Chapter 3: Conceptualizing Interaction

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Conceptualizing Interaction
 - Working Through Assumptions and Claims (Box 3.1)
- 3.3 Conceptual Models
 - Design Concept (Box 3.2)
 - A Classic Conceptual Model: The Xerox Star (Box 3.3)
- 3.4 Interface Metaphors
 - Why Are Metaphors So Popular? (Box 3.4)
- 3.5 Interaction Types
 - o 3.5.1 Instructing
 - Interaction with Vending Machines (Activity 3.3)
 - o 3.5.2 Conversing
 - o 3.5.3 Manipulating
 - Direct Manipulation Framework
 - o 3.5.4 Exploring
 - o 3.5.5 Responding
- 3.6 Paradigms, Visions, Challenges, Theories, Models, and Frameworks
 - o 3.6.1 Paradigms
 - o 3.6.2 Visions
 - o 3.6.3 Challenges
 - o 3.6.4 Theories
 - o 3.6.5 Models
 - 3.6.6 Frameworks
 - Closing the Gap Between Conceptual and Design Models (Box 3.5)
- Dilemma: Who Is in Control?
- In-Depth Activity: Comparing Paperback Books vs E-books and Paper Maps vs Map Apps
- Summary and Key Points

3.1 Introduction

• Importance of Conceptualizing Ideas:

- Conceptualization helps define what a proposed product will do.
- This can serve as a proof of concept, related to the double diamond framework phases—"discover" and "define."

• Reality Check for Ideas:

- Initial conceptualization scrutinizes ideas for feasibility.
- It forces designers to clarify how users will understand, learn about, and interact with the product.

• Voice-Assisted Mobile Robot Example:

- Designers should identify the problem being solved.
- o Example scenario: A voice-assisted mobile robot for restaurant use.
- Initial claims focused on the robot's benefits but not on a real problem.
- o An actual problem might be difficulty in recruiting good waiters.

• Questions to Address for Design:

- o How intelligent must the robot be?
- How will the robot move and interact with customers?
- How will customers perceive the robot—fun or gimmicky?

• Comparative Robot Design Example:

- Another robot server designed after the pandemic helps waiters, focusing on delivering food.
- This robot is not human-like and acts as a tool to assist rather than replace waiters.

• Early Ideation Considerations:

- o Consider unknowns and show the sources of inspiration.
- Identify relevant theories or research to support initial ideas.

Articulating Ideas as Concepts:

- Asking questions, articulating assumptions, and expressing ideas as concepts help clarify the product's design.
- This early ideation helps in moving from vague ideas to concrete models with defined features and functionality.

3.2 Conceptualizing Interaction ***

Identify Assumptions and Claims

- Assumption: Taking something for granted, needing investigation (e.g., people want self-driving cars).
- o Claim: A statement assumed true but open to question (e.g., people will feel safe in self-driving cars).
- Assumptions focus on current situations, while claims are about potential future outcomes.

Clarify Your Design Ideas

Write down and evaluate assumptions/claims.

- o Identify vague ideas to refine and strengthen them.
- Helps improve design ideas or create new user experiences that don't yet exist.

• Team Scenario: Improving a Browser

- o The team assumes there's a usability problem since customers switched to a rival browser.
- Claims improvement will win customers back by making the interface simpler and more attractive.
- User research reveals usability problems, like difficulties with the bookmark function.
- Diverse perspectives help identify conflicting views and challenge vague assumptions.

Example Questions to Explore Problems ?

- Are there problems with the product/user experience?
- What evidence supports these problems?
- How can the design solve these problems?

- o Assumed people would want an enhanced viewing experience akin to the cinema.
- Reality: 3D glasses were cumbersome, and benefits of curved TVs weren't worth the cost.
- Highlight: Importance of understanding actual user needs vs. assumed desires.

Importance of Defining Assumptions Early

- o Clarify assumptions about problems/opportunities early and throughout the project.
- Leads to better articulation of conceptual models that support the intended user experience.

