

**STA 250/MTH 342 Intro to Mathematical Statistics**  
**Homework 9 Solutions**

**Solution 1:**

(a). The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = e^{-n\theta} \frac{\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (X_i!)}.$$

The likelihood ratio is

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} = e^{-n(\theta_1 - \theta_0)} \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_0} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}.$$

(b). Since  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ , for any  $K \geq 0$ , there exists some constant  $C$  such that

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} > K \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i > C.$$

Therefore the rejection region has the form  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(C) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > C\}$ . When  $H_0$  holds,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\theta_0),$$

So for determining a rejection region for a test at level  $\alpha$ , one need to find the minimum  $C$  that satisfies

$$\alpha \geq \Pr[\mathcal{R}(C)|H_0] = \sum_{j>C} e^{-n\theta_0} \frac{(n\theta_0)^j}{j!}.$$

(c). Yes, since for any  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ , the above derivation holds true and the test does not depend on  $\theta_1$ .

**Solution 2:**

(a). The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \left(\frac{m}{\theta}\right)^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{m-1}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m\right).$$

The likelihood ratio, for any  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ , is

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} = \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1}\right)^n \exp\left[\left(\frac{1}{\theta_0} - \frac{1}{\theta_1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m\right].$$

The condition  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$  implies that for any  $K \geq 0$ , there exists some  $C$  such that

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} > K \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m > C.$$

Therefore the rejection region has the form  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(C) = \{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m > C\}$ . We see that for any  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$  and any level  $\alpha$ , when  $H_0$  happens,  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  does not depend on  $\theta_1$ . So the test based on  $\mathcal{R}(C)$  is UMP.

(b) As  $X_i^m \sim \text{Exponential}(\frac{1}{\theta})$ .

In fact, for  $x > 0$ ,

$$f_{X_i^m}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \Pr(X_i^m \leq x) = \frac{d}{dx} \Pr(X_i \leq x^{1/m}) = \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^{x^{1/m}} \frac{1}{\theta} m t^{m-1} e^{-t^m/\theta} dt = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}.$$

(Note that  $\frac{d}{dx} \int_a^{g(x)} f(t) dt = f(g(x))g'(x)$ .)

We have already known that

$$X_i^m \sim \text{Exponential}\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \Rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m\right) \sim \text{Gamma}\left(n, \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \Rightarrow \frac{2}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m \sim \chi_{2n}^2.$$

Therefore, for a fixed level  $\alpha$ , we consider the inequality  $\alpha \geq \Pr(\mathcal{R}(C)|H_0)$  to find the rejection region.

We have

$$\alpha \geq \Pr(\mathcal{R}(C)|H_0) = \Pr\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m > C\right) = \Pr\left(\frac{2}{\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m > \frac{2}{\theta_0} C\right) = 1 - F(\chi_{2n}^2 = 2C/\theta_0),$$

of which the equality is achieved when  $C = \theta_0[F_{\chi_{2n}^2}^{-1}(1 - \alpha)]/2$  (F here is the CDF function for chi square distribution with 2n degree of freedom). So the rejection region is  $\{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m > \theta_0[F_{\chi_{2n}^2}^{-1}(1 - \alpha)]/2\}$ . The rejection region is  $\{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^m > 50F_{\chi_{40}^2}^{-1}(0.95) = 2788\}$ . The power function is

$$\pi(\theta_1) = 1 - \beta = \Pr(\mathcal{R}(\theta_0[F_{\chi_{2n}^2}^{-1}(1 - \alpha)]/2)|\theta_1) = 1 - F_{\chi_{2n}^2}\left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1} F_{\chi_{2n}^2}^{-1}(1 - \alpha)\right) = 1 - F_{\chi_{40}^2}\left(\frac{5576}{\theta_1}\right).$$

\*Hint\*: calculate CDF in R:

```
> qchisq(0.95,40)*50
[1] 2787.924
```

(c). For any positive integer  $n$  and a rejection region of level 0.05, the power at  $\theta_1 = 105$  is

$$\beta(105) = F_{\chi_{2n}^2}\left(\frac{100}{105} F_{\chi_{2n}^2}^{-1}(0.95)\right).$$

For  $\beta(105) \leq 0.05$ , one uses **R** to find that the minimum  $n$  is 4547.

```
sim <- 5000
n = sim
store <- rep(0,sim)
for (i in 1:n){
  a <- qchisq(0.95,2*i)*100/105
```

```

store[i] = pchisq(a,2*i)
}
min(which(store <= 0.05 ))
[1] 4547

