Modeling and Simulation Project Report



Group Members:

Samet Can 150120528 Mehmet Soykan Mutlu 150114006

Description of The Project:

We built a simple bank simulation in order to find the optimum employee count to maximize the profit of the bank & more importantly decrease the amount of waiting time inside the bank for customers.

System Components:

- 1. Agents
- 2. Queues
- 3. Schedules
- 4. Resources
- 5. Resource Pools
- 6. Exits
- 7. Decision Blocks
- 8. Delays
- 9. Seize Blocks
- 10. Release Blocks
- 11. Time Measurement Blocks
- 12. Custom Distributions

Relations:

- 1. We have a queue for every service offered.
- 2. Every agent has its own schedule set.
- 3. We have 2 exits in order to count customers who timeout.
- 4. Customers, Tellers, ATMs are our agents.
- 5. ATM service, Teller Service, Special Case Service are being offered.
- 6. ATMs, Tellers, specialCaseTellers have ResourcePools which provide services being offered.
- 7. Time spent in queues are being measured via time measurement components.
- 8. Customers who wait too long in queues and hence those who leave the bank are measured via time measurement components.
- 9. Time spent in the bank is being measured via time measurement components.
- 10. Since our system is a Bank every agent in our system is set via Schedules. Service Pools work between 9am-17pm. Giving a break at noon. Customers are allowed to enter the system between 9am-16.45pm.

Input and Output Parameters:

1. Input Parameters

- 1.1. Shift Time Intervals.
- 1.2. The count of working teller employees.
- 1.3. The count of working special case teller employees.
- 1.4. The count of ATM's in the bank.
- 1.5. Custom distributions for services of tellers, sCaseTellers, ATMs.

2. Output Parameters

- 2.1. Average waiting times in queues
- 2.2. Average time spent in the bank
- 2.3. Count of timeouts of queues.

b. Answers to the questions asked in the project document.

 We have calculated our mean values with several replications with different seed values as follow:

Data of Teller Service:

Th	e Number	of Teller:	L	Th	ne Number	of Teller:	2
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	25,2	22087	7979	1	23	14164	15714
2	25,09	22002	7929	2	23,02	13937	15739
3	25,13	22111	7907	3	22,87	14147	15778
4	25,12	22085	7956	4	23,28	14282	15829
5	25,05	22079	7992	5	22,82	13805	15923
avg:	25,118	22072,8	7952,6	avg:	22,998	14067	15796,6
Th	e Number	of Teller:	3	Th	ne Number	of Teller:	1
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	18,89	6555	23165	1	8,28	1314	28444
2	18,64	6719	23146	2	9,01	1813	28015
3	18,18	6681	23348	3	8,61	1648	28353
4	18,63	6638	23351	4	8,55	1645	28301
5	18,84	6745	23054	5	8,86	1671	28470
avg:	18,636	6667,6	23212,8	avg:	8,662	1618,2	28316,6
Th	e Number	of Teller:	5	Th	ne Number	of Teller:	5
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	2,07	300	29648	1	0,57	104	29726
2	1,96	309	29407	2	0,64	126	29875
3	1,93	332	29416	3	0,58	146	29709
4	2,24	362	29535	4	0,63	107	29826
5	2,23	400	29671	5	0,62	122	29915
avg:	2,086	340,6	29535,4	avg:	0,608	121	29810,2

1,05	172	29821	2,07
0,34	105	29953	0,97
0,12	92	30049	0,55
0,04	65	29832	0,27

Data of ATM service:

	The Numb	er of ATM:	1
	mean	t.out	s.work
1	11,62	13692	13668
2	11,65	13820	13682
3	11,67	13780	13509
4	11,64	13900	13733
5	11,74	14058	13594
avg:	11,664	13850	13637,2
	The Numbe	er of ATM:	2
	mean	t.out	s.work
1	6,76	2812	24856
2	6,8	2852	24597
3	6,49	2544	25005
4	6,84	2754	24681
5	6,9	2904	24819
avg:	6,758	2773,2	24791,6
	The Numb	er of ATM:	3
	mean	t.out	s.work
1	1,31	169	27544
2	1,19	104	27340
3	1,31	92	27375
4	1,29	107	27434
5	1,23	99	27403
avg:	1,266	114,2	27419,2