• Definition of Conceptual Models /=

- A conceptual model is a simplified description of how a system operates, providing a high-level structure of a design or interface. It helps clarify the problem space and articulate the design.
- Jeff Johnson and Austin Henderson (2002) defined a conceptual model as "a high-level description of how a system is organized and operates." This helps designers refine their ideas before implementing the design.

Core Components of Conceptual Models

- Metaphors and Analogies: Convey how to use a product (e.g., browsing and bookmarking).
- **Concepts and Operations**: Describe task-domain objects and what can be done with them (e.g., saving, revisiting).
- Relationships Between Concepts: Specify how different components relate to each other (e.g., a folder containing files).
- Mapping Concepts to User Experience: Defines how concepts influence user actions (e.g., revisiting
 a webpage using bookmarks or history lists).

Discussing different metaphors helps the design team decide the best ways to support user actions,

such as browsing or categorizing content.

• This process can involve deciding between metaphors like folders or bars for organizing information.

• Simple vs. Complex Models **0**X

- The **best conceptual models** are intuitive, appearing simple to users.
- However, upgraded applications can become too complex when new features are repeatedly added, frustrating users who preferred older methods (e.g., changes to Facebook's newsfeed).

• Representing Conceptual Models / 🗵

 Can be represented as textual descriptions or diagrams depending on the preferred language of the team. It serves as a blueprint for developing more detailed designs.

Examples of Conceptual Models

- Classic models like the **shopping cart** metaphor for online shopping help customers intuitively add items and proceed to checkout.
- Xerox Star Interface (1981): Developed by Xerox, introduced icons like paper, folders, and mailboxes, making complex actions understandable by relating them to physical objects. It became a foundation for today's desktop interfaces.

Classic Innovations That Changed Interaction †

- Desktop Metaphor (Xerox, late 1970s): Made office tasks accessible and visual.
- Digital Spreadsheet (Dan Bricklin & Bob Frankston, late 1970s): Enabled flexible calculations through interactive boxes.
- World Wide Web (Tim Berners-Lee, late 1980s): Made information access universal, expanding activities like browsing, learning, and communication.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Jeff Johnson & Austin Henderson (2002): Defined the conceptual model as a "high-level description of how a system is organized and operates."
- Hazlewood et al. (2010): Illustrated an ambient display design aimed at changing people's behavior—like taking the stairs instead of the elevator.
- Smith et al. (1982); Miller & Johnson (1996): Described the development of the Xerox Star interface, a foundational conceptual model for personal computing.

3.4 Interface Metaphors

- Role of Interface Metaphors
 - Metaphors play a central role in conceptual models, providing users with familiar elements to understand complex systems. E.g., the desktop metaphor makes navigating a computer like managing a physical desk.
- Search Engine Metaphor 🔍 🌣

The term "search engine" was coined in the 1990s, comparing software that indexes and retrieves
files to a mechanical engine. It gives users a sense of "finding things" but with algorithms and listings,
unlike physical engines.

• Shopping Cart Metaphor 🐙

 Many e-commerce sites use the **shopping cart/basket** metaphor, which reassures users, allowing them to "add to cart" without immediate commitment. This familiar interaction improves the online shopping experience.

Metaphors in UX Design ?

The card metaphor has become popular in social media (e.g., Twitter, Pinterest). It represents content
in an intuitive, organized way, similar to physical cards like playing cards or business cards. Users can
easily flick, sort, or theme these digital cards, making them great for UX.

• Everyday Usage of Metaphors 💬

 Many metaphors become part of everyday language, like "screen time" or "Googling." They help make technology-related concepts more relatable and easier to understand.

Challenges with Metaphors

 Metaphors can also clash with expectations. For example, the recycle bin icon on the desktop logically should be "under the desk," but due to visibility issues, it is kept on the desktop, which irked some users.

