 training sets of size 50 each, as the model complexity is increased. The solid curves show the expected test error  $\operatorname{Err}$  and the expected training error  $\operatorname{E}[\overline{\operatorname{err}}]$ .

- Optimal model complexity is at the minimum of the red line
- Irreducible error means that the red line can't go below a certain level
  maybe at 0.3 in this picture?
- Image source: Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2009)

## Continuous vs. categorical target variables

- When the target variable is continuous, we use MSE to measure fit
- When it is categorical, we use **accuracy** or similar measures
  - or some combination of specificity and sensitivity
  - goal is to not have a good "fit" by randomly guessing
  - so each potential metric penalizes random guessing

#### Different types of learning algorithms

- Just like in econometrics, the most basic "learning algorithm" is OLS
- Or, if Y is categorical, logistic regression
- But, we know there are many other ways to estimate models
- e.g. non-parametric, semi-parametric, Bayesian, ...

#### Supervised and unsupervised learning

- **Supervised learning:** we predict *Y* from a set of *X*'s
- **Unsupervised learning:** we try to group observations by their X's
- Most of econometrics is about supervised learning (i.e. estimate  $\hat{\beta}$ )
- But there are some elements of unsupervised learning
  - Particularly with regards to detecting unobserved heterogeneity types
  - e.g. factor analysis (detect types based on a set of measurements)
  - e.g. the EM algorithm (detect types based on serial correlation of residuals)

## Supervised learning algorithms

Examples of supervised learning algorithms:

- Tree models
  - Basically a fully non-parametric bin estimator
  - Can generalize to "forests" that average over many "trees"
- Neural networks
  - Model the human brain's system of axons and dendrites
  - $\circ$  "Input layer" is the X's, "Output layer" is Y
  - "Hidden layers" nonlinearly map the input and output layers
  - Mapping ends up looking like a logit of logits

## Supervised learning algorithms (continued)

- Bayesian models
  - Bayes' rule can be thought of as a learning algorithm
  - Use it to update one's prior
- Support Vector Machine (SVM)
  - Originally developed for classification
  - Tries to divide 0s and 1s by as large of a margin as possible
  - Based on representing examples as points in space
  - Generalization of the maximal margin classifier

## Unsupervised learning algorithms

- We covered the EM algorithm and PCA in previous lectures
- *k*-means clustering
  - Attempts to group observations together based on the X's
  - $\circ$  Choose cluster labels to minimize difference in X's among labeled observations

$$\min_{C_1, \dots, C_{Kk=1}} \sum_{N_k}^{K} \sum_{i \in C_k \ell = 1}^{L} \left( x_{i\ell} - x_{\ell j} \right)^2$$

 $N_k$  is the number of observations in cluster k, L is number of X's

Can choose other metrics besides Euclidean distance


## Active learning algorithms

- Active learning: algorithm chooses the next example it wants a label for
- Balances "exploration" and "exploitation"
- Two common examples of active learning:
- 1. **Reinforcement learning** powers the world-beating chess engines
  - These algorithms use dynamic programming methods
  - Use Conditional Choice Probabilities for computational gains
- 2. Recommender systems power social networks, streaming services, etc.

#### Back to the bias-variance tradeoff

- The Bias-Variance Tradeoff applies to supervised learning
- How do we ensure that our model is not overly complex (i.e. overfit)?
- The answer is to penalize complexity
- **Regularization** is the way we penalize complexity
- Cross-validation is the way that we choose the optimal level of regularization

#### How cross-validation works (Adams, 2018)



- Blue is the data that we use to estimate the model's parameters
- We randomly hold out *K* portions of this data one-at-a-time (Green boxes)
- We assess the performance of the model in the Green data
- This tells us the optimal complexity (by "hyperparameters" if CV is automated)

#### Types of regularization

- Regularization is algorithm-specific
  - o in tree models, complexity is the number of "leaves" on the tree
  - in linear models, complexity is the number of covariates
  - in neural networks, complexity is the number/mapping of hidden layers
  - o in Bayesian approaches, priors act as regularization
- Whatever our algorithm, we can tune the complexity parameters using CV

# Regularization of linear-in-parameters models

There are three main types of regularization for linear-in-parameters models:

- 1. L0 regularization (Subset selection)
- 2. L1 regularization (LASSO)
- 3. L2 regularization (Ridge)