```

### Solution 3:

The likelihood function is

$$L(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{n_i}{X_i} p_i^{X_i} (1 - p_i)^{n_i - X_i}.$$

We let  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  be the collections of all the possible values of  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  associated with  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  respectively. Let  $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$ .

$$\Theta_0 = \{(p_1, \dots, p_m) | 0 \leq p_1 = \dots = p_m \leq 1\},$$

$$\Theta = \{(p_1, \dots, p_m) | 0 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m \leq 1\}.$$

First, let's consider the  $H_1$ :

For each  $i$ , let  $L_i(p_i) = \binom{n_i}{X_i} p_i^{X_i} (1 - p_i)^{n_i - X_i}$  to give

$$\frac{d}{dp_i} \log L_i(p_i) = \frac{X_i}{p_i} - \frac{n_i - X_i}{1 - p_i}.$$

Let  $\frac{d}{dp_i} \log L_i(p_i) = 0$  to give  $p_i = X_i/n_i$ . Since the derivative is strictly decreasing (we assume  $n_i \geq 1$ ), we obtain the global maximizer  $\hat{p}_i = X_i/n_i$  of  $L_i$ .  $\hat{p}_i$  is the MLE for  $p$  under the alternative hypothesis.

Second, let's consider the  $H_0$ :

Since  $L = \prod L_i$ , the likelihood function is:

$$\max_{(p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \Theta} = \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{n_i}{X_i} \hat{p}_i^{X_i} (1 - \hat{p}_i)^{n_i - X_i}.$$

Now consider

$$\frac{d}{dp} L(p, p, \dots, p) = \frac{d}{dp} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \log p + \sum_{i=1}^m (n_i - X_i) \log(1 - p) \right] = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^m X_i - \frac{1}{1 - p} \sum_{i=1}^m (n_i - X_i).$$

Obviously this derivative is a strictly decreasing function of  $p$ . We let the derivative be zero to find the global maximizer

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m n_i}.$$

$\hat{p}$  is the MLE of  $p$  under  $H_0$ . Given the likelihood function:

$$\max_{(p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \Theta_0} = \prod_{i=1}^m \binom{n_i}{X_i} \hat{p}^{X_i} (1 - \hat{p})^{n_i - X_i}.$$

We need plug the two MLE for  $p$  into the likelihood function so that we get calculate the likelihood ratio. So the test should have a rejection region  $\mathcal{R}(K) = \{\Lambda > K\}$ , where

$$\Lambda = \frac{\max_{\Theta} L}{\max_{\Theta_0} L} = \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{X_i \sum n_j}{n_i \sum X_j} \right)^{X_i} \left( \frac{(n_i - X_i) \sum n_j}{n_i \sum (n_j - X_j)} \right)^{n_i - X_i}.$$

**Solution 4:**

The likelihood function is

$$L(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \sigma_W) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{n_1+n_2+n_3} \frac{1}{\sigma_X^{n_1} \sigma_Y^{n_2} \sigma_W^{n_3}} \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{(X_i - \mu_X)^2}{2\sigma_X^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{(Y_i - \mu_Y)^2}{2\sigma_Y^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{(W_i - \mu_W)^2}{2\sigma_W^2} \right).$$

We let  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  be the collections of all the possible values of  $(p_1, \dots, p_m)$  associated with  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  respectively. Let  $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$ .

$$\Theta_0 = \{\theta = (\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \sigma_W) | 0 < \sigma_X = \sigma_Y = \sigma_W < \infty\},$$

$$\Theta = \{\theta = (\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \sigma_W) | 0 < \sigma_X, \sigma_Y, \sigma_W < \infty\}.$$

Let

$$L_X(\mu_X, \sigma_X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_X^{n_1}} \exp \left( - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{(X_i - \mu_X)^2}{2\sigma_X^2} \right).$$

Similar as the derivation of MLE of the normal distribution, one obtains the global maximizer of  $L_X$ ,

$$\hat{\mu}_X = \bar{X}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_X^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \bar{X})^2. \quad (1)$$

We similarly define  $L_Y$  and  $L_W$ , so  $L = L_X L_Y L_W$ , therefore the global maximizer of  $L$  over  $\Theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta}_{\Theta} = \left( \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{W}, \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \bar{X}), \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Y_i - \bar{Y}), \frac{1}{n_3} \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} (W_i - \bar{W}) \right).$$

