# STA623 - Bayesian Data Analysis - Practical 4

## Marc Henrion

2023-08-31

#### **Practical 4**

### **Notation**

- X, Y, Z random variables
- x, y, z measured / observed values
- $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{y}$ ,  $\bar{z}$  sample mean estimates of X, Y, Z
- $\hat{T}$ ,  $\hat{t}$  given a statistic T, estimator and estimate of T
- P(A) probability of an event A occurring
- $f_X(.), f_Y(.), f_Z(.)$  probability mass / density functions of X, Y, Z; sometimes  $p_X(.)$  etc. rather than  $f_X(.)$
- p(.) used as a shorthand notation for pmfs / pdfs if the use of this is unambiguous (i.e. it is clear which is the random variable)
- $X \sim F$  X distributed according to distribution function F
- E[X], E[Y], E[Z], E[T] the expectation of X, Y, Z, T respectively

## Exercise 1

Let's revisit Exercise 5 from Practical 1&2.

We had 2 groups of women and we compared the number of children born to each women in the 2 groups. For each group we assumed a Poisson sampling model:  $Y_{i,j} \sim \text{Pois}(\theta_i), i = 1, \dots, n_j, j = 1, 2$  and we found that the posterior distributions were:

- 1. Women without college degree:  $\theta_1 \sim \Gamma(219, 112)$
- 2. Women with college degree:  $\theta_2 \sim \Gamma(68,45)$

We had computed  $P(\theta_1>\theta_2|n_1,n_2,\sum_i y_{i,1},\sum_i y_{i,2})=0.97.$ 

Use the Monte Carlo method to compute

$$P(\tilde{Y}_1 > \tilde{Y}_2 | n_1, n_2, \sum_i y_{i,1}, \sum_i y_{i,2})$$

For the group of women without college degree, remember that we found that the posterior predictive distribution was a negative binomial:

$$\tilde{Y}_1|n_1, \sum_i y_{i,1} \sim \text{NegBin}(219, 112/113)$$

Compare this distribution with the empirical distribution of the raw data:

no. children per mother	number of mothers
0	20
1	19
2	38
3	20
4	10
5	2
6	2

Let  $\mathbf{y}=(y_{1,1},\ldots,y_{n_1,1})$ . Define  $t(\mathbf{y})$  as the ratio of 2's in  $\mathbf{y}$  to the number of 1's. In this dataset we observe  $t(\mathbf{y})=38/19=2$ . Use the posterior predictive distribution for  $\tilde{Y}_1|n_1,\sum_i y_{i,1}$  and the Monte Carlo method to compute  $P(t(\mathbf{Y})\geq 2)$ . What is your conclusion?