• Why Are Metaphors Popular? 🙄

- Lakoff and Johnson (1980) explained that metaphors help in understanding abstract or unfamiliar concepts by relating them to familiar, concrete terms.
- Metaphors in Education: Teachers often use metaphors to introduce new material by relating it to something students already understand. For instance, BBC used the analogy of roads and towns to explain the difference between the web and the Internet.

Using Metaphors in Different Ways

- Metaphors are used for:
 - Conceptualizing activities (e.g., live streaming).
 - Creating interface elements (e.g., the card metaphor for content).
 - Visualizing operations (e.g., shopping cart icon to purchase items online).

Criticism of Interface Metaphors

Alan Cooper (2020) suggested abandoning metaphors at interfaces. Instead, he argues that interfaces should be explained based on concepts, functions, and relationships to avoid confusion with complex systems.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Lakoff & Johnson (1980): Explained the importance of metaphors for understanding unfamiliar concepts

through familiar comparisons. Q;+

- Alan Cooper (2020): Criticized the use of metaphors at interfaces, emphasizing the need to focus on concepts and system relationships instead.
- BBC BiteSize: Explained the difference between the web and the Internet using an analogy of roads and towns.

Here's a summary of Section 3.5 with an emojified format and proper citations:

3.5 Interaction Types ____

Interaction types are the ways a user interacts with a product, forming the basis of the user experience. There are five main types of interactions:

1. Instructing [27]

 The user issues commands to the system, such as typing commands, selecting from menus, pressing buttons, or using voice commands. This type is efficient for tasks that need frequent repetition, like deleting, saving, or moving files.

2. Conversing 🗫

 The user talks or interacts in dialogue with the system. It could be typing a question, using voice commands, or interacting with chatbots (like Siri or Alexa). The system acts as a partner, often in a conversational user interface (CUI). Think of having a friendly conversation with a chatbot to solve your problem.

3. Manipulating 👚 📦

Users interact with digital objects in virtual or physical space. Examples include dragging, zooming, and placing items. It uses familiar, real-world interactions—like moving documents on a computer similar to shifting physical papers on a desk. The goal is to make the digital environment intuitive.

4. Exploring

Users move through virtual or physical environments—like navigating a 3D space, exploring
augmented reality (AR), or interacting in sensor-enabled smart rooms. This approach lets users explore
intuitively using their real-world experiences.

5. Responding - 1.

• The **system initiates interaction**, and the user responds. For example, when Netflix pauses and asks, "Are you still watching?" or location-based alerts pop up on mobile devices. This makes the system more proactive in guiding the user.

Notes on Design Use X ?

- These interaction types are **not mutually exclusive**—users can switch between different types based on the task.
- Designers need to select interaction types based on what provides the best experience—considering the trade-offs, pros, and cons.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Preece et al. (2002): Identified the first four interaction types—instructing, conversing, manipulating, and exploring.
- Christopher Lueg et al. (2019): Introduced the fifth type—responding—focusing on systems that initiate actions to which users respond.

3.5.1 Instructing

Instructing Interaction is about **telling a system what to do**. This could be giving a command or selecting an option—simple, quick, and efficient! Let's break it down:

1. How It Works 🌣

- Users tell the system what to do—e.g., tell the time, print a document, or remind them of an appointment.
- Commands can be issued through voice, button press, or menus. Examples are found in home entertainment systems, consumer electronics, and software.

2. Why It's Beneficial 💡

 Quick & efficient: Ideal for repetitive tasks like saving, deleting, and organizing files. It allows users to complete operations with minimal effort.

3. Vending Machine Example 5%

- Different Types of Interactions:
 - Simple button press: Select a drink by pressing a large button.
 - Complex codes and keys: Some machines require inputting a code, which can cause user errors.
 - **Digital touch screens**: Display choices clearly, minimizing errors, and use visual metaphors (e.g., candy store) to entice users. ��=

Quotes & Attributions:

 Preece et al. (2002): Highlighted instructing as a primary interaction type for fast and effective usersystem commands.

