

Project three rubric: required project (out of 15 points)

Item	points	Unacceptable 0-55%	Unsatisfactory 55-70%	Satisfactory 70-85%	Very good 85-100%
Report	3	No report or very poor coverage of the project is provided.	The report is not well structured, does not give a clear idea about the targeted work or the proposed system.	The report describes fairly well all aspects related to the project: algorithms, implementation, heuristics, perspectives, issues, etc.	Not only it describes the projects but also it provides a good justification of all steps of the work. Especially, how the proposed heuristics may influence the algorithm behavior.
Quality of code	3	Very dirty code is provided or the code does not compile.	The code is poorly organized and very difficult to read.	The code is fairly easy to read.	The code is exceptionally well organized and very easy to follow.
Documentation Commenting the code and a readme file.	3	No comments or readme file is provided.	The documentation is simply comments embedded in the code and does not help the reader understand the code. No instructions are provided about how to run your code.	The documentation consists of embedded comments and it is useful in understanding the code. Some instructions about how to run the code are provided.	The documentation is well written and clearly explains what the code is accomplishing and how. Clear and complete instructions about how to run the code are provided.
Amount of the achieved work	6	Please see the project description for the distribution of the grades per task			