

Applied Analysis

WANG SIBO

September 3, 2022

Contents

Chapter 1. Metric and Normed Spaces	1
Chapter 2. Continuous Functions	3
Chapter 3.	5
Chapter 4.	7
Chapter 5.	9
Chapter 6.	11
Chapter 7.	13
Chapter 8.	15
Chapter 9.	17
Chapter 10.	19
Chapter 11.	21
Chapter 12.	23
Chapter 13.	25

Metric and Normed Spaces

Exercise 1.1. [Exercise 1.5, Page 30]

Proof. Define

$$f: \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \mapsto \frac{x}{1+x}$$

It is obvious that f is an increasing function. □

Exercise 1.2. [Exercise 1.6, Page 30]

Proof. Using $\epsilon - \delta$. □

Proposition 1.3. *Given topology space (X, \mathcal{T}) and $A \subseteq X$, if $L(A)$ is the set of all limit points of A , then $L(A)$ is closed.*

Exercise 1.4. [Exercise 1.8, Page 31]

Proof. C is closed by [Proposition 1.3](#). Therefore $\max C = \sup C$ and $\min C = \inf C$.

Because \mathbb{R} is complete, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $M(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(n) = \sup_{i > n} \{x_i\}$. It is obvious that $M(n) \geq M(n+1)$ and there must exist $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $M = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{M(n)\}$.

$\forall c \in C, M(n) \geq c$. Thus $M \geq \max C$.

It suffices to show that $M \leq \max C$. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose that $M > \max C$, then $\exists \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $M = \max C + 2\varepsilon$. So $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, M(n) > \max C + \varepsilon$. So we can construct a bounded subsequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\{x_n\}$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \max C + \varepsilon \leq y_n \leq M(1)$. By Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem [Theorem 1.57, Page 23], there must exist a convergent subsequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\{y_n\}$. Define $z := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} z_n$, then $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z > \max C$, which violates the definition of C . Thus $M \leq \max C$.

Therefore $M = \max C$. □

Proposition 1.5. (max–min inequality) *For any function*

$$\begin{aligned} f: A \times B &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (a, b) &\mapsto f(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

The following holds:

$$\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} f(a, b) \leq \inf_{b \in B} \sup_{a \in A} f(a, b)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall a \in A, \forall b \in B, \inf_{b \in B} f(a, b) \leq f(a, b) \\ \implies &\forall b \in B, \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} f(a, b) \leq \sup_{a \in A} f(a, b) \\ \implies &\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} f(a, b) \leq \inf_{b \in B} \sup_{a \in A} f(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 1.6. [Exercise 1.10, Page 30]

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{left} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\inf_{\alpha \in A} x_{n, \alpha}) \\ &= \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n > i} \{ \inf_{\alpha \in A} x_{n, \alpha} \} && \text{(definition)} \\ &= \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n > i} \inf_{\alpha \in A} \{ x_{n, \alpha} \}_{n > i} \\ &\leq \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{\alpha \in A} \sup_{n > i} \{ x_{n, \alpha} \}_{n > i} && \text{(Proposition 1.5)} \\ &= \inf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n > i} \{ x_{n, \alpha} \}_{n > i} \\ &= \inf_{\alpha \in A} \inf_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{n > i} \{ x_{n, \alpha} \} \\ &= \inf_{\alpha \in A} (\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_{n, \alpha}) \\ &= \text{right} \end{aligned}$$

□

Continuous Functions