3.5.2 Conversing page

Conversing Interaction is about having a **two-way communication with a system** that feels like talking to another person. The system acts as a dialogue partner, making it interactive and human-like! Here's a breakdown:

1. Conversational Interaction Explained 🗣

- Users engage in a two-way conversation with the system, unlike giving one-way commands. The system acts like a conversational partner, rather than simply following instructions.
- Common examples include chatbots, advisory systems, voice assistants (e.g., Siri), and customer support systems.

2. Simple vs. Complex Conversations @ ?

- Simple Conversations: Voice-recognition systems for banking or ticket booking. Users provide keywords or numbers like "yes" or "no."
- Complex Conversations: Natural language processing for more detailed queries, like "How do I change the margin widths?"

3. Benefits *

 Allows for natural and familiar interactions: People interact in ways similar to how they would with another person. Examples include asking Siri to schedule a meeting or check the weather.

4. Challenges "

Cumbersome interactions: Sometimes, menu-driven conversations become repetitive and annoying, especially with automated phone systems where users have to navigate through many levels of options before getting what they need.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Preece et al. (2002): Defined conversing as an interaction type where systems act as conversational partners, supporting natural and dialogue-based user experiences.

3.5.3 Manipulating *

Manipulating Interaction focuses on **interacting with digital objects** as if they were physical items, making use of familiar real-world actions. Here's the summary:

1. Interacting with Digital Objects **

- Users manipulate objects like dragging, selecting, zooming, or shrinking, which is similar to handling real-world items but with added digital capabilities (e.g., zoom in/out).
- Actions can be done via remotes, touch, or air gestures—think of VR controllers or gesture control in cars.

2. Tangible Interactions Mag

Physical toys or robots can respond when touched, squeezed, or moved. Similarly, tagged physical objects can cause physical and digital reactions, like playing sounds or animations when moved.

3. Direct Manipulation Framework

- Proposed by Shneiderman (1983), the Direct Manipulation concept lets users interact with digital objects similarly to physical ones, creating a sense of direct control.
- Core principles:
 - Continuous representation of objects of interest 📮
 - Rapid, reversible actions with immediate feedback
 - Physical actions instead of complex commands <a>

4. Benefits of Direct Manipulation 🌞

Easy learning for beginners and speedy use for experienced users 1

- Users can easily remember operations and have immediate visibility of their actions.
- Helps to reduce anxiety and gives users a sense of control and confidence 69

5. Examples in Use M 🚄

 Found in video games, word processors, graphical tools, and scrolling on touch screens. It allows for an intuitive and efficient user experience.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Shneiderman (1983): Introduced the concept of direct manipulation to allow users to interact with digital objects just as they would with physical objects, emphasizing user control and ease of interaction. **II

3.5.4 Exploring 🖗 🚣

Exploring Interaction involves navigating through **virtual or physical environments**. Here's a summarized list to capture the key points:

1. Movement through Environments 1

• Users can explore **3D virtual environments** (e.g., buildings) or **physical environments** equipped with sensors that **respond to movements**, such as triggering digital events.

2. Virtual Worlds Man

- Many 3D digital worlds like the Metaverse, virtual conferences, and games like Fortnite are designed for exploring, socializing, and playing.
- Users can zoom in, fly over, and explore digital versions of cities, parks, or buildings—some realistic, others abstract.

3. Augmented Environments 414

 Augmented technologies can be used in physical spaces, like your living room, where holograms of people or animals can appear, making it feel magical.

4. Larger-than-Life Virtual Worlds

 There are virtual worlds that allow users to experience impossible or invisible aspects—offering new perspectives that are beyond real-world limitations.

5. Architectural Visualizations 222

• Architects create realistic VR models of buildings to give clients a feel of what it would be like to use and move through these spaces before they are built.

6. Scientific Exploration sim

 Researchers can use 3D data visualizations to explore complex datasets interactively, often by using hand gestures to manipulate data points in immersive VR environments.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Preece et al. (2002): Highlighted the significance of exploration as an interaction type, allowing users to navigate through both virtual and augmented environments.

