



Figure 5: Simulation of the impact of shifting CMR’s  $\gamma$  (**Left**) and  $\delta$  (**Right**) parameters on the conditional response probability as a function of lag for CMR. Using parameters fit to Healey and Kahana (2014), the learning rate parameter  $\gamma$  is shifted from 0 to 1 in increments of 0.1, and the item support parameter  $\delta$  is shifted from 0 to 10 in increments of 1, with the color of the lines indicating the value of the parameter.

**Alt Text.** Two side-by-side line plots show how changing two CMR parameters alters the lag-conditional response probability (lag-CRP). The left plot varies the learning-rate parameter  $\gamma$  from 0.0 (dark purple) to 0.9 (yellow) in 0.1 steps: larger  $\gamma$  sharply boosts the probability of a +1 backward transition (lag -1) while slightly reducing the -3 to -5 lags. The right plot varies the self-support parameter  $\delta$  from 0.0 (dark purple) to 8.9 (yellow) in unit steps: higher  $\delta$  steepens both the forward +1 and backward -1 peaks while depressing longer-lag transitions. Each coloured line therefore traces how strengthening either parameter concentrates recall transitions around neighbouring items, with the legend listing the parameter values.