


# Revisiting the Out of Band Resonances (OBR) of the SAFARI FDM- 2-stage SQUID

- A SQUID with lower  $L_{in}$  shifts away the OBR to the higher frequencies
- The snubber in use still damps down the OBR peak although it can be optimized for the new SQUID
- A nearby OBR could also occur which depends on the Loom-in characteristics. It won't be damped by the snubber at the summing point


Simulation and Modeling using LTspiceXVII


Amin Aminaei, December 2020

# Simulation of SAFARI FDM Blocks up to Input of the 1<sup>st</sup> SQUID




.....176 Pixels(LC's).....






Code (Lin=2nH):


 Resonators-176pix-CT-Lin-3.716MHz.asc  Resonators-176pix-CT-Lin-3.716MHz.asc



Currents of Rsnub, LC resonator#10 and Rshunt  
176 LCs, AC=1V




Currents of  $R_{snub}=2.2\Omega$ , 176 LCs, AC=1V




Currents of  $I(\text{Lin})$ , 176 LCs,  $AC=1\text{V}$ ,  $\text{Lin}=2\text{nH}$


An illustration of current drop off at the input of SQUID at higher frequencies?









Currents of  $I(\text{Lin})$ , 176 LCs, AC=1V, Lin  $\sim 0$



Position of two neighbor LCs with  $\text{Lin} \sim 0$



Position of two neighbor LCs with  $\text{Lin} \sim 0$



Position of two neighbor LCs with  $L_{in}=2nH$

## Summary results

The snubber values in the simulation (and I believe in use in the setup) are  $R=2.2\text{ Ohm}$  and  $C=10\text{nf}$  which gives the corner frequency  $\frac{1}{2}\pi.(R.C)=7.23\text{MHz}$  ( $10\text{Pf}$  would give  $7.2\text{GHz!}$ )

(additional info: In the simulation, the first peak of OBR is due to the loom of AC bias around  $20\text{MHz}$  and the second peak of OBR is due to the Common inductance and C summing points further away around  $100\text{ MHz}$ . Snubber would damp both peaks, see the attached results)

-The current passing through the Snubber resistor is in the order of  $\text{nA}$  as opposed to  $\mu\text{A}$  for the LC resonators and the shunt resistor of  $0.1\text{ Ohm}$ . I think this has been briefly addressed by Jan in the meeting of which the current would be dominant in  $R_{\text{shunt}}$  since they are in parallel and  $R_{\text{shunt}} \ll R_{\text{snubber}}$ .

Please see the results in the attached file and let me know if values need to be changed. The AC voltage is  $1\text{ volt}$  and  $R_s$  is  $10\text{ kOhm}$ . Other parameters are  $R_{\text{tes}}=40\text{mOhm}$ .  $L_r=3\mu\text{H}$ ,  $C\text{ ratio}=9$ ,  $f=1\text{-}3.8\text{MHz}$ ,  $N=176\text{ LCs}$ . You might have measured different values but the order ( $\mu\text{A}$  of  $R_{\text{shunt}}$  current vs  $\text{nA}$  of Snubber current should still stand)

-The max. power dissipation of  $R_{\text{snubber}}$  is  $(160\text{nA})^2 * 2.2 = 56.3\text{ fW}$  and for  $R_{\text{shunt}}$  is  $\sim 1\text{nW}$ .

I've used the simplified model of resonators up to the input of SQUID to avoid complexity of harness and SQUID and FEE. I can rerun it for complete model and the number of pixels you used if needed.

Simulation for a) common inductance of 2nH and no common inductance (S.C., in LTSpice a very small value of 0.00001fH to see the current)

Here are the results.

Lc=2nH

Frequency shift: examples of Two neighbour resonators

Fr10=1.14519MHz

Fr9=1.12898MHz

deltaF=16.21kHz

Lc current drops off from some 30uA in 1MHz to 18Ua to 3.8MHz (see the pattern in the attached file)

=====

Lc=0.00001fH (S.C.)

Lc current of common inductance roughly the same in the order of 24uA for all frequencies except edges (max. 27uA) see the pattern

Fr10=1.14558MHz

Fr9=1.12938MHz

deltaF=16.2kHz

Fr10sc-Fr10=~390Hz

Fr9sc-Fr9=~400Hz

Fr175=3.81294MHz    Lcom=2nH    Fr176=3.82914MHz    deltaFr=16.2kHz

Fr175sc=3.81383MHz

Fr176sc=3.83003MHz

deltaFr=16.2kHz    Fr175sc-Fr175=890Hz    highest frequency shift for 176 LC resonators.    Fr176sc-Fr176=890Hz