Review Summarization:

- 1. Collected the dataset from the site given in the question.
- 2. Imported all the packages needed to preprocess reviews like nltk, numpy and pd etc.
- 3. Lowered texts.
- Tokenized texts.
- 5. Removed stop words.
- 6. Corrected the spacing for the output generated and then removed punctuation.
- 7. Finally, performed stemming and lemmatization.
- 8. I have applied the preprocessing to Text and Summary columns.
- 9. Then saved the result to a new csv file 'final_csv_after_preprocessing.csv'.
- 10. Then took the first 6667 rows of the dataset as the training was taking a lot of time.
- 11. Splitted the data into train and test set and saved both dataframes to csv files train.csv and test.csv respectively.

Custom dataset class:

- SummaryDataset()
 - I have initialized the block size.
 - I have initialized a data frame after conversion from csv file and then two other data frames for Text and Summary respectively.
 - Initialized a tokenizer.
 - Defined len function.
 - Defined <u>get</u> function.
 - In which I have first converted a specific row data into encodings.
 - Then returned the Input IDs and attention masks and the embedding of respective labels.
- Training of Model.
 - Fine tuned the model on the food review dataset.
 - In the train function:
 - I have loaded the model and the tokenizer and The DataCollator as there might be a chance that inputs are not padded to the same length, so to pad them we need a collator.
 - Then passed the training arguments like number of epoch, batch size and at which checkpoint should we save the model, etc.
 - Trained the model and saved the trained model in 'final_model_aditya' directory as this directory changes as we tune the model.
- Load and Generation of output
 - Then I loaded the saved model and the tokenizer and created a new function named "generate_text" and then generated the output from the saved model using generated ids from tokenizer.
 - I have formed a list 'summaries_and_generated' in which I am saving the test label given and their corresponding generated summary.
- Evaluation.

- Calculated the Rouge score of unigram, bigram and longest common subsequence(for entire testing set).

Hyper Parameter Tuning:

- Code flow is the same.
- Model and loading and generation of text is the same.
- 2nd model I have changed the block size to 512 and the number of epochs to 3.
- 3rd model I have again changed the block size to 128 and increased the number of epochs to 8.

Result Analysis:

Result for model 3 was the best. The reason I think is because of increasing the number of epochs the model ran for more number of times so the loss decreased, hence outputting the best result.

Result 3 > Result 2 > Result 1