Now consider

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta) = \max_{0 < \sigma < \infty, \mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W} L(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma, \sigma, \sigma).$$

Now that

$$\log L(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma, \sigma, \sigma) = -\log(\sigma^{n_1+n_2+n_3}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{(X_i - \mu_X)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{(Y_i - \mu_Y)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} \frac{(W_i - \mu_W)^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_X} \log L(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma, \sigma, \sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{X_i - \mu_X}{\sigma^2}.$$

The derivative is a strictly decreasing function of  $\mu_X$ , and reaches zero when  $\mu_X = \bar{X}$ . So  $\hat{\mu}_X = \bar{X}$ . Similarly  $\hat{\mu}_Y = \bar{Y}$  and  $\hat{\mu}_W = \bar{W}$ . We consider  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log L$  to give

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log L(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \mu_W, \sigma, \sigma, \sigma) = \frac{-n_1 - n_2 - n_3}{\sigma} + \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \mu_X)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Y_i - \mu_Y)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} (W_i - \mu_W)^2 \right).$$

We plug  $\hat{\mu}_X$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_Y$ , and  $\hat{\mu}_W$  in, and let the derivative be zero to give the maximizer

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} (W_i - \bar{W})^2}{n_1 + n_2 + n_3}. \quad (2)$$

Since the derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log L$  is positive when  $\sigma < \hat{\sigma}$  and negative when  $\sigma > \hat{\sigma}$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the global maximizer. Therefore

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta) = L(\hat{\mu}_X, \hat{\mu}_Y, \hat{\mu}_W, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\sigma}).$$

So we define the rejection region of the test to be  $\mathcal{R}(K) = \{\Lambda > K\}$ , where

$$\Lambda = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} L}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L} = \frac{L(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{W}, \hat{\sigma}_X, \hat{\sigma}_Y, \hat{\sigma}_W)}{L(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{W}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\sigma})}.$$

Here  $\hat{\sigma}$  is defined in (2),  $\hat{\sigma}_X$  is defined in (1), and  $\hat{\sigma}_Y$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_W$  are defined similarly as  $\hat{\sigma}_X$ .

**Solution 5:** Exercise 9.3.17 (page 567)

For every  $\alpha_0$  ( $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$ ), if there exists a UMP test for the hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta > 0$ , then it must be a MP test for  $H_0 : \theta = 0$  vs.  $H_1 : \theta = \theta^* > 0$  at level of significance  $\alpha_0$ .

The likelihood function for Cauchy distribution is

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\pi[1 + (X - \theta)^2]}.$$

The likelihood ratio is

$$\frac{L(\theta^*)}{L(0)} = \frac{1 + X^2}{1 + (X - \theta^*)^2}.$$

Since  $\frac{L(\theta^*)}{L(0)} > K \Leftrightarrow 1 + X^2 > K + K(X - \theta^*)^2 \Leftrightarrow (K - 1)X^2 - 2\theta^*KX + K(\theta^*)^2 + K - 1 < 0$ , we can then solve for  $K$  based on the level of significant  $\alpha_0$ . Note that  $K$  is a function of  $\theta^*$ , so there exists two different values  $\theta_1 > 0$  and  $\theta_2 > 0$  such that  $K(\theta_1) \neq K(\theta_2)$ , indicating that the two critical regions maximizing the power function for  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1 > 0$  and  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_2 > 0$  are not the same. In other words, there is no single test procedure  $\delta$  that maximizes the power function  $\pi(\theta|\delta)$  simultaneously

for every value of  $\theta > 0$ . Thus, for every  $\alpha_0 (0 < \alpha_0 < 1)$ , there does not exist a UMP test of these hypotheses at level of significance  $\alpha_0$ .

**Solution 6:** Exercise 9.5.4 (page 585)

The testing statistic is

$$T = \frac{\sqrt{8}(\bar{X} - 0)}{s} \sim t_7.$$

The rejection region is

$$\mathcal{R} = \{|T| > F_{t_7}^{-1}(0.95) = 1.895\}.$$

The observed values are

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X} &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^8 X_i = \frac{-11.2}{8} = -1.4, \\ s &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^8 (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{7} \sum_{i=1}^8 X_i^2 - \frac{8}{7}(\bar{X})^2} = \sqrt{\frac{43.7 - 8 \times 1.4^2}{7}} = 2.001, \\ T &= \frac{\sqrt{8}(-1.4)}{2.001} = -1.979 \in \mathcal{R},\end{aligned}$$

so we reject  $H_0$ .

~~END~~