3.5.5 Responding Ass

Responding Interaction refers to when a system takes the initiative to provide users with alerts or information based on its assessment of user context or activity. Here's a summarized list:

1. Proactive Alerts 1

 Systems like smartphones or wearables can detect a user's location or context and send notifications, e.g., telling users when a nearby coffee shop where their friends are meeting is close by.

2. Fitness Tracker Notifications 1.5%

· Fitness trackers are proactive by notifying wearers when they reach milestones, such as walking 10,000 steps in a day—without users asking for it. ** \cdot \cdot

3. Contextual Assistance with Machine Learning

 Systems like Google Lens provide instant information based on detected images, like identifying the breed of a dog when taking a photo. This response happens automatically to assist users. [] in the breed of a dog when taking a photo.

4. Annoyance vs. Usefulness 2012

 Not everyone finds these unsolicited responses helpful. There's a challenge to ensure that the system only provides useful and accurate information without overwhelming or annoying users—like when it misidentifies something. MSO

Quotes & Attributions:

• Preece et al. (2002): Defined responding as an interaction type where the system initiates interactions based on what it deems relevant to the user's context.

3.6 Paradigms, Visions, Challenges, Theories, Models, and Frameworks 😤 🔍



Section 3.6 covers different conceptual tools used to inspire and inform the design and research process in interaction design. Here's a summarized list:

1. Paradigms @

· General approaches adopted by researchers and designers with shared assumptions, values, and **practices**. They shape how research is conducted within the community.

2. Challenges 📆 👃

 Researchers are given global issues to tackle, like sustainability or poverty reduction. These

3. Visions

 Future scenarios that guide research and development, often represented in films or narratives to frame new possibilities in interaction design.

4. Theories = 3

Well-substantiated explanations of phenomena used to analyze interaction design. For instance,
 Self-Determination Theory has been used to understand motivation in games and play.

5. Models iii*

 Simplified representations of human-computer interaction aspects, making it easier for designers to predict and evaluate alternative designs.

6. Frameworks ****

 Sets of interrelated concepts or specific questions that inform a particular domain or method, like collaborative learning or ethnographic studies.

Quotes & Attributions:

 Tyack and Mekler (2020): Applied Self-Determination Theory to analyze human motivation in HCI, especially in games and play.

3.6.1 Paradigms @Q

Section 3.6.1 covers different **paradigms**—essentially guiding principles and practices agreed upon by a community to frame and approach research in interaction design. Here's a summarized list:

1. What Paradigms Do

Establish how to frame questions, what phenomena to observe, and how findings should be analyzed.
 (Kuhn, 1972)

2. Early GUIs & User-Centered Design (1980s) = ?

 Desktop-centered applications with a focus on a single user and a screen interface. The core of early HCI.

3. Shift to Ubiquitous Computing (1990s)

 Movement beyond the desktop to design mobile and pervasive technologies like smartphones and tablets. Expanded what people could do in everyday life.

4. Big Data & IoT (2000s) 🗞 🔗

Introduction of sensors and smart buildings to collect real-time data on health, environment, etc.
 Used for decision-making to optimize and automate everyday tasks.

5. Al & Machine Learning (Today) (2014)

Al and ML at the interface level to assist decision-making. Examples include Spotify recommendations or personalized shopping assistants. All is being used to cater to user preferences and streamline choices.

6. Human-Centered Al Movement (2020s)

Growing need for transparency and control in Al—focus on augmenting humans rather than
replacing them. Encourages collaboration between HCl and Al to make Al tools more people-focused.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Kuhn (1972): Highlighted the role of paradigms in determining how research questions are framed and findings analyzed.
- Shneiderman (2022): Advocates for human-centered AI to empower rather than replace people, fostering collaboration between HCI and AI researchers.