#### L0 regularization

- Suppose you have L X's you may want to include in your model
- Subset selection automatically chooses which ones to include
- This is an automated version of what is traditionally done in econometrics
- Can use Adjusted  $R^2$  to penalize complexity
  - o or AIC, BIC, or a penalized SSR
- Algorithm either starts from 0 X's and moves forward
- Or it starts from the full set of X's and works backward
- But this won't work if L > N! (i.e. there are more X's than observations)

#### L1 and L2 regularization

• Consider two different penalized versions of the OLS model:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i} \left( y_{i} - x_{i}^{'} \beta \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{k} |\beta_{k}| \qquad \text{(LASSO)}$$

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i} \left( y_{i} - x_{i}^{'} \beta \right)^{2} + \lambda \sum_{k} \beta_{k}^{2}$$
 (Ridge)

- LASSO: Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator
  - $\circ$  sets some  $\beta$ 's to be 0, others to be attenuated in magnitude
- Ridge:
  - $\circ$  sets each  $\beta$  to be attenuated in magnitude

## L1 and L2 regularization (continued)

- We want to choose  $\lambda$  to optimize the bias-variance tradeoff
- We choose  $\lambda$  by k-fold Cross Validation
- We can also employ a weighted average of L1 and L2, known as **elastic net**

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i} \left( y_i - x_i' \beta \right)^2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{k} |\beta_k| + \lambda_2 \sum_{k} \beta_k^2$$

where we choose  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  by cross-validation

- L1 and L2 are excellent for problems where L > N (more X's than observations)
- We can apply L1 and L2 to other problems (logit, neural network, etc.)

#### How to estimate ML models

- R, Python and Julia all have excellent ML libraries
- Each language also has a "meta" ML library
  - mlr3 (R), scikit-learn (Python), MLJ.jl (Julia)
- In these libraries, the user specifies Y and X
- With only a slight change in code, can estimate a completely different ML model
  - o e.g. go from a logit to a tree model with minimal code changes
  - $\circ$  e.g. choose values of tuning parameters by k-fold CV
- I'll go through a quick example with MLJ.jl

## MLJ example

After installing the required packages:

```
using MLJ, Tables, DataFrames, MLJDecisionTreeInterface, MLJLinearModels
models()
```

will list all of the models that can interface with MLJ:

```
151-element Array{NamedTuple{(:name, :package_name, :is_supervised, :docstring, :hyperparameter_ranges, :hyperpa (name = ARDRegressor, package_name = ScikitLearn, ... ) (name = AdaBoostClassifier, package_name = ScikitLearn, ... ) (name = AdaBoostRegressor, package_name = ScikitLearn, ... ) :: (name = XGBoostClassifier, package_name = XGBoost, ... ) (name = XGBoostCount, package_name = XGBoost, ... ) (name = XGBoostRegressor, package_name = XGBoost, ... )
```

## MLJ example (continued)

```
# use house price data from US Census Bureau
 df = OpenML.load(574) ▷ DataFrame
X = df[:,[:P1,:P5p1,:P6p2,:P11p4,:P14p9,:P15p1,:P15p3,:P16p2,:P18p2,:P27p4,:H2p2,:H8p2,:H10p1,:H13p1,:H18pA,:F18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:H10p1,:H18p1,:H18p4,:F18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:H10p1,:H18p1,:H18p4,:F18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:H10p1,:H18p1,:H18p4,:F18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18p2,:P18
X = Tables.rowtable(X)
v = log.(df.price)
models(matching(X,y))
 # declare a tree and lasso model
 tree model = @load DecisionTreeRegressor pkg=DecisionTree
 lasso model = @load LassoRegressor pkg=MLJLinearModels
 # initialize "machines" where results can be reported
 tree = machine(tree model, X, y)
 lass = machine(lasso model, X, y)
 # split into training and testing data
 train, test = partition(eachindex(y), 0.7, shuffle=true)
 # train the models
MLJ.fit!(tree, rows=train)
```

#### References

Adams, R. P. (2018). *Model Selection and Cross Validation*. Lecture Notes. Princeton University. URL:

https://www.cs.princeton.edu/courses/archive/fall18/cos324/files/model-selection.pdf.

Hastie, T, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman (2009). The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, Prediction. 2nd. New York: Springer. URL:

https://web.stanford.edu/~hastie/Papers/ESLII.pdf.

James, G, D. Witten, T. Hastie, et al. (2013). An Introduction to Statistical Learning with Applications in R. New York: Springer. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-7138-7. URL: https://faculty.marshall.usc.edu/gareth-james/ISL/ISLR\_Seventh\_Printing.pdf.