Confidence Intervals & Prediction Intervals for Varying Teller Number:

	Normal Systen	n Confid	lence	Predi	ction			
N. Teller	Wait avg.	min	max	min	max	-3,6959	28,08928	
1	25,29	19,66912	30,91088	14,55565	36,02435	0,511977	2,881056	
2	23,408	18,73497	28,08103	13,13818	33,67782	0,881581	3,965757	6
3	20,13	16,27411	23,98589	10,20529	30,05471	52,11221	7	2,30600
4	12,36	9,091008	15,62899	2,64824	22,07176	819,5806	110,0906	3,96575
5	3,75	0,701649	6,798351	-5,88973	13,38973			
6	1,05	-2,21899	4,318992	-8,66176	10,76176			
7	0,34	-3,51589	4,195892	-9,58471	10,26471			
8	0,12	-4,55303	4,793033	-10,1498	10,38982			
9	0,04	-5,58088	5,660881	-10,6944	10,77435			
	Normal System	n Confid	Confidence		ction			
N. ATM	Wait avg.	min	max	min	max	-1,43293	8,986993	
1	11,67	7,347845	15,99215	3,70034	19,63966	0,436937	2,206425	4,
2	6,92	3,416273	10,42373	-0,63715	14,47715	0,641897	2,831678	4.
3	1,32	-1,50951	4,149515	-5,94915	8,589154	10,75497	6	2,36462
4	0,3	-2,12305	2,723051	-6,82079	7,420789	86,23765	48,11039	2,83167
5	0,07	-2,35305	2,493051	-7,05079	7,190789			
6	0,02	-2,80951	2,849515	-7,24915	7,289154			
7	0,01	-3,49373	3,513727	-7,54715	7,567153			
8	0,0006	-4,32155	4,322755	-7,96906	7,97026			

Confidence Interval(CI) for 4Tellers and 3 ATM's:

ATMs: mean: 1,258667 n: 45 std:0,058876 t.value:2,015368 min:1,240978

max:1,27635

Tellers: mean: 9,968667 n:45 std:0,388848 t.value:2,015368 min:9,851844

max:10,08549

Replications:

1. Number of replications needed for ATM's is 5;

1,23 1,18 are the first 2 values which gave us 0,107566(half interval range). We continued our with our replications till we faced 4. %7,3 5.%21 shrinks in intervals. Therefore we say it's 5.

2. Number of replications needed for Tellers is 9.

We followed the same procedure with tellers.

Prediction Interval for 4Tellers and 3ATM's:

ATMs:min: 1,138699 max:1,378634

Tellers: min: 9,176336 max:10,761

Changed System Design

We took out our sCaseTeller part of the system and distributed the workload of sCaseTellers to normal tellers. Our new system had pretty much the same statistics in ATM service but tellerService time drastically changed.

Our new Cl's data is as followed: mean: 19,14711 n:45 std:0,2054

t.value:2,015368 min:19,0854 max:19,20882

Our new teller service distribution data is as followed:

	The Numbe	r of Teller:	:1		The Numbe	r of Teller	:2
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	25,11	24136	5674		23,72	18542	11359
2	24,81	24397	5639		23,82	18622	11278
3	25,2	24429	5526		23,69	18363	11420
4	24,97	24117	5716	4	23,62	18489	11365
5	25,07	24002	5733		23,89	18978	11210
avg:	25,032	24216,2	5657,6	avg:	23,748	18598,8	11326,4
	The Numbe	er of Teller	3		The Numbe	er of Teller	:4
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	21,61	12804	16965		18,61	7751	22175
2	21,75	13158	16672		2 19	8266	21765
3	21,76	13216	16816	;	19,18	8211	21844
4	21,97	13402	16667	4	1 18,77	8106	21808
5	21,94	13278	16706		18,97	8197	21705
avg:	21,806	13171,6	16765,2	avg:	18,906	8106,2	21859,4
	The Numbe	er of Teller:	:5		The Numbe	er of Teller	:6
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	12,97	3615	26282		6,24	1168	28733
2	13,1	3486	26391		6,31	1242	28585
3	13,17	3720	26150	;	6,62	1156	28634
4	13,53	3571	26344	4	6,41	1325	28585
5	13,68	3712	26238		6,56	1264	28782
avg:	13,29	3620,8	26281	avg:	6,428	1231	28663,8

