



planetmath.org

Math for the people, by the people.

proof of criterion for convexity II

Canonical name	ProofOfCriterionForConvexityII
Date of creation	2013-03-22 18:25:25
Last modified on	2013-03-22 18:25:25
Owner	yesitis (13730)
Last modified by	yesitis (13730)
Numerical id	5
Author	yesitis (13730)
Entry type	Proof
Classification	msc 52A41
Classification	msc 26A51
Classification	msc 26B25

If  $f$  was not convex, then there was a point  $\xi \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(\xi) > h(\xi) = \frac{f(v)-f(u)}{v-u}(x-u) + f(u)$  for some  $u < v$  in  $(a, b)$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, there would be a neighborhood  $(\xi - \delta, \xi + \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , of  $\xi$  such that  $f(x) > h(x)$  for all  $x$  in this neighborhood. (I.e.,  $f(x)$  was “above” the line segment joining  $f(u)$  and  $f(v)$ .) Let  $s = \xi - \delta$ ,  $t = \xi + \delta$ .

Using the two points  $A = (s, f(s))$ ,  $B = (t, f(t))$ , we construct another line segment  $\overline{AB}$  whose equation is given by  $g(x) = \frac{f(s)-f(t)}{2\delta}(x-s) + f(s)$ ; we have  $f(x) > g(x)$  for  $x \in (s, t)$ . In particular,

$$f(\xi) = f\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right) > g(\xi) = \frac{f(s) + f(t)}{2}. \quad (1)$$

(One easily verifies  $g(\xi) = (f(s) + f(t))/2$ .) This contradicts hypothesis.

Note that we have tacitly used the fact that  $h(x) = \lambda f(v) + (1 - \lambda)f(u)$  for some  $\lambda$  and  $g(x) = \lambda f(s) + (1 - \lambda)f(t)$  for some  $\lambda$ .