Section 3.6.2 explores the impact of **visions**—imagined future scenarios—that inspire research, guide technological development, and influence interaction design. Here's a summarized list:

- 1. Mark Weiser's Vision (1991) 🔧
 - Ubiquitous computing: Envisioned computing integrated seamlessly into everyday objects, providing serenity and comfort while moving in and out of the user's attention as needed. This inspired a lot of R&D, even though the reality hasn't fully matched the vision. (Weiser, 1991; Abowd, 2012)
- 2. Critique of Ubiquitous Computing X
 - Johannes Schöning (2019) criticized ubiquitous computing for resulting in too many "dumb smart" technologies that don't address real problems but often just look cool without being functional.
- 3. Apple's Knowledge Navigator (1987)
 - Imagined a professor using a touchscreen tablet with a speech-based assistant—25 years ahead of
 its time and a direct inspiration for technologies like Siri.
- 4. Modern Visions of Al
 - More recent visionary videos focus on Al in healthcare, transport, and smart cities—used to inspire
 R&D and as a marketing strategy for future products.
- 5. Science Fiction as Inspiration 4
 - Dan Russell & Svetlana Yarosh (2018) discuss the pros and cons of sci-fi in HCI, pointing out it provides debate fodder but is often biased and not an accurate predictor of future tech. Star Trek's holodeck is an example of how sci-fi reflects the era in which it's created rather than predicting the future.
- 6. Role of Visions
 - Visions help shape how society might use next-gen technology for comfort, efficiency, and safety, while also raising concerns about privacy and trust—stimulating dialogue among researchers, policy-makers, and developers.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Mark Weiser (1991): Proposed ubiquitous computing where technology would blend seamlessly into everyday life.
- Johannes Schöning (2019): Critiqued ubiquitous computing, calling out impractical smart solutions. X
- Dan Russell & Svetlana Yarosh (2018): Discussed sci-fi as a source of inspiration, noting its biases and limits in predicting future tech.

3.6.3 Challenges 🔧

Section 3.6.3 explores the **grand challenges** facing both society and technology, and how HCI (Human-Computer Interaction) can contribute to solving them. Here's a summarized list:

- 1. Grand Challenges for Society 100
 - Issues like zero hunger, quality education, gender equality, and sustainable cities are systemic
 and require large-scale solutions—no "silver bullet" exists. (Mazzucato & Dibb, 2019) \(\sqrt{\text{\$\infty}} \)
- 2. HCI's Role in Societal Challenges
- 3. Tech and Climate Change 1 and Climate Change
 - **HCI researchers** also focus on **climate change**, particularly looking at design values that influence consumption patterns, disposal, and recycling. For example, many gadgets like smartphones and laptops are designed with a short lifespan, pressuring users to always upgrade.
- 4. Pushing for Alternative Design Values
 - By promoting alternative design values and production cycles, tech companies could extend product lifespan and use reusable materials. This requires a shift in business models to embrace sustainability.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Mazzucato & Dibb (2019): Emphasized the concept of "grand challenges" that require systemic, society-wide solutions—beyond a simple fix.
- Lechelt et al. (2020): Advocated for changing the design values in tech to promote sustainability and longer gadget lifespans.
- 3.6.4 Theories = ***

Section 3.6.4 dives into how **various theories** have shaped the field of **Human-Computer Interaction (HCI)** over the last four decades, influencing user interface and experience design. Here's a summarized list:

- - Cognitive, Social, Affective, and Organizational theories have been used to enhance user interfaces. For example, early cognitive theories addressed human memory limitations to create intuitive interfaces. (Rogers, 2012)

2. Benefits of Using Theories in Interaction Design *

Applying these theories helps identify important factors—whether cognitive, social, or emotional—that are relevant to designing and evaluating interactive products.

3. Roles of Theories in HCI XQ

- Theories serve multiple roles, including:
 - Descriptive: Providing concepts and models iii
 - Explanatory: Explaining relationships and processes
 - Predictive: Testing hypotheses about user performance
 - Prescriptive: Offering guidance for designing interfaces
 - Generative: Inspiring the creation of new ideas and designs 🤻 🏲
 - Informative: Helping to select the best knowledge to understand users
 - Conceptual: Developing high-level frameworks 🗐 🏗
 - Critical: Providing ways to critique interaction design Q.

4. Upcoming Content in HCI

The next three chapters will cover influential theories in HCI, focusing on the cognitive, social, and
affective aspects of interaction—deepening our understanding of user behavior.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Rogers (2012): Highlighted the importance of cognitive theories in the evolution of user interface design, providing insights into effective memory-based user interactions.

3.6.5 Models *** ***

Section 3.6.5 explores the use of **models** in **interaction design**, providing simplified depictions of **human behavior** and **human-computer interaction**. Here's the summarized list:

1. Models in Interaction Design i

 Models help describe human behavior and interaction in a simplified way. They're often derived from theories like psychology to help structure and relate the core features of interactions.

2. Norman's Seven Stages of Action Model 📋 🔀

Don Norman (1988) developed influential models like the seven stages of action to explain how
people move from planning to executing actions, and then to evaluating whether their goals were met.

3. User Models for Personalization @ **

 Modern user models also focus on other aspects of behavior, such as emotions, personality, and learning styles. These models are used to personalize digital experiences, for example, suggesting movies based on users' unique interaction preferences.

4. Abstract from Theories

 Models are often abstracted from broader theories, offering a more practical application for designers. This helps in building systems that better respond to user needs and expectations.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Don Norman (1988): Created models based on cognitive theories, like the seven stages of action, to better understand how users interact with technology.

3.6.6 Frameworks * |

Section 3.6.6 explores the role of **frameworks** in interaction design, helping designers shape and guide user experiences. Here's the summarized list:

1. Purpose of Frameworks 🛇 🖁

Frameworks provide designers with guidance on what to design or focus on. They can take the form
of steps, questions, principles, or tactics—helping structure the design process.

2. Difference from Models 41.

 Unlike models, which describe aspects of human behavior, frameworks offer practical advice for designing user interactions and experiences.

3. Based on Theories and Practices

 Frameworks often emerge from both theoretical insights (such as cognitive theories) and real design experiences—bridging research with hands-on user studies.

4. Scope and Application @Q

Frameworks in HCl cover diverse topics such as learning, working, socializing, and emotion. They
are also used to design technologies intended to evoke specific responses, like enjoyment or empathy.



5. Don Norman's Framework ***

- Don Norman (1988) developed a framework that describes the relationship between designers,
 systems, and users. It includes:
 - **Designer's Model**: How the designer thinks the system should work.
 - System Image: How the system is represented (via interface, help, manuals).
 - User's Model: How the user understands the system. 📢
- This framework highlights the importance of **closing the gap** between what the designer envisions and what the user understands—aiming for a clearer **system image**.

Quotes & Attributions:

• Don Norman (1988): Provided a framework to bridge the gap between designer intentions and user understanding, emphasizing the need for alignment through effective system representation.

3.6 - A Summary: Paradigms, Visions, Challenges, Theories, Models, and Frameworks

1. Paradigms (1)

 Overarching approaches that shape how research is conducted, including accepted practices, framing questions, and identifying phenomena to observe.

2. Visions 2

Future scenarios that provide inspiration for research and technology development, often depicting
how technology might shape society.

3. Challenges 3

 Address pressing societal problems that need solutions, like sustainability or equality, and guide design to make an impact.

4. Theories =

 Offer explanations of human-computer interactions, providing a foundation to understand user behavior and support design decisions.

5. Models A

Simplified representations of interactions or behavior, used to predict and evaluate system design—helping to make complex aspects more understandable.

6. Frameworks Xi

 Provide practical guidance for designers through steps, questions, or principles. They help shape user experiences and analyze findings effectively.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Rogers (2012): Discussed various theories in HCI that serve descriptive, explanatory, and predictive roles, helping to frame and understand user interactions.
- Mazzucato and Dibb (2019): Defined "grand challenges" as important, system-wide issues with no simple solution—highlighting the role of HCI in tackling global challenges like sustainability.

