



Math for the people, by the people.

derivation of Euler-Lagrange differential equation (elementary)

Canonical name	DerivationOfEulerLagrangeDifferentialEquationelementary
Date of creation	2013-03-22 14:45:35
Last modified on	2013-03-22 14:45:35
Owner	rspuzio (6075)
Last modified by	rspuzio (6075)
Numerical id	16
Author	rspuzio (6075)
Entry type	Derivation
Classification	msc 47A60

Let $[e, c]$ be a finite subinterval of (a, b) . Let the function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be chosen so that a) h is twice differentiable, b) $h(t) = 0$ when $t \notin [e, c]$, c) $h(t) > 0$ when $t \in (e, c)$, and d) $\int_e^c h(t) dt = 1$.

Choose $f(\lambda, t) = q(t) + \lambda h(t)$. It is easy to see that this function satisfies the requirements for f laid out in the main entry. Then, we can write

$$g(\lambda, x) = \int_a^b L(t, q(t) + \lambda h(t), \dot{q}(t) + \lambda \dot{h}(t)) dt$$

Let us split the integration into three parts — the integral from a to e , the integral from e to c , and the integral from c to b . By the way h was chosen, the integrand reduces to $L(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t))$ when $t \in (a, e)$ or $t \in (c, b)$. Hence the pieces of the integral over the intervals (a, e) and (c, b) do not depend on λ and we have

$$\frac{dg}{d\lambda} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_e^c L(t, q(t) + \lambda h(t), \dot{q}(t) + \lambda \dot{h}(t)) dt$$

Since $[e, c]$ is closed and bounded, it is compact. By our assumption, the derivative of the integrand is continuous. Since continuous functions on compact sets are uniformly continuous, the derivative of the integrand is uniformly continuous. This implies that it is permissible to interchange differentiation and integration:

$$\frac{dg}{d\lambda} = \int_e^c \frac{d}{d\lambda} L(t, q(t) + \lambda h(t), \dot{q}(t) + \lambda \dot{h}(t)) dt$$

Using the chain rule (several variables) and setting $\lambda = 0$, we have

$$\left. \frac{dg}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} = \int_e^c h(t) \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) + \dot{h}(t) \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt$$

Integrating by parts and using the fact that h was chosen so as to vanish at the endpoints e and c , we find that

$$\left. \frac{dg}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} = \int_e^c h(t) \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(t, q(t), \dot{q}(t)) \right) \right] dt = \int_e^c h(t) EL(t) dt$$

(The last equals sign defines EL as the quantity in the brackets in the first integral.)

I claim that requiring $dg/d\lambda = 0$ for all finite intervals $[e, c] \subset (a, b)$ implies that the $EL(t)$ must equal zero for all $t \in [a, b]$. By our assumptions, EL is a continuous function. Hence, for every $t_0 \in (a, b)$ and every $\epsilon > 0$, there must exist and $[e, c] \subset (a, b)$ such that $t_0 \in [e, c]$ and $t_1 \in [e, c]$ implies that $|EL(t_0) - EL(t_1)| < \epsilon$. Therefore,

$$\left| \left. \frac{dg}{d\lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} - EL(t_0) \right| = \left| \int_e^c h(t) (EL(t) - EL(t_0)) dt \right| < \epsilon \left| \int_e^c h(t) dt \right| = \epsilon$$

Since this must be true for all $\epsilon > 0$, it follows that $EL(t_0) = 0$ for all $t_0 \in (a, b)$. In other words, q satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation.