IN4MATX 231:
User Interface Design & Evaluation

Class 18:
Modeling human performance

Daniel Epstein

Announcements

- Project evaluative component due Tuesday
- Tuesday: Human-Centered Design as a Research Method
  - What are you interested in learning more about here?
  - Reporting, Formative Work, Deployment, Ethics…
- Thursday: Design and Development tools?
  - A mix of conceptual (how tools are designed) and practical (what tools to use)
- Maybe swap the days, to allow for a nicer wrap-up

Today's goals

By the end of today, you should be able to…

- Describe the major components of Fitts's Law
- Explain how Fitts's Law impacts how interfaces should be designed
- Describe approaches for correcting systematic errors in touch performance

Which button would be faster to click on?

Fitts's Law (1954)

- Models time to acquire targets in aimed movement
  - Reaching for control in a cockpit
  - Moving across a dashboard
  - Pulling defective items from a conveyor belt
  - Clicking on icons using a mouse

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fitts%27s_law

Fitts's Law (1954)

- Very powerful, widely used
  - Holds for many circumstances (e.g., under water, in a car)
  - Allows for comparison among different experiments
- Pretty much the only "law" or "equation" we have in HCI or interface design

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fitts%27s_law

Fitts's Law (1954)

- A lot of Fitts's law decisions are made at a *platform* level
  - How your operating system is designed
  - The visual framework you're using (e.g., Google's Material design)
- But you can make some choices at an *interface* level

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fitts%27s_law

Point-select task

Fitts's Law

- $MT = a + b \log_2(A / W + 1)$
  - What kind of equation does this look like?

Fitts's Law

- $MT = a + b \log_2(A / W + 1)$
  - What kind of equation does this look like?
- $y = mx + b$
- $MT = a + bx$, where $x = \log_2(A / W + 1)$
  - x is called the Index of Difficulty (ID)
  - As "A" goes up, ID goes up
  - As "W" goes up, ID goes down

Movement Time (MT)

- $MT = a + b \log_2(A / W + 1)$
- Time, in seconds, to acquire the target (e.g., click on the button)

Index of Difficulty (ID)

- $\log_2(A / W + 1)$
  - Fitts's Law claims that the time to acquire a target increases linearly with the log of the ratio of the movement distance or amplitude (A) to target width (W)

Index of Difficulty (ID)

- log2(A / W + 1)
  - Fitts's Law claims that the time to acquire a target increases linearly with the log of the ratio of the movement distance or amplitude (A) to target width (W)
- Why is it significant that it is a ratio?
  - Units of A and W don't matter
  - Allows comparison across experiments

Index of Difficulty (ID)

- log2(A / W + 1)
  - Fitts's Law claims that the time to acquire a target increases linearly with the log of the ratio of the movement distance or amplitude (A) to target width (W)
- ID units typically in "bits"
  - Because of association with information capacity and somewhat arbitrary use of base-2 logarithm

Index of Performance (IP)

- MT = a + b log2(A / W + 1)
  - b is slope
- 1/b is called Index of Performance (IP)
  - If MT is in seconds, IP is in bits/second
- Also called “throughput” or “bandwidth”
- **a and b depend on the input device**

Fitts's Law Activity

- Go to http://www.yorku.ca/mack/FittsLawSoftware/ and download GoFitts.jar
- Complete a trial with the default settings
- Stop at the last screen and record your times: https://forms.gle/WQSFogsJzieYsnyR8

“Beating” Fitts’s law

- It is the law, right?
  - $MT = a + b \log_2(A/W + 1)$
- So how can we reduce movement time?
  - Reduce amplitude (A)
  - Increase width (W)

“Beating” Fitts’s law

- Put targets closer together
- Make targets bigger
- Make cursor bigger
- Make impenetrable edges

Bubble Cursor

Tovi Grossman, Ravin Balakrishnan. 2005. The Bubble Cursor: Enhancing Target Acquisition by Dynamic Resizing of the Cursor's Activation Area. CHI 2005.
https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1055012

Bubble Cursor on Desktops

Morgan Dixon, James Fogarty, Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2012. Pixel-Based Identification and Interpretation in a General-Purpose Target-Aware Pointing Environment. CHI 2012. https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2208734

Radial menus

- Better from a Fitts's law perspective
- But they trade off familiarity
- They show up some in games

Pop-up Linear Menu

Pop-up Pie Menu

Fitts's Law in windowing

- Windows 95: missed by a pixel
- Windows XP: good to the end
- Corners and edges make great targets
  - Do not have to move precisely to trigger them
  - They have "infinite" width

Fitts's Law in other domains

- How would Fitts's Law apply to using touch input on a phone?
  - Shorter distances (smaller screen)
- All things being equal, movement times *should* be lower
  - Shorter distances, faster to move your finger than a mouse

Fitts's Law in other domains

- But in practice, touchscreens on mobile tend not to be much faster
  - Buttons are smaller
  - People tend to be slower near the edges of touchscreens

Modeling input

Modeling mouse position

- Mouse pointer is relatively small
- We model it via X, Y position on the screen
- See whether that X, Y overlaps with a button, for example
  - Targets are usually large enough that “exact” position does not matter

Modeling touch position

Daniel Vogel and Patrick Baudisch. 2007. Shift: a technique for operating pen-based interfaces using touch. CHI 2007. https://doi.org/10.1145/1240624.1240727

Modeling touch position

- One interpretation of the problem:
  our fingers are fat
  - We should use tiny styluses to make our our selection more accurate
- Another interpretation:
  our model of touch position is inaccurate
  - We should make our model better

Modeling touch position

- How can we improve our model?
- Make the hardware view more closely match the user view

Modeling touch position

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling touch position

- Hypothesis: yaw, pitch, and roll all impact touch position
  - Additionally, for each person, finger size/shape and mental model impact touch position

Yaw: angle of touch device

Pitch: angle of finger

Roll: rotation of finger

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling touch position

- Ran a study
  - 12 participants touched 600 points each
  - Varied yaw, pitch, and roll

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling touch position

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling touch position

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling touch position

minimum button size

Improving the model means that buttons can be **3x** smaller and not be any harder to click

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling touch input

- Study was *very* controlled
  - Participant sat in a chair, the screen was on a desk
- How about the other ways that people use their phones?

Christian Holz and Patrick Baudisch. 2011. Understanding touch. CHI 2011. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979308

Modeling phone grip

- People grip their phones in different ways
- Grip changes with phone size, hand size
  - Situational changes (e.g., walking, holding something)
- Can we detect phone grip and update our model?

http://static.googleusercontent.com/media/www.google.com/en//intl/ALL_ALL/think/multiscreen/pdf/multi-screen-moblie-whitepaper_research-studies.pdf

Modeling phone grip

Left Thumb

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Modeling phone grip

Right Thumb

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Modeling phone grip

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Modeling phone grip

Two Thumbs

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Detecting phone grip with sensors

Mayank Goel, Alex Jansen, Travis Mandel, Shwetak N. Patel, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2013. ContextType: using hand posture information to improve mobile touch screen text entry. CHI 2013. https://doi.org/10.1145/2470654.2481386

Summary

- Modeling helps us measure and predict whether a tool or approach is beneficial for a task
- Fitts's law models time taken to click on a target
  - Demonstrates that larger, nearer buttons reduce time taken
- Improved models lead to higher accuracy
  - Adjust for finger angle and rotation rather than assuming that a user intends to touch with the center of their finger
  - Infer grip using phone sensors to improve typing accuracy

Summary

- Some of these models can improve accuracy without a person needing to update their mental model or involve special software
- Apple, Google, etc. could already be implementing these methods! We have no idea :-)

Today's goals

By the end of today, you should be able to…

- Describe the major components of Fitts's Law
- Explain how Fitts's Law impacts how interfaces should be designed
- Describe approaches for correcting systematic errors in touch performance

IN4MATX 231:
User Interface Design & Evaluation

Class 18:
Modeling human performance

Daniel Epstein