Chapter 3 Summary: Conceptualizing Interaction 47 + 9

Chapter 3 focuses on being explicit about **assumptions** and **claims** behind design decisions, providing a foundation for creating effective conceptual models and understanding various interaction strategies.

Key Takeaways:

1. Conceptual Models 22 3

 A conceptual model is a high-level description of what users can do with a product and the concepts they need to understand to interact with it.

2. Defining the Problem Space (SQ.

Conceptualizing the problem space helps designers clarify what, why, and how the product will

support users effectively.

3. Design First, Interface Later /

Decisions about the conceptual design should precede choices about the physical design (e.g., menus, icons). This ensures the solution aligns with user needs.
 \(\frac{\text{X}}{\text{*}} \)

4. Interface Metaphors

 Commonly used to help users understand how to interact with the system (e.g., the desktop metaphor for a computer). They make interfaces intuitive and familiar.

5. Interaction Types 🛼 🚣

Different interaction types (e.g., instructing, conversing) guide how to best support user activities—tailoring the experience to fit the interaction goals.

6. Conceptual Tools for Design ***

Paradigms, Visions, Theories, Challenges, Models, and Frameworks help frame the design and
research process from different perspectives, providing structure and inspiration for creating effective
user experiences.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Preece et al. (2002): Highlighted the importance of interaction types in framing how to support users through design choices.
- Rogers (2012): Emphasized the role of theories in understanding and shaping user interaction, helping
 inform better design decisions.

Chapter 3 Glossary: Conceptualizing Interaction

1. Conceptual Model 🏗 🕆

A high-level description of a product outlining what users can do with it and the concepts they need to interact effectively.

2. Paradigm 💽

A general approach shared by researchers and designers to address questions, phenomena, and practices (e.g., user-centered design, ubiquitous computing).

3. Vision >

A future scenario that frames research and development in interaction design, often depicted through narratives or videos (e.g., Mark Weiser's vision of ubiquitous computing).

4. Challenge +®

A societal problem requiring solutions, often complex with no "silver bullet," such as sustainability or digital inclusion.

5. Theory =

A well-substantiated explanation, like self-determination theory, used to explain human-computer interactions in the context of design.

6. Model 20

A simplified representation of some aspect of human-computer interaction, often based on theories (e.g., Norman's seven stages of action).

7. Framework XII

A structured approach to guide designers in scoping and creating a user experience, often including steps, questions, principles, or concepts.

8. Interface Metaphor

A visual or conceptual analogy used to help users understand unfamiliar digital environments by linking them to familiar physical experiences (e.g., desktop metaphor).

9. Interaction Types 🗫 🦚

Different ways users can interact with a product, including instructing, conversing, manipulating, exploring, and responding.

10. Instructing Pe

Interaction involving users giving commands, often using menus, buttons, or voice commands.

11. Conversing ea

Interaction where the user communicates in a dialogue format with the system, like chatbots or virtual assistants.

12. Manipulating 🖖 👚

Direct interaction with virtual or physical objects by moving, selecting, zooming, etc., mirroring physical interactions.

13. Exploring 👀 🔍

Navigating through digital or physical environments, such as virtual 3D spaces or augmented reality.

14. Responding 19

System-initiated interactions where the user can choose to respond, often based on proactive notifications or context-aware actions.

15. Proof of Concept

An initial representation of an idea to evaluate feasibility, serving as a "reality check" for the proposed product.

16. Double Diamond Framework VX

A design process model with stages like discover, define, develop, and deliver, used to refine problem definitions and solutions.

Quotes & Attributions:

- Mark Weiser (1991): Envisioned a world where computers blend into the environment, creating a seamless
 experience.
- Don Norman (1988): Developed influential user interaction models to help explain human behavior with technology.