Difference between new system and old:

	The Numbe	er of Teller	1		The Numbe	r of Teller	:2
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	0,09	-2049	2305		-0,72	-4378	4355
2	0,28	-2395	2290		-0,8	-4685	4461
3	-0,07	-2318	2381	;	-0,82	-4216	4358
4	0,15	-2032	2240	4	-0,34	-4207	4464
5	-0,02	-1923	2259		-1,07	-5173	4713
avg:	0,086	-2143,4	2295	avg:	-0,75	-4531,8	4470,2
	he Numbe	er of Teller	3		The Numbe	er of Teller	:4
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	-2,72	-6249	6200		-10,33	-6437	6269
2	-3,11	-6439	6474		-9,99	-6453	6250
3	-3,58	-6535	6532		-10,57	-6563	6509
4	-3,34	-6764	6684	4	-10,22	-6461	6493
5	-3,1	-6533	6348		-10,11	-6526	6765
avg:	-3,17	- <mark>6</mark> 504	6447,6	avg:	-10,244	-6488	6457,2
-	The Numbe	er of Teller	5		The Numbe	er of Teller	:6
	mean	t.out	s.work		mean	t.out	s.work
1	-10,9	-3315	3366	1	-5,67	-1064	993
2	-11,14	-3177	3016		-5,67	-1116	1290
3	-11,24	-3388	3266	;	-6,04	-1010	1075
4	-11,29	-3209	3191	4	-5,78	-1218	1241
5	-11,45	-3312	3433		-5,94	-1142	1133
avg:	-11,204	-3280,2	3254,4	avg:	-5,82	-1110	1146,4

Confidence Intervals & Prediction Intervals for Varying Teller Number:

	Witho	out Special Case	Confi	dence	Predi	ction			
N. Teller	Wait avg.		min	max	min	max	-3,64617	30,77306	9
1	24,96		21,70044	28,21956	18,73512	31,18488	0,296897	1,670732	5
2	23,82		21,11009	26,52991	17,8645	29,7755	0,955646	2,299754	60
3	22,08		19,84396	24,31604	16,32463	27,83537	150,8209	7	2,306004
4	18,69		16,7943	20,5857	13,05812	24,32188	797,6719	37,02207	2,299754
5	13,21		11,44225	14,97775	7,619892	18,80011			
6	6,5		4,604302	8,395698	0,868123	12,13188			
7	2,35		0,113957	4,586043	-3,40537	8,105368			
8	0,9		-1,80991	3,609905	-5,0555	6,855498			
9	0,37		-2,88956	3,629565	-5,85488	6,594881			

CI & PI Interval Difference between old and new system:

	Withou	at Special Case	Confid	dence	Predi	ction			
N. Teller	Wait avg.		min	max	min	max	-3,64617	30,77306	9
1	24,96		21,70044	28,21956	18,73512	31,18488	0,296897	1,670732	5
2	23,82		21,11009	26,52991	17,8645	29,7755	0,955646	2,299754	60
3	22,08		19,84396	24,31604	16,32463	27,83537	150,8209	7	2,306004
4	18,69		16,7943	20,5857	13,05812	24,32188	797,6719	37,02207	2,299754
5	13,21		11,44225	14,97775	7,619892	18,80011			
6	6,5		4,604302	8,395698	0,868123	12,13188			
7	2,35		0,113957	4,586043	-3,40537	8,105368			
8	0,9		-1,80991	3,609905	-5,0555	6,855498			
9	0,37		-2,88956	3,629565	-5,85488	6,594881			

Additional replications needed to reduce the half-width of the confidence interval by 10%: We followed the same procedure we used previously on this one also. After 4 iterations we had %11 shrink in interval.

References:

https://mimoza.marmara.edu.tr/~mujdat.soyturk/courses/ie3081_2021_Fall https://towardsdatascience.com/