

1. The model.

The state consists of 6 variables:

- A. X position
- B. Y position
- C. Vehicle orientation Ψ
- D. Vehicle velocity v
- E. Cross track error CTE
- F. Orientation error $e\Psi$

Actuator inputs consists of the following.

- A. Steering angle δ
- B. Acceleration a (which accounts for breaking as well)

Update equations.

- X position update is given by the following

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_t \cos(\psi_t) \cdot dt$$

- Y position update is given by the following

$$y_{t+1} = y_t + v_t \sin(\psi_t) \cdot dt$$

- Velocity update

$$v_{t+1} = v_t + a * dt$$

- Orientation update

$$\psi_{t+1} = \psi_t + \frac{v_t}{L_f} * \delta_t * dt$$

Where L_f measures the distance between the front of the vehicle and its center of gravity.

2. I used $N = 20$ and $dt = 0.05$. This was optimal with respect to the trajectory followed and simulation performance.

N determines the number of timesteps in the horizon and dt determines the time elapsed between actuations. The product of N and dt gives the time horizon T . Keeping a very high value of T is of no use, since the environment will change enough that it won't make sense to predict into the future.

I first tried with $N = 5$ and $dt = 0.05$. The car quickly went and hit the curb. Mostly the horizon was too short.

Then I tried with $N = 20$ $dt = 1$. The car was moving too far from the center throughout.

Finally with $N = 20$ and $dt = 0.05$ the car was moving roughly at the center of the lane.

3. Preprocessing prior to fitting polynomial.

- Convert velocity to m/s as the kinematic equations require it in this unit
- Convert vehicle position from simulator coordinates to vehicle coordinates.

4. Latency handling :

To handle latency, the state of the vehicle was predicted using the kinematic equations and current state for the given latency. This predicted state was then sent to the solver to obtain the trajectory, which would be more accurate.